Private Sector Housing Enforcement Policy Updated 2025



Private Sector Housing

Enforcement Policy

Introduction

The Environmental Health Department's Private Sector Housing Team aims to protect the health, safety and welfare of residents and the public, who are affected by poor housing conditions and deficient property management by,

- Seeking a behaviour change and pursuing legal punishment of those who flout the law:
- Making a fairer private rented sector (PRS) market by eliminating the financial gain or benefit from those who are non-compliant;
- Providing transparent and consistent regulation within the PRS market;
- Promoting professionalism and resilience within the PRS.

They do this by enforcing housing law, mainly in the Housing Act 2004 ("the Act"), the Housing and Planning Act 2016, Public Health Acts and other legislation.

This enforcement policy is drawn up in line with the principles of good enforcement outlined in the Enforcement Concordat, the Hampton report and with specific regard to the <u>Regulator's Code</u> (Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006), Regulatory Enforcement and Sanctions Act 2008. This policy is specific to the enforcement action taken by the Private Sector Housing Team and takes precedence.

Enforcement action initiated by Private Sector Housing will normally be taken in accordance with this specific policy, rather than the Environmental Health Enforcement Policy. This avoids any confusion between some similar terminology used across environmental health disciplines which have different definitions and meanings.

This policy sets out the practical application of enforcement and the available powers we have at our disposal to regulate and manage non-compliance, predominantly within the private rented sector, as found in Appendix 1.

To avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens, the Council expects landlords to comply with the law and proactively manage their properties. This is to ensure that the health and welfare of tenants are protected, their properties, and activities at their properties, are not having a negative effect on the neighbourhood and compliant businesses operating in the private rented sector can operate fairly and grow economically. Enforcement action and resources will be applied proportionally based on the seriousness of the offence/s and focused toward seeking the highest penalties for the worst offenders. This means taking legal action where we detect serious or systematic breaches of housing and public health legislation.

Where less significant breaches of the law are witnessed and/or the risk to health is lower, we will attempt to resolve problems through the signposting of complainants, possible using lesser civil legal routes or informally. This will enable economic growth for compliant businesses.

Our enforcement policy contributes to the Council's values of Putting Our Communities First, Integrity, Respect and Working Together. With the aim of making the Borough a great place to live, work and learn, to assist in the Grenfell Recovery and to make it a place where vulnerable residents are supported and the PRS is healthy, clean and safe.

How will we investigate?

When a complaint had been received, it will normally be responded to within 2 working days by an officer in the Private Sector Housing Team. The case will be allocated a case officer (CO) and they will be responsible for keeping the complainant up to date, contacting all other interested parties (landlords, residential providers, etc), they will follow reasonable lines of enquiry and investigate to obtain accurate and reliable information and evidence, to enable themselves to progress the case to conclusion.

In the first instance for most cases, service users are expected to take their own action to resolve the problem by contacting their landlord and allowing them adequate time to respond.

Leaseholder/Freeholder complaints: The Private Sector Housing Team is generally not able to respond to complaints by leaseholders requesting assistance in taking action against other leaseholders or freeholders for breach of contract or civil disputes (this includes all tenure types). We will only offer assistance in cases where there are exceptional circumstances; this may include cases where there is imminent risk to health.

Leaseholders are advised to contact; The Leasehold Advisory Service - Fleetbank House, 2-6 Salisbury Square, London, EC4Y 8JX. Telephone: 020 7832 2500. http://www.lease-advice.org

Residential Providers (RP) (Housing Associations) complaints. The PSH team will deal with, and enforce, Residential Providers (Housing Association) complaints in the same way as any normal private sector housing disrepair complaints.

Where the complaint is concerning the RP act or conduct outside of our powers we will try to assist wherever possible. However in these circumstances outside of PSH powers we would advise the complainant to contact the Housing Ombudsman Service (HOS) after using the RPs own internal complaints process.

The HOS details are (https://www.housing-ombudsman.org.uk/) on 0300 111 3000 or email to info@housing-ombudsman.org.uk written enquires to Housing Ombudsman Service, Exchange Tower, Harbour Exchange Square, London E14 9GE.

Enforcement Approach

The PSH Team's enforcement activity is guided by three key principles

Consistency: This means taking a similar approach in similar circumstances to achieve similar ends. It does not mean uniformity. Officers will take into account many factors such as the level of risk, culpability of the offender, the history of compliance and the attitude and actions of those involved.

Openness: We will explain our actions clearly, in appropriate language and discuss compliance failures or problems. A clear distinction will be made between legal requirements and advice or guidance.

Proportionality: We will endeavour to balance the punishment of an offender against the direct risks to the persons effected, the effect on the wider community and severity of the breach of the law involved, in order to deter offenders from repeating the offence and discouraging others from committing similar offences.

The Private Sector Housing Team (PSH Team) will operate in a supportive 'light touch' manner for compliant landlords, owners, agents and tenants. We will endeavour to provide general information, advice and guidance to make it easier for landlords to understand and meet their regulatory obligations. Our enforcement focus will be on those who are non-compliant. We will use data from various sources, such as complaints, licensing audits and historical data to target our interventions.

