

## **PRESENT**

### **Members of the Council**

THE MAYOR: CLLR WILL PASCALL

THE DEPUTY MAYOR: CLLR WALAA IDRIS

ADDENBROOKE, Sarah  
ADOURIAN, Hamish  
ARETI, Aarien  
ATKINSON, Robert  
BAKHTIAR, Mohammed  
BENNETT, Tom  
BLAKEMAN, Judith  
CAMPBELL, Elizabeth  
CHAUHAN, Dr Max  
CYRON, Anne  
DENT COAD, Emma  
ELNAGHI, Marwan  
EVANS, Janet  
FAULKES, Catherine  
FREEMAN, Robert  
HAMMOND, Gregory  
HARGREAVES, Gerard  
HEALY, Pat  
HENDERSON, Ian  
HUSBAND, James  
JACKSON, Alison  
KEMAHLI, Cem

LARI, Sina  
LINDSAY, David  
MASON, Pat  
McVEIGH, Sof  
MILLS, Julie  
NAIL, Nadia  
O'CONNOR, Charles  
PRESS, Monica  
RENDALL, Josh  
ROSSI, Marie-Therese  
SCHMETTERLING, Dori  
SPALDING, Malcolm  
TAYLOR-SMITH, Kim  
THALASSITES, Johnny  
THAXTER, Portia  
WADE, Linda  
WASON, Ian  
WEALE, Mary  
WILL, Emma  
WILLIAMS, Charles  
WOODGER, Maxwell

The Mayor asked everyone to stand in silence for 72 seconds to remember all those who lost their lives in the Grenfell tragedy.

Councillors, officers and members of the public stood to observe the 72 second silence.

### **1. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 16 OCTOBER 2019**

The minutes of the ordinary meeting held on 16 October 2019 were confirmed as a correct record and were signed by the Mayor.

### **2. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Mayor drew the Council's attention to the art display in the Civic Gallery, which included works by community artists. He thanked the curator and also the facilities team for providing the lighting in the gallery. The exhibit reflected the launch of the Mayor's Charity, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital Foundation Trust, CW+.

Cllr. Dent Coad was invited to make a short statement by way of an apology for comments made at a previous Council meeting. She regretted that, despite her complaints about harassment, no action had been taken.

### 3. CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S COMMUNICATIONS

#### Apologies

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllrs. Ali, Berrill-Cox, Marshall and Round.

Apologies for lateness were submitted on behalf of Cllr. Jackson.

#### Declarations of interest

In respect of Motion (i) on declaring a climate emergency, Cllr. Mason suggested that Councillors who owned cars in Bands 6 and 7, emitting more than 150g CO<sub>2</sub> per km, make declarations of interest and withdraw from the debate. Cllr. Kemahli opposed this request. He was sure all Members would want to support the Motion about getting to Carbon Zero. The Mayor noted both comments.

Cllr. Healy declared an interest as a member of the borough's pension scheme.

### 4. PUBLIC SPEAKING

The Mayor introduced Dr. Catherine Howe from the Democratic Society who facilitated the session. A summary of the speeches is set out below:

1. **Margaret McDonald** spoke about the provision of palliative care at St. Charles Hospital, provided by the Pembridge Hospice. She represented 'Save Our Pembridge Hospice' and residents who had signed a petition to save the Hospice. The loss of such care came at a time when increasing numbers of people would need it. Patients would be forced to travel longer distances to other hospitals, which also had waiting lists. The Save Our Pembridge Hospice petition had reached 2,000 signatures. She urged Councillors to sign it and asked that a full public consultation take place to urge the CCG to keep the Hospice open.

Cllr. Addenbrooke thanked Ms. McDonald for sharing what the service provided and the importance of it. She urged the CCG to consult fully with and listen to residents.

Cllr. Healy also thanked Ms McDonald for bringing the issue to the Council. She noted that it had been discussed at the October Council meeting and during a Select Committee meeting. She said that people did not believe the reasons given for the closure and welcomed the support given to the campaign against closure.

2. **Raad Shamizadeh** spoke of pollution and the need for tree planting in Warwick Road. He spoke of the impact of pollution on children in the local primary school as well as residents. He commented that residents had to clean their windows every week due to a thick screen of dust and soot. He recognised that TfL was responsible for the road

but called on the Council to help in lobbying TfL to reduce the effects of pollution by planting more trees in the area and by creating a green screen.

