

Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC

From: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth: RBKC
Sent: 01 March 2017 17:39
To: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC
Cc: Richardson Philip (TTS): H&F; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan: RBKC
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Lara

Yes, that should be ok.

Kind regards

Julian

Julian Trill | Bi-borough Area Senior Officer | Noise and Nuisance Team
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea | London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham
Council Offices, 37 Pembroke Road, London, W8 6PW | Tel: 020 7341 5640

In the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, following the adoption of the Council's Code of Construction Practice, permitted hours for noisy building works have changed to: **8am – 6pm: Monday to Friday; No noisy work allowed on Saturdays, Sundays and Bank/Public Holidays.** Click [here](#) for further information.

If you would like to comment about the service that you have received please click [here](#) and complete our quick survey.

From: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan
Sent: 01 March 2017 17:11
To: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth <Julian.Trill@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson (TTS), Philip: H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Julian

If we are okay with what they've submitted and consider that the noise levels are acceptable, then the condition requesting the materials is not necessary and would therefore not meet the tests in the NPPF.

I have emailed the applicant and asked them if they've got more details available already, but if not, I would suggest that we attach the condition requiring compliance with the noise limits. If these are being breached, the applicant would have to stop the noise-creating activities, and if they need to install further mitigation measures, they would likely have to come back for an amendment to their planning permission.

Would this be acceptable from your point of view?

Kind regards
Lara

Lara Peter | Senior Planning Officer | Strategic Developments | Development Management | The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Kensington Town Hall, London W8 7NX | lara.peter@rbkc.gov.uk | 0207 361 3377

From: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth: RBKC
Sent: 01 March 2017 09:05
To: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC <Lara.Peter@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson Philip (TTS): H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan: RBKC <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Lara

Maybe I am not looking at this correctly, but I don't see too much of a problem with the condition below – they just have to provide us with details of the MUGA kickboards prior to their installation (which could obviously be a while), and the condition does not talk about levels.

The condition in my memo (no.5) that does mention levels is a re-working of the applicant's proposed condition (no. 16, page 53, of the Planning Statement), so I can't see that they would have an objection?

The report does show that noise levels should be acceptable, so in that sense I am happy. However, I wanted to ensure some protection for residents in case the predicted levels are not quite the same in practice (there is always a margin of error) and we receive complaints – at least then we will have some defined targets for them to meet.

I hope this makes sense?

Julian

Julian Trill | Bi-borough Area Senior Officer | Noise and Nuisance Team
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea | London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham
Council Offices, 37 Pembroke Road, London, W8 6PW | Tel: 020 7341 5640

In the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, following the adoption of the Council's Code of Construction Practice, permitted hours for noisy building works have changed to: **8am – 6pm: Monday to Friday; No noisy work allowed on Saturdays, Sundays and Bank/Public Holidays.** Click [here](#) for further information.

If you would like to comment about the service that you have received please click [here](#) and complete our quick survey.

From: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan
Sent: 28 February 2017 17:06
To: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth <Julian.Trill@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson (TTS), Philip: H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Julian

I've asked the applicant if they've got this information available now. If not, then we may have to make a decision based on the information that we've got. Conditioning the information is difficult – what happens if the results show that the noise is not acceptable? If we cannot get this information now, would you still consider the proposals to be acceptable, given that the Clarke Saunders report predicted the noise levels to be significantly below the limits?

Kind regards

Lara

Lara Peter | Senior Planning Officer | Strategic Developments | Development Management | The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Kensington Town Hall, London W8 7NX | lara.peter@rbkc.gov.uk | 0207 361 3377

From: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth: RBKC
Sent: 27 February 2017 16:49
To: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC <Lara.Peter@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson Philip (TTS): H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan: RBKC <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Lara

The details regarding the MUGA assessment in the submitted report are quite thin, and there is no information on the materials that will surround the pitch. That said, the predicted levels are around 10 dB below the existing levels, so although noise might just be audible it should not have an impact. Clarke Saunders are a respected consultancy and I would therefore assume they have taken into account the likely material surrounding the MUGA when making their calculations and using their database of comparable noise data from existing MUGA.

However, I still think it would be prudent to have details of the materials around the MUGA as although they were mentioned at pre-app stage, no further details were provided; so perhaps, in terms of the MUGA, we could keep:

1. Prior to their installation, full details of the internal facing materials for the MUGA, including kickboards and any impact baffles designed to minimise the generation of impact noise from ball game impacts, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Executive Director of the Planning and Borough Development in consultation with the Director for Environmental Health. The details shall include:
 - a) Supporting drawings;
 - b) Manufacturer's specifications in terms of its resistance to the generation of impact noise;
 - c) Any necessary illustrative material in the form of photographic examples, and supporting textual material.