Where property defects and evidence of poor management are identified and are likely to significantly impact on health, the PSH Team will take action. We will also take action where information is not provided or misleading information is given and when fraud is uncovered. A significant health impact is related to the existence of Category 1 hazards, statutory nuisances, management regulations breaches and other significant public health hazards.

The PSH Team will usually serve a statutory Notice or Order where Category 1 hazards are found and reasonable time will be given to complete the works. For nuisances and other public health matters that are not abated by the responsible person before the Council witnesses the offence, this will normally result in the relevant statutory notice being served. Breaches of HMO management regulations and/or breach of the conditions of a property licence will normally lead to enforcement as detailed appendix 1.

Where legislation allows, charges for the service of each notice will normally be made.

Failure to comply with notices served, will normally lead to legal action being taken against the appropriate person. Where legal action is necessary to address housing offences and crimes, financial penalties will normally be used as the primary enforcement tool. Prosecutions will be taken as an alternative where there have been,

- serious neglect of their responsibilities as a landlord/agent, or
- significant harm as a result of their criminality, or
- where there have been previous criminal convictions or out of court disposals, or
- Poor history of compliance with housing and associated legislation, or
- the criminality has had significant adverse effects on tenants or other victims, or
- Issuing a Financial Penalty is not likely to change perpetrator behaviour or housing conditions.

The PSH Team may also carry out works in default of the owner. The cost of these works and the administrative costs will be raised as a charge against the property.

All information obtained will be treated in confidence and in accordance with the The Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). However, it must be recognised that the Council operate secure mechanisms to share information with other internal and external agencies and law enforcement bodies. There will be circumstances where shared or complimentary enforcement action may be

taken with other agencies to help target resources and activities and minimise duplication.

A list of enforcement options and outcomes can be found in appendix 1.

Contacting Private Sector Housing Team

Those persons and individuals who are regulated by this department should expect that they will be dealt with professionally and in a manner in accordance with the <u>Council's</u> code of conduct for officers.

If an individual or company is not satisfied with the service or if not in agreement with the action taken by the investigating officer or wish to give feedback about the service they have received, they should first contact the Team Manager. If you wish to make a compliment you should also contact the Team Manager at,

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Private Sector Housing Team Manager Council Offices 37 Pembroke Road London W8 6PW

Tel: 0207 361 3002

Email: environmentalhealth@rbkc.gov.uk

If this does not resolve the complaint, the Council also has a formal complaints system. Please visit https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/footer-links/contact-us/complaints-comments-and-compliments#Corporate_complaints_process or called 0207 361 3000.

Please note you can still make a complaint in cases where the Council has instigated legal proceedings. However, making a complaint will not stop any impending legal action. Where statutory notices have been served, making a complaint does not replace your statutory rights of appeal or you right to make representation. Nor does it allow you extra time to comply with any notice.

If you disagree with a statutory notice then you should take action specified in the notice to make an appeal, if any exists. Please read the notes that accompany the notice for more detail.

If a summons or directions have been issued by a Court or Tribunal you must continue to follow these. As with all cases where legal action is being taken, it is strongly recommended that you seek legal advice.

Deterrence through publicity

We will work with various media organisations and persons to deter, promote and inform people about our enforcement regime.

We will publish prosecutions and civil penalty charge notices on the Greater London Authority (GLA) Rogue Landlord Database and the Ministry Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) statutory database.

Media coverage will normally be sought where the offence/s are serious or has significant factors such as the risk to health of tenants, visitors or neighbours, the exploitation of tenants, anti-social behaviour or an issue affecting the wider area or private rented sector.

We will seek media coverage to assist in securing compliance by others or is in the public interest to demonstrate the Councils actions and to help inform issues in the wider housing sector.

Publicity will also be sought to support other local authorities and regulatory partners in their enforcement efforts, especially where the perpetrator/s operate across borough boundaries.

Coverage will be sought to provide potential renters and tenants with information to enable them to check whether a landlords history of non-compliance. This to ensure the private rented housing market operates in a fair, equal and open way. In order to prevent tenants from being exploited by dishonest landlords/letting agents, and to create a level playing field for the good, compliant landlords/agents.

Press releases may also be issued about convictions where it is considered that publicity will bring in benefits by promoting compliance with those statutory requirements designed to protect the health, safety and welfare of customers, residents, workers and visitors.

Media coverage will not be sought where the primary motive is to cause damage to the subject.