Cllr. Kemahli regretted that the Mayor of London had done nothing to address the issue. The road was a red route and therefore under TfL's control. He was keen to address pollution in the area and said that the Council could work on greening parallel roads. He added that local schools could be greened and Ward Councillors were keen on further planting.

Cllr. Wade commented that infrastructure under the road and pavements was a big factor preventing the planting of more trees. She pointed out that Cllr. Spalding had put forward plans to increase greening but TfL had resisted. The Council needed to look at the problem in a different way, perhaps through the installation of double-glazing to properties along the road.

3. **Sam Hancock** asked the Council how much of the recycling was actually recycled and where. He also requested more recycling bins in areas of high traffic. He explained that as waste was collected in bags, people would leave piles of waste on top of the bags on collection days. He asked if the Council could arrange for litter picking to clean this up on collection days.

Cllr. Kemahli responded that the Western Riverside Waste Authority was the body in overall charge. He said that the borough was trying to increase the amount of recycling by residents, which stood at 28% this year. He said that placing recycling bins in the streets was not efficient - recycling was easily contaminated and if so the whole contents usually went into general waste. Newspaper bins were more useful. He added that collection vehicles were followed by people who collected excess waste.

Cllr. Bakhtiar reminded members of the need for effective measures, particularly in changing public behaviour. He said that there was a need for a system that would reward residents who recycled.

4. **Nathan Cooper** asked how the Council would ensure that its Vision Carbon Zero was ambitious enough and took into account the concerns of local residents and businesses. Vision Carbon Zero needed to tackle public health issues. Immediate and rapid decarbonisation was needed. He urged the Council to hold a Citizens' Assembly on how to achieve Carbon Zero.

Cllr. Kemahli said that he would be happy to host a Citizens' Assembly. He said the Council continued to work behind the scenes. The borough had the second highest number of electric car charging points in London. The Council was embracing new technology and change, and hearing from residents was a significant part of that.

Cllr. Mason agreed that residents should have a say in how an action plan was drawn up. He considered that Council policies on environment and climate always fell short. If Motion (i) was passed later, climate change became the Council's problem to address.

5. **Edouard Prêcheur** and a fellow parent spoke of the closure of KiddiCaru. He explained that parents had only just been notified that the nursery would be closing at the end of February as the Metropolitan Police had declined to extend the lease. This would have

an impact on parents' working arrangements. Three staff would be made redundant. An extension of the lease was needed in the short term to allow parents time to find alternative provision, although it was noted that other nurseries had waiting lists. He considered that the business case for retaining the nursery was clear. The Council could use its influence to help extend the lease and also look to avoid similar cases in future.

Cllr. Lindsay said that the Council's childcare service would support and identify provision for the RBKC families that were affected. He noted that the nursery was in Hammersmith and Fulham so parents needed to work with them as it was primarily their responsibility. He offered to meet with the parents to discuss further and contact colleagues to follow up with the Metropolitan Police. He added that the Family Services Select Committee was looking into the issue of childcare and encouraged the speakers to contact Cllr. Evans.

Cllr. Mason said that the nursery was one of the most successful around. He said there should be cross-borough talks to resolve the issue.

## **5. PETITIONS**

No petitions were submitted.

## **6. STATEMENTS BY THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND RESPONSE BY LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION**

It was moved by Cllr. Will, seconded by Cllr. Lari and

RESOLVED – that in accordance with Standing Order 42, Standing Order 30 be suspended to allow the Leader and Cllr Mason up to 12 minutes each for their speeches.

Cllr. Campbell spoke of the upcoming start of phase two of the Grenfell Inquiry. She said that it would be a difficult time for all. In its opening statement on 29 January a legal representative for the Council would outline the Council's failings in respect of building control. She stressed that it was vital to be open and truthful to ensure the Grenfell tragedy could never happen again.

She explained the Council's aim over the last two years to change and improve all service areas. She spoke of the importance of continued learning from the Grenfell tragedy in all aspects of the Council's work. The Council's main priority was that to ensure residents felt safe in their own homes.

Cllr. Campbell outlined the changes implemented in the Council since July 2017, including changes in respect of fire safety, housing and community engagement. She thanked the Council's housing staff who worked under intense scrutiny and deadlines. She spoke of investment to refurbish estates in the borough as well as supporting and empowering the local community. She expressed hope that phase two of the Inquiry would delivery clarity for the families of Grenfell.

