KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA PARTNERSHIP

19th MARCH 2003

YEAR 1 REVIEW OF THE COMMUNITY STRATEGY AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL STRATEGY

> The paper presents an approach to the Year 1 review of the Partnership's three-year strategies, and a suggested timetable of activities.
> **FOR APPROVAL**

Background

Work on both the Community Strategy (CS) and Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy Strategy (NRS) began in the autumn of 2001, with contributions from a wide range of organisations represented by the steering group.

Extensive public consultation and research took place over the next six months and the findings were distilled in the compilation of Area Profiles to provide a snapshot of the Royal Borough as at 31 March 2002.

The steering group approved the Community Strategy, *The Future of Our Community*, in June 2002 and the Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy in September 2002, under the title *Renewing Our Neighbourhoods*.

The two strategies are complementary. They are arranged around the same common themes or clusters:
- Environment and transport
- Health and well-being
- Homes and housing
- Learning and leisure
- Safe communities
- Work and business

An additional theme in the NRS is Community Development, including the sharing of skills and knowledge.

Whereas the Community Strategy presents the broad vision and borough-wide actions, the Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy relates these to the different localities of the borough and to specific action in these areas.

Both strategies run for three years. The steering group is committed to undertake an annual review of the strategies.

Since publication, the actions and targets contained in both strategies have been reformatted in 'progress trackers', one for each of theme or cluster.

Suggested Approach

The **attached schedule** describes how the steering group might wish to handle the Year 1 review of the strategies. It is based on the following assumptions:

1. In 2005, one strategy should replace the existing two. In effect, the Neighbourhood Renewal Strategy is a subset of the overall Community Strategy,

2. The Year 1 review should be largely concerned with auditing progress on implementing the actions contained in the strategies.

3. The review should focus on the seven themes or clusters, with cluster champions introducing brief summaries of progress to the steering group, based on the completion of the progress trackers.

4. The review should:
   - identify **issues** preventing implementation of particular objectives and actions;
   - confirm or adjust the **priorities** to be adopted over the year ahead;
   - identify **new opportunities** which have arisen since the production of the strategies.

5. The main products of the review should be:
   - a completed progress tracker for each cluster, with summary page on priorities, issues and new opportunities
   - a brief and easy to read report for general distribution

Officer contact:
Colin Richardson,
Head of Policy, RBKC
020 7361 3336
colin.richardson@rbkc.gov,uk

KCP COMMUNTY / NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL STRATEGIES

YEAR 1 REVIEW

KCP meeting	Aspect of review	Outcome
19 March		
	Approach to review (this paper)	Steering group to agree
	Cluster champions – two per cluster	Steering group to agree
	Cluster co-ordinators –two per cluster	Steering group to suggest additional nominations
	Cluster priorities identified to date	Noted
14 May		
	Neighbourhood Renewal Fund: • Year 2 review 2002/03 • Year 3 programme 2003/04	Actual spend and achievements against targets
	Community Funds: • Year 2 review 2002/03 • Year 3 programme 2003/04	Actual spend and achievements against targets
	Cluster reviews – batch 1. • Environment and transport • Safe communities • Work and business • Health and well-being	Summary page on: • priorities • key issues • new opportunities, based on completed progress trackers
9 July		
	Cluster reviews – batch 2. • Homes and housing • Learning and leisure • Community development	Summary page on • priorities • key issues • new opportunities, based on completed progress trackers
	Profile updates – by area and ward, based on 2001 census data	Comparison of 2001 and 1991 figures
	Year 1 Review (draft)	12 page, easy-to-read report: page per cluster
August	**Progress trackers published** for each cluster (as at 31 July 2002)	Batched or separate reports available as required, each with covering summary page
17 September		
	Year 1 Review (final)	12 page easy-to-read report: page per cluster
	NRF year 3 programme	Statement of Use for the Government Office