APPENDIX 1: Enforcement Options

Action	Circumstances
1. No action	 Complaints or allegations of housing legislation breaches or statutory nuisances are of minor or low risk to health and the landlord has not been informed by the complainant, or allegations are unsubstantiated and unwitnessed. Formal action is inappropriate in the circumstances.
2. Advisory notices and letters	 Where conditions are evidenced to justify action and investigation and it is appropriate to give opportunity to landlords and tenants to make representations, provide information or effect change to meet compliance. No health impacts are present which poses a risk to health or nuisance.
3. Formal notices or orders	 The defect/conditions presents a risk to health and/or a nuisance. There are previous failures of statutory requirements. Previous advisory notices/letters ignored or action was not taken in a timely manner or to the correct standard. There is a lack of confidence in the individual or management i.e. the willingness to respond to an informal approach The Council is legally required to serve a statutory notice.
3. Financial Penalties (of up to £30,000.)	 Non-compliance with an improvement or overcrowding notice. Failure to obtain a property licence (Both parts 2 and 3 Housing Act 2004). Significant and/or repeated breaches of HMO management regulations. Breaches of the conditions of the property licence. For certain Housing Act 2004 breaches, amount of penalty decided by financial penalty Matrix (see appendix 2) Used as alternative to a prosecution. The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020: We may issue a penalty charge of up to £30,000 where a landlord has breached their duty. Smoke & Carbon Monoxide Regulations 2015: We may issue a penalty charge of up to £5,000 where a landlord has breached their duty. (see appendix 3) Energy Efficiency (Private Rented Property) (England and Wales) Regulations 2015 (MEES regs): We may issue a penalty charge of up to £5,000 where a landlord has breached their duty.
4. Works in Default - Emergency Remedial Action & Emergency Prohibition Order	 There is an imminent risk to health and safety to the occupant and/or public. Examples include serious pest infestation, waste on land, filthy and verminous /hoarding cases. Awaiting the service of a notice or a prosecution would not adequately protect the public interest. However this does not rule out subsequent action being taken in conjunction with a prosecution, financial penalty, RRO or other legal action.

5. Works in Default – non- compliance with a notice	 We may choose to carry out works required by a notice if they have not been completed within the permitted time or are not likely to be completed within the permitted time. This may be taken in conjunction or followed with a prosecution or financial penalty and/or RRO.
6. Reducing the term (length) of a Property Licence.	 When assessing a Property Licence application, where appropriate and in conjunction with the Council's Fit and Proper and Cause for Concern policies, we may reduce the term of the licence. A Licence holder may continue to stay on a 1 year licence if they still are a 'Cause for Concern'. e.g not fulfilling the
	training requirement, poor management etc;
7. Adding new property licence conditions	When assessing a Property Licence application, where appropriate and in conjunction with the Council's Fit and Proper and Cause for Concern policies, we may add further conditions to remedy poor landlord behaviour or standards e.g. not fulfilling the training requirement, poor management etc.;
8. Formal (Simple) Caution	Where a prosecution or Financial Penalty is determined not to be in the public interest.
9. Refusal to grant a property licence and	Where the Licence application is not made in accordance with the Council's application requirements; or
Revocation of property licenses and approvals	Where the Licence application is not accompanied by the appropriate fee; or
	Where the proposed manager/licence holder is not a 'fit and proper' person; or
	Where the proposed manager/licence holder is not the most appropriate person to hold a licence; or
	Where the proposed manager/licence holder is not the person or an agent of a person who has control of the property; or
	Where the proposed management arrangements are not satisfactory; or
	Where the property is not reasonably suitable of occupation in regards the number of persons or households.
	 Where the Council consider that the licence holder or any other person has committed a serious breach or repeated breaches of a condition of the licence.
	Or a combination of the above.
10. Prosecution	There is sufficient and reliable evidence beyond all reasonable doubt that an offence has been committed.
	 There is a realistic prospect of conviction. The prosecution is in the public interest.
	See section 3.15 for more detail.
11. Rent Repayment Orders (RRO)	 RRO will be considered after every successful prosecution for failure to comply with an Improvement Notice (section 30); Prohibition Order, including Emergency Prohibition Orders (section 32); Offences in relation to licensing of HMOs (section 72) and i relation to licensing of houses under Part 3 of the Act (section 95). Where a landlord fails to licence a licensable property and
	they received a significant amount of Housing Benefit, a RRO application may be made to the First Tier Tribunal

12. Banning Order	• The Council may decide to seek a Banning Order following the breach of 'banning order offences' by landlords and agents. A banning order last for a minimum of 12 months and prevent landlords or agents from letting their own properties or being involved in the lettings and property management industry across England. (Due to come into force by October 2017)
13Proceeds of Crime Act	 Where landlords or others have benefited from the proceeds of a criminal activity a Proceeds of Crime application may be made.

Appendix 2: 2025 Amended Policy and Statements of Principles for Civil Penalties under the Private Sector Housing Enforcement policy.

Civil Penalties under the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020

Policy and Statement of Principles

1. Interpretation

In this policy, the term 'landlord' should be read as including letting agents, managing agents, licensors, property owners, directors of corporate landlords and any other person involved in the letting or management of privately rented accommodation.

In this policy, the terms 'House in Multiple Occupation' or 'HMO' are defined by section 254 of the Housing Act 2004.

2. Civil Penalties, Context

Section 126 and Schedule 9 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 provide local authorities with the power, through the insertion of section 249A Housing Act 2004, to impose a civil penalty as an alternative to prosecution in respect of the following offences under the Housing Act 2004:

- Failure to comply with an Improvement Notice [section 30]
- Offences in relation to licensing of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) under Part 2 [section 72]
- Offences in relation to the Selective Licensing of 'houses' under Part 3 [section 95]
- Failure to comply with an Overcrowding Notice [section 139]
- Failure to comply with a management regulation in respect of an HMO [section 234]

Regulation 11 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 provides local authorities with the power to impose a civil penalty in respect of breaches of Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020.

In addition, section 23 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 provides that a civil penalty may be imposed in respect of a breach of a Banning Order.

The Council has the power to impose a civil penalty of up to a maximum of £30,000 for each separate offence. If multiple offenders have committed the same offence at the same property, a separate civil penalty can, and usually will, be imposed on each offender. In each case, the level of civil penalty imposed on each offender will be in line with this policy.