Cllr. Mason also spoke of the importance of ensuring a tragedy like Grenfell could not happen again. He said that there had been little change in Government regulation two

years after the tragedy, noting the number of towers and buildings that still used Grenfell-style cladding. He spoke of the difficulty in generating change after tragedies similar to Grenfell. Younger members of Council had an opportunity to bring about change. He spoke of the role of local government in failing Grenfell families and emphasised the importance of change. People in the community felt abandoned and not listened to.

He also referred to Cllr. Dent Coad's remarks at the start of the meeting and emphasised that measures were needed to prevent harassment of any kind.

At this point a member of the public asked to speak about Grenfell. Due to the sensitivity of the topic being discussed, the Mayor, under Standing Order 1, allowed her to speak briefly. She spoke of the strain on residents' physical and mental health and the trauma faced by families as a result of the tragedy. She considered the response to the fire to have been dreadful and that residents' concerns about soil contamination had been fobbed off. Residents wanted the truth from the Inquiry.

The Mayor thanked the speaker and recommended that in future people wishing to contribute to the meeting should register to speak during the hour allocated for public speaking.

## **7. ORDER OF BUSINESS**

The Mayor advised Members that the order of debate would be as set out in the agenda.

## **8. REPORTS FROM THE LEADERSHIP TEAM**

### **Urgent Key Decisions**

The reception of the report was moved by the Leader and seconded by Cllr. Taylor-Smith.

RESOLVED – that the report be received and noted.

## **9. MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COUNCIL BY COUNCIL-SIDE COMMITTEES**

### **(i) Report (No.1) of the Administration Committee**

The reception of the report was moved by the Leader and seconded by Cllr. Will.

#### **Paragraph 1 – Terms of Reference of the Audit and Transparency Committee**

RESOLVED – That the recommendation in paragraph 1.2 be adopted.

#### **Paragraph 2 – Appointment to the Ethics Panel**

RESOLVED – that the recommendation in paragraph 2.2 be adopted.

### **Paragraph 3 – Co-options to Select and Scrutiny Committees and Working Groups**

Cllr. Campbell said the new scrutiny procedures were functioning well. She added that she would welcome the Labour Group's return to scrutiny as the Council was missing its experience. Cllr. Wade would be appointed to the Family Services Select Committee later and the door was open for the Labour Group to take up its places.

In reply, Cllr. Mason responded that the Labour Group was representing residents in other ways. Scrutiny at the Council was not working. His group had campaigned for more devolved decision-making and the retention of a Grenfell Scrutiny Committee. He hoped that there could be a compromise.

Cllr. Evans considered that the Labour Group was letting residents down. Cllr. Wade spoke of the value in having opposition members involved in scrutiny. There was little value in having only Conservatives on Scrutiny Committees.

RESOLVED – that the recommendation in paragraph 3.2 be adopted.

#### **(ii) Report (No.2) of the Administration Committee on the Charter for Public Participation**

The reception of the report was moved by the Leader and seconded by Cllr. Will.

Cllr. Cyron introduced the report. She explained the Charter was the final piece of the review of the Council's Constitution. The Council had consulted with local people and organisations and reflected their views. The Charter was about listening to local residents and ensuring they felt part of the decision-making process. It was part of the culture change required to transform the Council. There would be an interim review of the Charter in the Summer and a thorough review in 2021.

Cllr. Press welcomed the Charter and the intention to involve residents at the earliest possible stage. She expressed disappointment that the Table in the Charter stopped short of 'involving'. Choice and control remained with the Council. There was no move towards collaboration or empowerment. She asked who would hold the Council accountable for how the Charter was implemented and interpreted. She emphasised the importance of working alongside residents. She urged Cllr. Cyron and the Leadership Team to establish an Advisory Panel, tasked with monitoring and evaluating the Charter. She explained that the Charter could be successful only if it allowed local people to lead on its implementation. She suggested it would be important to have officers from key departments such as Planning, Finance and Corporate Property, on the Panel.

Cllr. Cyron apologised that part of the Table had been cut off due to a printing error. She explained there was a fourth box about participation and undertook to circulate this to all Members. She asked the Council to support the recommendation in the report.

RESOLVED – that the recommendation in paragraph 3.1 be adopted.

**(iii) Annual Report of the Pension Fund 2018-19**

The reception of the report was moved by the Cllr. Wason and seconded by Cllr. Williams.

