

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

**REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE – 25
FEBRUARY 2015**

**JOINT REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF STRATEGY AND LOCAL
SERVICES AND THE BI-BOROUGH DIRECTOR OF LAW**

**PROPOSALS FOR THE REALLOCATION OF FUNCTIONS CURRENTLY
WITHIN THE REMIT OF THE REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT
REVIEW COMMITTEE (AND SUB-COMMITTEE)**

Cover note from the Chairman of the Regulation and
Enforcement Review Committee

At the last meeting of the RERC I mentioned that there was a proposal to abolish the Committee and to divide its functions and those of the sub-committee between the Licensing Committee and the Public Realm Scrutiny Committee.

The attached papers, which were considered by the Administration Committee on 11 February, set out these proposals in more detail. The main paper has already been circulated to members of the RERC but not the **supplementary paper** to which I would add the following correction:

I consider that when Officers recommend refusal of renewal of special treatments etc. applications - including refusals to renew an application (many of which relate simply to failure to provide electrical etc. certificates) – these should be determined by officers unless the Director refers the matter to Committee. However I think that the “3 or more objections” criterion for member level determination may be appropriate where Officers recommend approval of the application.

I would welcome comment on this and other matters in the Report from members of the RERC ahead of meeting on 25 March when the Administration Committee will review the proposal. I feel that the discussion on 25 February will benefit from both the experience of those who have served on the Committee for a while and the insights from those who have joined it recently.

Attached is the main report followed by the supplementary paper.

Councillor Charles Williams