This guidance outlines the Council's policy in setting the level of a civil penalty in each case where it has been determined to issue a civil penalty as an alternative to prosecution proceedings.

3. Objectives of this policy

The Council considers the need for transparency and consistency in the discharge of its functions under the Housing Act 2004 to be of primary importance. The general objective of this policy is, therefore, to promote both transparency and consistency in the imposition of financial penalties under the 2004 Act so that, for example, those managing and having control of rented properties in the Council (a) know how the Council will generally penalise relevant offences and (b) are assured that, generally, like cases will be penalised similarly, and different cases penalised differently. The further objectives of using financial penalties in particular as a means of enforcing the above offences are explained below.

4. Statutory Guidance

The Government has issued statutory guidance under Schedule 9 of the Housing & Planning Act 2016 entitled "Civil penalties under the Housing and Planning Act 2016. Guidance for Local Housing Authorities". The Council has regard to this guidance in the exercise of their functions in respect of civil penalties.

Paragraph 3.5 of the statutory guidance states that 'The actual amount levied in any particular case should reflect the severity of the offence, as well as taking account of the landlord's previous record of offending'. The same paragraph sets out several factors that should be taken into account to ensure that the civil penalty is set at an appropriate level in each case:

- a. **Severity of the offence.** The more serious the offence, the higher the penalty should be.
- b. **Culpability and track record of the offender.** A higher penalty will be appropriate where the offender has a history of failing to comply with their obligations and/or their actions were deliberate and/or they knew, or ought to have known, that they were in breach of their legal responsibilities. Landlords are running a business and should be expected to be aware of their legal obligations.
- c. **The harm caused to the tenant.** This is a very important factor when determining the level of penalty. The greater the harm or the potential for harm (this may be as perceived by the tenant), the higher the amount should be when imposing a civil penalty.
- d. **Punishment of the offender.** A civil penalty should not be regarded as an easy or lesser option compared to prosecution. While the penalty should be proportionate and reflect both the severity of the offence and whether there is a pattern of previous offending, it is important that it is set at a high enough level to help ensure that it has a real economic impact on the offender and demonstrate the consequences of not complying with their responsibilities.

- e. **Deter the offender from repeating the offence.** The ultimate goal is to prevent any further offending and help ensure that the landlord fully complies with all of their legal responsibilities in future. The level of the penalty should therefore be set at a high enough level such that it is likely to deter the offender from repeating the offence.
- f. **Deter others from committing similar offences.** While the fact that someone has received a civil penalty will not be in the public domain, it is possible that other landlords in the local area will become aware through informal channels when someone has received a civil penalty. An important part of deterrence is the realisation that (a) the local authority is proactive in levying civil penalties where the need to do so exists and (b) that the level of civil penalty will be set at a high enough level to both punish the offender and deter repeat offending.
- g. Remove any financial benefit the offender may have obtained as a result of committing the offence. The guiding principle here should be to ensure that the offender does not benefit as a result of committing an offence, i.e. it should not be cheaper to offend than to ensure a property is well maintained and properly managed.

The factors detailed in the statutory guidance and policy aims will be considered by the Council when deciding where, within the Civil Penalties matrix below, a particular offence and penalty fall.

5. Other Policy Aims

The Council is mindful that despite its best efforts, many landlords may operate unlawfully for a significant period of time without detection, and only a proportion of landlords committing relevant offences will be discovered. The Council is, therefore, mindful that when deciding to impose a Civil Penalty, it should create an environment where it is clear to the offender and others that operating unlawfully as a landlord will be financially disadvantageous when compared to operating lawfully.

The Council intends to create an environment where landlords engage with the Council's requests and demands fulsomely, openly and honestly. This helps create a level playing field which supports the aims of transparency and consistency. No landlord should be able to financially benefit from withholding information the Council deems relevant that is, or should be, in their control to disclose. It is expected that fulsome and complete supporting evidence is provided to support any Written Representations received in response to a Notice of Intent.

6. Civil Penalties Matrix

In determining the level of a civil penalty, officers will have regard to the matrix set out below, which is to be read in conjunction with the associated guidance. The matrix is intended to provide indicative 'starting levels' under the various offence categories, with the final level of the civil penalty adjusted in each case, taking into account aggravating and mitigating factors the Council deems significant including, but not limited to, factors relating to the track record and culpability of the landlord and the actual or potential harm to the occupants.

In deciding what level of penalty to impose, officers will conduct the following three stage process.

- 1. they will consider the seriousness of the relevant housing offence to identify a starting level of the penalty.
- an assessment of the number of rental properties controlled or owned or managed by the landlord and/or their experience in the letting/management of property will be considered, which may have the effect of increasing or decreasing the penalty.
- aggravating and mitigating factors that may relate to a number of factors including, but not limited to, culpability, track record and harm will be considered, which may have the effect of increasing or decreasing the penalty.

To reflect the seriousness of the offence(s) in question, the presence of one or more mitigating factors will rarely result in the penalty being decreased in excess of a total of £5,000. In exceptional circumstances, officers may determine that the presence of one or more mitigating factors justify a decrease in the penalty in excess of £5,000. The presence of numerous mitigating factors will not automatically be considered as exceptional circumstances.