Cllr. Kemahli commended Cllr Marshall's work as chair of the Investment Committee.

RESOLVED – that the report be received and noted.

**10. MATTERS REFERRED TO COUNCIL BY SCRUTINY COMMITTEES**

No matters were reported.

**11. QUESTIONS TO LEAD MEMBERS**

**By Cllr. Hammond of Cllr. Kemahli**

'To ask the Lead Member for the Environment to update the Council on the timeline for implementing changes to parking restrictions in the Onslow area of Courtfield Ward resulting from the consultation with residents completed by the Council in December 2018.'

In reply Cllr. Kemahli said that the Council had collected data. An Equalities Impact Assessment would be completed by the end of the month, and the matter signed off by Lead Members and Ward members at the end of February/early March.

Cllr. Hammond then asked if Cllr Kemahli agreed the measures should not be framed in a negative way, but rather in a positive way for local residents.

Cllr. Kemahli agreed with Cllr Hammond's comments.

**12. MATTERS RAISED UNDERS STANDING ORDER 11**

**Cllr. Wade** expressed concern about the Council's Community Safety Warden Scheme. She considered it insufficiently funded and asked how consultation had been carried out. It was unclear how the Warden Scheme would interface with the Metropolitan Police. The job description was wide-reaching and late night deployment would be a risk to those involved. She asked the Leadership Team to review proposals.

**Cllr. Healy** spoke of the experience of over 100 families in Raymede and Treverton Towers who were left without hot water or heating over Christmas and the New Year. Some residents had been given heaters but could only heat one room at a time. She queried why the Council did not have emergency procedures in place. She relayed the negative experiences of residents and spoke of a lack of communication from the Council. She asked for an assurance that this would not happen again and that the issues that led to the faults be resolved as soon as possible.

Cllr. Taylor-Smith responded with some corrections to the details given by Cllr. Healy. There had been a leak on Christmas Eve. Neighbourhood officers were on the scene

and free showers were arranged at the Kensington Leisure Centre. Heating had been restored to Raymede Tower three days later and heating and hot water was restored at Treverton Tower three days later. There was an attempt to carry a temporary fix on 30 December, but this had been unsuccessful. Hot water had been restored to Raymede Tower on 3 January. He said the Council would organise a meeting with residents and compensation would be arranged. He expressed the Council's regret about the impact this had over the Christmas period.

The Council noted of the matters raised.

## 13. MOTIONS

### (i) Declaring a climate and environment emergency

It was moved by Cllr. Mason and seconded by Cllr. Bakhtiar:

"This Council notes:

1. *Humans have already caused irreversible climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1°C from pre-industrial levels. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity;*
2. *In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that we reduce our CO<sub>2</sub>eq (carbon equivalent) emissions from our current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible;*
3. *The [IPCC's 'Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C'](#), published in October 2018, describes the enormous harm that a 2°C rise is very likely to cause compared to a 1.5°C rise, however limiting Global Warming to 1.5°C may still be possible with ambitious action from national and local authorities, including civil society, the private sector and local communities;*
4. *Many RBKC residents are demanding deeper and faster action on climate change as demonstrated through actions such as the ongoing Youth Strikes and by Extinction Rebellion last month;*
5. *Individuals cannot be expected to make these reduction on their own. Society needs to change and adapt its laws, taxation, infrastructure, etc.... to make low carbon living both easier and the new norm, and for local authorities this is in areas where they have significant responsibility, such as waste, public realm infrastructure, local transport and building standards enforcement;*
6. *RBKC has implemented an Air Quality and Climate Change Action Plan 2016-2021 but this does not explicitly address the scale of the climate emergency nor does it include plan for a zero-carbon Borough by 2025. The risks to the Borough of inaction will include:*
  - a. *Increased risk of flooding, subsidence, and damage to buildings and infrastructure;*
  - b. *Health problems, particularly for children, the disabled and older people;*
  - c. *Higher energy and food costs;*
  - d. *Increases in social injustice and inequality;*
  - e. *Increased costs of inaction, affecting the poorest in the borough*

- f. *Loss of economic opportunities which could be realised through the transition to carbon neutrality across the borough;*
7. *Over [100 council and parishes across the UK](#) have responded to the situation by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and have committed resources to address this emergency. We want RBKC to join this community;*
8. *The UK Parliament unanimously passed a motion declaring climate emergency on the 1st May 2019 and therefore it is time for all local authorities to follow this, taking control of what they can at a local level to tackle the emergency.*

