
PLANNING AND BOROUGH DEVELOPMENT

**THE ROYAL
BOROUGH OF**

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director DAVID PROUT

Mrs. F. Debrunner,
8 Phene Street,
London
SW3 5NY

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464
Direct Line: 0207 361-2082
Extension: 2082
Facsimile: 0207 361-3463

01 October 2007



**KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA**

My Ref: DPS/DCS /PP/07 /01662&/01663 /SW
Your Ref:

Please ask for: Mrs. S. Wilden

Dear Madam,

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Phene Arms, 9 Phene Street, LONDON, SW3 5NY

I refer to your email of 3 September concerning the above applications and apologise for the delay in replying.

The application was not considered by the Planning Committee, but was withdrawn by the applicant following discussion with the case planning officer.

The applicant was advised that the application was considered unacceptable for two reasons.

Firstly, the loss of the public house use was considered contrary to UDP Policy H5, which resists the loss of local services and facilities which support the residential character of the area, and Policy CD61 which is to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of Conservation Areas.

Secondly, the proposed rear extension and roof terrace would have harmed the residential amenity of neighbouring properties (especially your own) in terms of loss of light and privacy and by the creation of an increased sense of enclosure, contrary to Policies CD33, CD35 and CD36.

I trust that this answers your enquiry.

Yours faithfully,

Mr. B. Coey,
Area Team Leader
For The Executive Director,
Planning and Borough Development

PLANNING AND BOROUGH DEVELOPMENT

**THE ROYAL
BOROUGH OF**

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director DAVID PROUT

Mr. A. Johnston
4 Phene Street,
London,
SW3

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464
Direct Line: 0207 361-2082
Extension: 2082
Facsimile: 0207 361-3463



**KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA**

01 October 2007

My Ref: DPS/DCS /PP/07 /01662 /SW

Please ask for: Mrs. S. Wilden

Your Ref:

Dear Sir,

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Phene Arms, 9 Phene Street, LONDON, SW3 5NY

I refer to your email of 20 September concerning the above applications and apologise for the delay in replying.

The application was not considered by the Planning Committee, but was withdrawn by the applicant following discussion with the case planning officer.

The applicant was advised that the application was considered unacceptable for two reasons.

Firstly, the loss of the public house use was considered contrary to Unitary Development Plan Policy H5, which resists the loss of local services and facilities which support the residential character of the area, and Policy CD61 which is to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of Conservation Areas.

Secondly, the proposed rear extension and roof terrace would have harmed the residential amenity of neighbouring properties in terms of loss of light and privacy and by the creation of an increased sense of enclosure, contrary to Policies CD33, CD35 and CD36.

Those submitting comments upon planning applications are required to provide their addresses. It is fair to say that supporters tended to live near the premises and that many objectors were from further afield, reflecting their different experiences of the more recent operation of the premises. It is understood from the Licensing section that problems at the Phene Arms in recent years were due to a particular licensee. Any future operation would of course be subject to the grant of a licence by the Council as licensing authority and the issue of residential amenity would be considered at that time.

Yours faithfully,

Mr. B. Coey, Area Team Leader,
For The Executive Director,
Planning and Borough Development

R