The Council has not provided a list of mitigating factors in this policy because it acknowledges that there are myriad possible circumstances that might give rise to mitigation.

To ensure that any penalty imposed is proportionate to the offending behaviour the presence of one or more aggravating factors will rarely result in the penalty being increased in excess of a total of £5,000. In exceptional circumstances, officers may determine that the presence of one or more aggravating factors justify an increase in the penalty in excess of £5,000. The presence of numerous aggravating factors will not automatically be considered as exceptional circumstances.

The Council may, exceptionally, including for the reason given above, increase the penalty by greater than £5000 on account of aggravating factors or, again exceptionally, decrease it by greater than £5000 on account of mitigating factors. In order to meet the objectives of this policy, including the need for transparency and consistency in the use of such penalties, the Council will exercise its discretion to increase or decrease a penalty by greater than £5,000 on account of aggravating or mitigating factors in exceptional circumstances only excluding any discounts as set out below. The Council will consider on a case-by-case basis whether any such circumstances exist.

7. Seriousness of offence consideration

Offences for which a Civil Penalty may be issued vary in their level of seriousness. The assessment of that seriousness is the basis for setting a starting level for the penalty sum. The range of seriousness of offence and their corresponding penalty starting sum levels are set out below.

Table 1: Seriousness of offence and corresponding starting level of penalty sum

Seriousness of offence	Starting level [£]
Mild	£2,500
Moderate	£7,500
Serious	£12,500
Very Serious	£17,500
Severe	£22,500
Very Severe	£27,500

Table 2: :Generic aggravating features/factors

The Council will have regard to general factors in determining the final level of the civil penalty including, but not limited to:

- A previous history of non-compliance would justify an increased civil penalty.
 Non-exhaustive examples of previous non-compliance would include previous successful prosecutions [including recent convictions that were 'spent'], receipt of financial penalties, rent repayment orders, works in default of the landlord and breaches of regulations/obligations, irrespective of whether these breaches had been the subject of separate formal action.
- A failure to cooperate with a Council investigation. Non-exhaustive examples
 of failure to cooperate would include failing to comply with a s.16 Local
 Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 notice, failing to comply
 with a s.235 Housing Act 2004 notice, failing to provide a substantive
 response to a letter of alleged offence.
- Deliberate intent when committing the offence. Non-exhaustive examples of deliberate intent would include knowledge that the offence was occurring, committing the offence after relevant correspondence was sent by the Council.
- The number of residents placed at risk
- Offending over an extended period of time i.e. 6 months or longer
- Whether any vulnerable residents were in occupation at the time of the
 offence. Non-exhaustive examples of vulnerable residents include young
 adults and children, persons vulnerable by virtue of age, persons vulnerable
 by virtue of disability or sensory impairment, persons with a drug or alcohol
 addiction, victims of domestic abuse, children in care or otherwise vulnerable
 by virtue of age, people with complex health conditions, people who do not
 speak English as their first language, victims of trafficking or sexual
 exploitation, refugees, asylum seekers

8. Applying civil penalties to offences under the Housing Act 2004 as an alternative to prosecution and relevant considerations as to the penalty sum

The following section sets out the specific offences to which a Civil Penalty may be imposed and the methodology for calculating a penalty sum for each of the relevant offences.

<u>Failure to comply with an Improvement Notice - Section 30 of the Housing Act</u> 2004

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to comply with an Improvement Notice - Unlimited

An Improvement Notice served under Part 1 Housing Act 2004 specifies repairs/improvements that the recipient should carry out in order to address one or more identified Category 1 and/or Category 2 hazards in a property. Category 1 hazards are the most serious hazards, judged to have the highest risk of harm to the occupiers; the Council has a duty to take appropriate action where a dwelling is found to have one or more Category 1 hazards present.

In some cases, the service of an Improvement Notice will have followed an informal stage, where the landlord had been given the opportunity to carry out improvements without the need for formal action. In such cases, an identified failure to comply with an Improvement Notice will represent a continued failure on the part of the landlord to deal appropriately with one or more significant hazards affecting the occupier[s] of the relevant dwelling.

The Council will view the offence of failing to comply with the requirements of an Improvement Notice as a significant failing, exposing the tenant[s] of a dwelling to one or more significant hazards.

Starting level: the seriousness of the offence is viewed by the Council as being **severe**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £22,500.

Under this policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under this policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £22,500.

Under this policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of

the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £27,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to non-compliance with an Improvement Notice

The nature and extent of hazards that are present. Multiple hazards and/or severe/extreme hazards that are considered to have a significant impact on the health and/or safety of the occupant[s] in the property or their guests would justify an increase in the level of the civil penalty.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

<u>Failure to license a property under the Mandatory HMO Licensing Scheme – Section 72(1) of the Housing Act 2004</u>

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to license an HMO - Unlimited

Under Part 2 Housing Act 2004, most higher risk HMOs occupied by 5 or more persons forming 2 or more households are required to hold a property licence issued by the local authority. HMO licensing was introduced to allow local authorities to regulate standards and conditions in high risk, multiply occupied residential premises. Through the property licence regime, local authorities ensure that the HMO has sufficient kitchens, baths/showers and WCs and place a limit on the number of persons permitted to occupy it and the licence holder is required to comply with a set of licence conditions.