*This Council therefore believes that:*

1. *RBKC should sign up to a science-based carbon reduction target that is consistent with achieving the Paris Agreement of no more than 1.5°C global temperature increase;*
2. *A specific climate emergency plan should be developed for the borough with the actions needed to reach the target of zero carbon by 2025;*
3. *The Council should work with other organisations and local governments within London, the UK and internationally to determine and implement best practice methods of local decarbonisation and of governance to oversee this plan.*

*This Council therefore resolves to:*

1. *Declare a 'Climate and Environment Emergency';*
2. *Inform residents and business about how they are affected by climate change and should draw up policies to:*
  - a. *move RBKC administration and organisation to zero carbon by 2025, identifying the actions and resources necessary to do this;*
  - b. *support and promote the transition of all residents' and business activities located in RBKC to zero carbon by 2025, identifying the financial, legal and other changes necessary to do this;*
  - c. *identify the networks (including community organisations, local business alliances, including business improvement districts, and other local organisations) that can support this transition;*
3. *Set interim targets and work towards a final plan by October 2020 for how RBKC will reach carbon neutrality by 2025;*
4. *Include in all Council Meetings - as a standard item of business - a brief report outlining progress towards addressing the Action Plan;*
5. *Call on central government and GLA to provide the necessary funding, support for resource mobilisation and powers to make this plan possible;*
6. *Continue working with partners including other local authorities, universities, community groups and business groups across the Borough, London and nationally to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and draw on best practices at national and international levels."*

### **Amendment to Motion**

It was then moved by Cllr. McVeigh and seconded by Cllr. Chauhan:

To amend the Motion so that it reads as follows:

“This Council notes:

1. *Humans have already caused irreversible climate change, the impacts of which are being felt around the world. Global temperatures have already increased by 1°C from pre-industrial levels. Atmospheric CO2 levels are above 400 parts per million (ppm). This exceeds the 350 ppm deemed to be a safe level for humanity;*
2. *In order to reduce the chance of runaway Global Warming and limit the effects of Climate Breakdown, it is imperative that we reduce our CO2eq (carbon equivalent) emissions from our current 6.5 tonnes per person per year to less than 2 tonnes as soon as possible;*
3. *The [IPCC's 'Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C'](#), published in October 2018, describes the enormous harm that a 2°C rise is very likely to cause compared to a 1.5°C rise, however limiting Global Warming to 1.5°C may still be possible with ambitious action from national and local authorities, including civil society, the private sector and local communities;*
4. *Many RBKC residents are demanding deeper and faster action on climate change as demonstrated through actions such as the ongoing Youth Strikes and by Extinction Rebellion last month;*
5. ~~*Individuals cannot be expected to make these reduction on their own. Society needs to change and adapt its laws, taxation, infrastructure, etc.... to make low carbon living both easier and the new norm, and for local authorities this is in areas where they have significant responsibility, such as waste, public realm infrastructure, local transport and building standards enforcement;*~~ *The Conservative National Government committing the UK to Net Zero by 2050 and welcome the legal powers which can be brought to bear to tackle the Climate Emergency.*
6. ~~*RBKC has implemented an Air Quality and Climate Change Action Plan 2016-2021 but this does not explicitly address the scale of the climate emergency nor does it include plan for a zero carbon Borough by 2025.*~~ *Following the implementation of the Air Quality and Climate Change Action Plan 2016-2021 which was produced in 2016, we welcome the announcement of Vision Carbon Zero which will include steps needed for RBKC operations to be zero carbon by 2030 and the whole Borough by 2040.*

*The risks to the Borough of inaction will include:*

  - a. *Increased risk of flooding, subsidence, and damage to buildings and infrastructure;*
  - b. *Health problems, particularly for children, the disabled and older people;*
  - c. *Higher energy and food costs;*
  - d. *Increases in social injustice and inequality;*
  - e. *Increased costs of inaction, affecting the poorest in the borough*
  - f. *Loss of economic opportunities which could be realised through the transition to carbon neutrality across the borough;*
7. ~~*Over [100 council and parishes across the UK](#) have responded to the situation by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and have committed resources to address this emergency. We want RBKC to join this community;*~~

8. ~~The UK Parliament unanimously passed a motion declaring climate emergency on the 1st May 2019 and therefore it is time for all local authorities to follow this, taking control of what they can at a local level to tackle the emergency.~~

This Council therefore believes that:

1. RBKC should sign up to a science-based carbon reduction target that is consistent with achieving the Paris Agreement of no more than 1.5°C global temperature increase;
2. ~~A specific climate emergency plan should be developed for the borough with the actions needed to reach the target of zero carbon by 2025;~~ Vision Carbon Zero should be developed for the Borough with the actions needed to reach the target of zero carbon by 2030 for the Council and 2040 for the Borough;
3. The Council should work with other organisations and local governments within London, the UK and internationally to determine and implement best practice methods of local decarbonisation and of governance to oversee this plan.