The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the approved details and maintained as such thereafter.

Does this make sense?

Thanks

Julian

Julian Trill | Bi-borough Area Senior Officer | Noise and Nuisance Team
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea | London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham
Council Offices, 37 Pembroke Road, London, W8 6PW | Tel: 020 7341 5640

In the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, following the adoption of the Council's Code of Construction Practice, permitted hours for noisy building works have changed to: **8am – 6pm: Monday to Friday; No noisy work allowed on Saturdays, Sundays and Bank/Public Holidays.** Click [here](#) for further information.

If you would like to comment about the service that you have received please click [here](#) and complete our quick survey.

From: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan
Sent: 27 February 2017 16:00
To: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth <Julian.Trill@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson (TTS), Philip: H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Julian

Can you please clarify as a matter of urgency whether

- The submitted noise report does not contain sufficient information as to whether the noise effects from the MUGA will be acceptable; or
- Whether there is sufficient information, but it shows that we do need barrier materials for mitigation?

Thanks and kind regards
Laraa

Lara Peter | Senior Planning Officer | Strategic Developments | Development Management | The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Kensington Town Hall, London W8 7NX | lara.peter@rbkc.gov.uk | 0207 361 3377

From: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth: RBKC
Sent: 23 February 2017 15:51
To: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC <Lara.Peter@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson Philip (TTS): H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan: RBKC <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Lara

Further to Philip's email...

I reviewed the acoustic report and while I was generally happy, I did have some concerns over the MUGA due to the potentially aggravating features of noise that would be generated – e.g. footballs banging against the side – and that this may not have been completely addressed. Perhaps I have gone OTT on the conditions – however, the one on noise levels is one that the applicant has put forward themselves. The one regarding kickboard and barrier materials round the side I felt was important to include as that could be the source of any noise that causes complaints – we have a colleague who has done a project on this topic, so we've been made aware of the issues that can arise.

Happy to discuss further.

Julian

Julian Trill | Bi-borough Area Senior Officer | Noise and Nuisance Team
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea | London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham
Council Offices, 37 Pembroke Road, London, W8 6PW | Tel: 020 7341 5640

In the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, following the adoption of the Council's Code of Construction Practice, permitted hours for noisy building works have changed to: **8am – 6pm: Monday to**

Friday; No noisy work allowed on Saturdays, Sundays and Bank/Public Holidays. Click [here](#) for further information.

If you would like to comment about the service that you have received please click [here](#) and complete our quick survey.

From: Richardson Philip (TTS): H&F
Sent: 23 February 2017 15:23
To: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan: RBKC <Lara.Peter@rbkc.gov.uk>; Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth: RBKC <Julian.Trill@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Flight, Alison: CP-Plan: RBKC <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Lara,

I feel I may have dropped a clanger here, although both myself and Julian agree that the as the predicted noise levels of the MUGA are lower than the background that it is acceptable, I've just checked my notes from our meeting on the 20th October 16 and we did discuss in that meeting the acoustic properties of the kickboards/rebound wall around the outside of the MUGA and it was agreed that we would ideally want to see the acoustic information regarding this also potentially acoustic info regarding the screen v shaped metal baffle.

It appears that I did not check my notes when replying to the last email of the 30th November and that info Julian has request should have been included as discussed on the meeting on the 20th October.

Regards

Philip Richardson | Bi-borough Area Senior Officer | Residential | Noise & Nuisance Team

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea | London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

Council Offices, 37 Pembroke Road, London, W8 6PW | Tel: 0208 753 4334

www.lbhf.gov.uk and www.rbkc.gov.uk

If you would like to comment about the service that you have received please click [here](#) and complete our quick survey.

From: Peter, Lara: CP-Plan
Sent: 23 February 2017 15:05
To: Trill, Julian: TTS-EnvHealth <Julian.Trill@rbkc.gov.uk>
Cc: Richardson (TTS), Philip: H&F <Philip.RichardsonTTS@lbhf.gov.uk>; Flight, Alison: CP-Plan <Alison.Flight@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments on PP/17/00253 128A Lancaster Road

Hi Julian

Last week you've sent through some comments on the above application – thank you for that. I am however concerned about the conclusion and the recommended conditions.

I have previously had pre-application advice from Philip Richardson (see attached), and the conclusion after reviewing the latest version of the acoustic report was that we would only need a condition regarding the plant – not the MUGA.

Can you please advise why the conclusion is now different? We have advised the applicant that no further acoustic assessment is needed before the application was submitted...

Many thanks and kind regards
Lara

Lara Peter | Senior Planning Officer | Strategic Developments | Development Management | The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Kensington Town Hall, London W8 7NX | lara.peter@rbkc.gov.uk | 0207 361 3377