The Council will view the offence of failing to license an HMO as a significant failing; licensing was introduced by the Government in order to regulate management, conditions, standards and safety in the properties considered to represent the highest risk to tenants as regards such matters as fire safety and overcrowding.

Starting level: the seriousness of the offence is viewed by the Council as being **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no

other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to failure to licence offence

The condition of the unlicensed property. The nature and extent of any significant hazards that are present would justify an increase in the level of the civil penalty. Equally, an HMO that was found to be poorly managed and/or lacking amenities/fire safety precautions and/or overcrowded would also justify an increased civil penalty.

Any demonstrated evidence that the landlord/agent was familiar with the need to obtain a property licence e.g. the fact that they were a named licence holder or manager in respect of an already licensed premises.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to license a property under the Council's Additional HMO Licensing Scheme – Section 72(1) of the Housing Act 2004

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to license an HMO - Unlimited

The Council has designated the whole of the borough as an additional licensing area. The scheme came into force on 1 June 2023 and expires on 31 May 2028. Under the scheme, most HMOs occupied by three or more persons forming two or more households sharing one or more basic amenities such as a WC or kitchen, but which fall outside the scope of mandatory HMO licensing, will be required to hold an additional licence in order to be legally let.

The Council would view the offence of failing to license an HMO under its additional licensing scheme as a significant failing. The Council has introduced additional HMO licensing, amongst other reasons, in order to regulate management, conditions, standards and safety in the properties considered to represent a higher risk to tenants as regards such matters as fire safety and overcrowding compared with properties occupied by a single-family household.

Starting level: the seriousness of the offence is viewed by the Council as being **serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to failure to licence offence

The condition of the unlicensed property. The nature and extent of any significant hazards that are present would justify an increase in the level of the civil penalty. Equally, an HMO that was found to be poorly managed and/or lacking amenities/fire safety precautions and/or overcrowded would also justify an increased civil penalty.

Any demonstrated evidence that the landlord/agent was familiar with the need to obtain a property licence e.g. the fact that they were a named licence holder or manager in respect of an already licensed premises.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

<u>Failure to Comply with an Overcrowding Notice – Section 139 of the Housing</u> Act 2004

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to comply with an Overcrowding Notice – Unlimited

Section 139 Housing Act 2004 allows the Council to serve an Overcrowding Notice in respect of an HMO that is not required to be licensed under Part 2 Housing Act 2004. The notice specifies, on a room-by-room basis, the maximum number of persons allowed to occupy each room as sleeping accommodation or that the room is not considered suitable for that purpose.

The Council would view the offence of failing to comply with the requirements of an Overcrowding Notice as a significant matter, exposing the tenant[s] of an HMO to unacceptably cramped living conditions.

Starting level: The seriousness of the offence is viewed by the Council as being **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to non-compliance with an Overcrowding Notice

The level of overcrowding present – breaches that related to over-occupation of multiple rooms or extreme over-occupation of an individual room would justify a higher civil penalty

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

<u>Failure to Comply with a Banning Order – Section 21 of the Housing And Planning Act 2016</u>

Maximum Court fine that can be levied for failure to comply with a Banning Order following prosecution – Unlimited. In addition, the Court can also impose a prison sentence for up to 51 weeks.

The Housing and Planning Act 2016 includes provisions and processes for a person to be banned from being involved, for a specified period, in one or more of the following activities:

- Letting housing
- Engaging in letting agency work
- Engaging in property management work

Banning Orders are reserved for what are recognised as being the **most serious** housing-related offences. If the Council was satisfied that the offence of breaching a Banning Order had occurred, this would normally be the subject of prosecution proceedings. Where it was determined that a civil penalty would be appropriate in respect of a breach of a Banning Order, this would normally be set at the maximum level permitted, of £30,000 to reflect the severity of the offence.

Aggravating factors: as this is the maximum penalty permitted under the legislation, aggravating features are not relevant.

Failure to Comply with The Management of Houses in Multiple Occupation
[England] Regulations 2006 and The Licensing and Management of Houses in
Multiple Occupation (Additional Provisions) (England) Regulations 2007

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to comply with each individual regulation - unlimited

The Management of Houses in Multiple Occupation (England) Regulations 2006 impose duties on the persons managing HMOs in respect of:

- Providing information to occupiers [Regulation 3]
- Taking safety measures, including fire safety measures [Regulation 4]
- Maintaining the water supply and drainage [Regulation 5]
- Supplying and maintaining gas and electricity, including having these services/appliances regularly inspected [Regulation 6]
- Maintaining common parts [Regulation 7]
- Maintaining living accommodation [Regulation 8]
- Providing sufficient waste disposal facilities [Regulation 9]

The Licensing and Management of Houses in Multiple Occupation (Additional Provisions) (England) Regulations 2007 impose duties on the persons managing HMOs as defined by Section 257 Housing Act 2004 in respect of:

- Providing information to occupiers [regulation 4]
- Taking safety measures, including fire safety measures [regulation 5]
- Maintaining the water supply and drainage [regulation 6]
- Supplying and maintaining gas and electricity, including having these services/appliances regularly inspected [regulation 7]
- Maintaining common parts [regulation 8]
- Maintaining living accommodation [regulation 9]
- Providing sufficient waste disposal facilities [regulation 10]

It is important that the manager of an HMO complies with all regulations, but the Council recognises that a failure to comply with certain regulations is likely to have a much bigger impact on the safety and comfort of residents than others.