This Council therefore resolves:

1. To declare a 'Climate and Environment Emergency';
2. To inform residents and business about how they are affected by climate change and should draw up policies to:
  - a. move RBKC ~~administration~~ operations and organisation to zero carbon by ~~2025~~ 2030, identifying the actions and resources necessary to do this;
  - b. support and promote the transition of all residents' and business activities located in RBKC to zero carbon by ~~2025~~ 2040, identifying the financial, legal and other changes necessary to do this;
  - c. identify the networks (including community organisations, local business alliances, including business improvement districts, and other local organisations) that can support this transition;
3. To set interim targets and work towards a final plan ~~by October in~~ 2020, Vision Carbon Zero, for how RBKC will reach carbon neutrality ~~by 2025~~ by 2030 and the wider Borough by 2040;
4. ~~Include in all Council Meetings - as a standard item of business - a brief report outlining progress towards addressing the Action Plan;~~ That RBKC integrates the 'Vision Carbon Zero' plan through all its work and that the plan is part of any decision made.
5. ~~Call on central government and GLA to provide the necessary funding, support for resource mobilisation and powers to make this plan possible;~~ To call on the Mayor of London to make all buses electric in RBKC.
6. To continue working with partners including other local authorities, universities, community groups and business groups across the Borough, London and nationally to deliver this new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and draw on best practices at national and international levels."

Debate ensued. Among the key points made were:

- Difficult decisions would need to be taken.
- Positive moves were already being taken to address the issue.
- This should not be a political issue. All could have an impact.
- The Council only contributed 1.8% of emissions in the Borough so would need to work with businesses.
- The Investment Committee should look at where the Pension Fund was invested and consider moving away from the less sustainable investments.
- 2040 was too far away to tackle the climate emergency.
- The timescales set out in the Amendment were realistic.
- Rapid removal and storage of CO<sub>2</sub> was required, perhaps through the use of specialist concrete. Industrialists should be lobbied.
- Policies relating to double-glazing grants, scrappage schemes, solar panels, share-a-ride, fixed penalties for idling etc should be introduced.
- Making older housing stock greener was a big and expensive challenge, given the need to balance the Housing Revenue Account.
- A Supplementary Planning Document on greening was in hand.
- Construction Traffic Management Plans needed to be stricter about lorry movements. River movements should be maximised.
- Tree-planting should be maximised, although space was limited in the Borough.
- Buses in RBKC should be electric.

The Amendment was then put to the vote by the Mayor and was declared to be carried.

*(Cllrs. Atkinson, Bakhtiar, Blakeman, Dent Coad, Elnaghi, Healy, Henderson, Lari, Mason, Nail, Press and Thaxter were recorded as having voted against the Amendment.)*

The Motion as amended was then put to the vote by the Mayor and was declared to be carried unanimously.

The time being 9.30pm, the Mayor advised that the remaining Motions would be put to the next meeting. Cllr. Atkinson suggested that future meetings start at 6pm as it was becoming common for Motions to be deferred.

## 14. APPOINTMENTS

The nominations to Committees standing in the name of Cllr. Will and circulated at the meeting were before the Council.

Cllr. Will moved the nominations standing in her name.

RESOLVED -

### **Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

To note that action pursuant to Standing Order 34.02 was taken by the Mayor on 29 October 2019 to note the resignation of Cllr. Rendall from the Committee and to appoint Cllr. Round in his place in time for the meeting on 29 November.

**Licensing Committee**

To note the resignation of Cllr. Jackson and to appoint Cllr. Wason.

**Family Services Scrutiny Committee**

To note the resignation of Cllr. Palmer and appoint Cllr. Wade.

**Campden Charities**

To note the resignation of Cllr. Rossi

No other matters were dealt with.

The meeting ended at 9.32pm.

Mayor