Failure to comply with the duty of manager to provide information to occupier (Regulation 3 or 4)

Starting level: the Council will view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to provide information to occupier as **mild**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £2,000, attracting a civil penalty of £500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no

other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £2,000,

attracting a civil penalty of £4,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Duty of manager to take safety measures

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to take safety measures as **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Duty of manager to supply and maintain gas and electricity

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to maintain the gas and electricity supply as **serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Duty of manager to maintain common parts, fixtures, fittings and appliances

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to maintain the common parts, fixture, fittings and appliances as **moderate**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

Duty of manager to maintain living accommodation

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to maintain the living accommodation as **moderate**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Duty to provide waste disposal facilities

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with the duty of the manager to provide waste disposal facilities as **moderate**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing only one HMO dwelling and no more than one other dwelling that is not an HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five

dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Management Regulation breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the management regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Breach of HMO licence conditions - Section 72(3) Housing Act 2004

Maximum Court fine following prosecution that can be levied for failure to comply with a licence condition - unlimited

All granted HMO licences impose a set of conditions on the licence holder. These conditions impose a variety of obligations relating to the letting, management and condition of the rented property.

It is important that the manager of a licensed property complies with all imposed conditions, but the Council recognises that a failure to comply with certain licence conditions is likely to have a much bigger impact on the safety and comfort of residents than others.

Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- Signage or the provision of information for tenants
- Provision of written terms of occupancy for tenants
- Procedures regarding complaints
- Procedures regarding vetting of incoming tenants
- Compliance with deposit protection legislation
- The recording and provision of information regarding rent payments
- Procedures relating to rent collection
- The provision of information regarding change of managers or licence holder details
- The provision of information related to changes in the property
- Requirements relating to the sale of the property
- Attending training courses
- Requirements to hold insurance
- The provision of insurance documentation

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **mild**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £12,000, attracting a civil penalty of £500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £2,000, attracting a civil penalty of £4,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Conditions breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence conditions breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- Procedures and actions regarding Inspections
- Procedures regarding Repair issues
- Maintenance and use of common parts (including gardens, outbuildings and property exterior) and living areas
- Safeguarding occupiers and minimising disruption during works
- Providing information regarding alterations and construction works,
- Procedures regarding emergency issues
- Waste and waste receptacles, pests, minor repairs, alterations or decoration.
- Giving written notice prior to entry
- Allowing access for inspections
- Minimising risk of water contamination
- Security of entry points
- The compliance of furnishings or furniture with fire safety regulations
- The provision of information regarding occupancy of the property

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **moderate**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Conditions breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence conditions breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- The provision of documentation regarding energy performance certificates, fire detection and prevention, emergency lighting, carbon monoxide detection, fire risk assessments, gas installations, electric installations and appliances
- Notification of legal proceedings, contraventions and other relevant information that may affect a fit and proper person status
- Procedures and actions regarding Anti-Social Behaviour

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the

letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Conditions breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence conditions breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each regulation.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- Minimum floor areas
- Occupancy rates
- Occupancy of rooms that are not to be used as sleeping accommodation
- Limits on number of households allowed to occupy the property or part of the property

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Condition breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence condition breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each licence condition breach.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

In an HMO licenced under the Council's Additional HMO licensing scheme - Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- The condition or existence of smoke alarms, carbon monoxide alarms, emergency lighting, gas installations, electric installations and appliances, fire detection or other fire safety features or requirements
- The provision of safe means of escape

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12.500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Condition breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence condition regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each licence condition breach.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

In an HMO licenced under the Council's Mandatory HMO licensing scheme - Failure to comply with licence conditions related to:

- The condition or existence of smoke alarms/automatic fire detection and warning, carbon monoxide alarms, emergency lighting, gas installations, electric installations and appliances, fire detection or other fire safety features or requirements
- The prevention including provision of safe means of escape

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with licence conditions relating to the bullet points directly above as **severe**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £22,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £22,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £27,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Licence Condition breach offences

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the licence condition regulation breach(es) and/or the deficiencies within each licence condition breach.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Offences under The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020

Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 impose duties on private landlords in relation to electrical installations. Regulation 3 is detailed below:

3. Duties of private landlords in relation to electrical installations

- (1) A private landlord who grants or intends to grant a specified tenancy must—
 - (a) ensure that the electrical safety standards are met during any period when the residential premises are occupied under a specified tenancy:
 - (b) ensure every electrical installation in the residential premises is inspected and tested at regular intervals by a qualified person; and
 - (c) ensure the first inspection and testing is carried out—
 - (i) before the tenancy commences in relation to a new specified tenancy; or
 - (ii) by 1st April 2021 in relation to an existing specified tenancy.
- (2) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1)(b) "at regular intervals" means—
 - (a) at intervals of no more than 5 years; or
 - (b) where the most recent report under sub-paragraph (3)(a) requires such inspection and testing to be at intervals of less than 5 years, at the intervals specified in that report.
- (3) Following the inspection and testing required under sub-paragraphs (1)(b) and (c) a private landlord must—
 - (a) obtain a report from the person conducting that inspection and test, which gives the results of the inspection and test and the date of the next inspection and test;
 - (b) supply a copy of that report to each existing tenant of the residential premises within 28 days of the inspection and test;
 - (c) supply a copy of that report to the local housing authority within 7 days of receiving a request in writing for it from that authority;
 - (d) retain a copy of that report until the next inspection and test is due and supply a copy to the person carrying out the next inspection and test: and
 - (e) supply a copy of the most recent report to—
 - (i) any new tenant of the specified tenancy to which the report relates before that tenant occupies those premises; and
 - (ii) any prospective tenant within 28 days of receiving a request in writing for it from that prospective tenant.
- (4) Where a report under sub-paragraph (3)(a) indicates that a private landlord is or is potentially in breach of the duty under sub-paragraph (1)(a) and the report requires the private landlord to undertake further investigative or remedial work, the private landlord must ensure that further investigative or remedial work is carried out by a qualified person within—

- (a) 28 days; or
- (b) the period specified in the report if less than 28 days, starting with the date of the inspection and testing.
- (5) Where paragraph (4) applies, a private landlord must—
 - (a) obtain written confirmation from a qualified person that the further investigative or remedial work has been carried out and that—
 - (i) the electrical safety standards are met; or

completion of the further investigative or remedial work.

- (ii) further investigative or remedial work is required;
- (b) supply that written confirmation, together with a copy of the report under sub-paragraph (3)(a) which required the further investigative or remedial work to each existing tenant of the residential premises within 28 days of completion of the further investigative or remedial work; and (c) supply that written confirmation, together with a copy of the report under sub-paragraph (3)(a) which required the further investigative or remedial work to the local housing authority within 28 days of
- (6) Where further investigative work is carried out in accordance with paragraph (4) and the outcome of that further investigative work is that further investigative or remedial work is required, the private landlord must repeat the steps in paragraphs (4) and (5) in respect of that further investigative or remedial work.
- (7) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (3)(e)(ii) a person is a prospective tenant in relation to residential premises if that person—
 - (a) requests any information about the premises from the prospective landlord for the purpose of deciding whether to rent those premises;
 - (b) makes a request to view the premises for the purpose of deciding whether to rent those premises; or
 - (c) makes an offer, whether oral or written, to rent those premises.

It is important that a private landlord complies with all aspects of Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020, however, the Council recognises that a failure to comply with certain aspects of Regulation 3 is likely to have a much bigger impact on the safety and comfort of residents than others.

Failure to comply with Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 sections (3)(b), 3(d), 3(e)

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with (3)(b), 3(d) or 3(e) of Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 as **mild**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO,

with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £2,000, attracting a civil penalty of £500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £2,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £4,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Electrical Safety Regulations breaches of duty

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the Electrical Safety Regulation breach(es) within each sub-regulation

Using an unqualified person lacking appropriate certification to carry out inspection, testing, investigative or remedial work.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to comply with Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 sections (1)(a), (1)(b), (1)(c), (3)(a), (3)(c), (5)(b), (5)(c)

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with (1)(a), (1)(b), (1)(c), (3)(a), (3)(c), (5)(b) or (5)(c) of Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 as **serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £7,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no

other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £17,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Electrical Safety Regulations breaches of duty

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the Electrical Safety Regulation breach(es) within each sub-regulation

Using an unqualified person lacking appropriate certification to carry out inspection, testing, investigative or remedial work.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Failure to comply with Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 sections (4), (5a), (6)

The Council would view the seriousness of the offence of failing to comply with (4), (5a) or (6) of Regulation 3 of The Electrical Safety Standards in the Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 as **very serious**, attracting a financial penalty with a starting level of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing one or two dwellings, including no more than one HMO, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will reduce by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £12,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a significant property portfolio, being three, four, or five dwellings, and/or two HMOs, with no other relevant factors or aggravating features [see below], will attract a civil penalty of £17,500.

Under the Council's policy, the civil penalty for a landlord controlling/owning/managing a large property portfolio, being six or more dwellings, and/or three or more HMOs and/or has demonstrated experience in the letting/management of property (irrespective of the size of the portfolio), with no other relevant factors or aggravating factors [see below], will increase by £5,000, attracting a civil penalty of £22,500.

Aggravating features/factors specific to Electrical Safety Regulations breaches of duty

The number and/or nature and/or extent of the Electrical Safety Regulation breach(es) within each sub-regulation

Using an unqualified person lacking appropriate certification to carry out inspection, testing, investigative or remedial work.

Generic aggravating features/factors

As set out in Table 2 above.

Discounts

The Council will automatically apply the following discounted rate to any imposed financial penalties in the following circumstances:

A discount of 15% of the original calculated financial penalty will be deducted from the penalty imposed in the Final Notice should the penalty be paid within a specified time period (normally 28 days).

Illustrative example

The landlord of a Mandatory HMO property fails to obtain a licence. They only operate two HMO properties and there are no other relevant factors or aggravating features. The offence is regarded as a **very serious** matter. Upon receipt of the 'Notice of Intent' to impose a £17500 financial penalty, written representations are made to the Council.

On account of the written representations received by the landlord, the council imposes a financial penalty of £16000. In the event the landlord pays within the specified period a 15% discount is given so that the landlord makes a discounted payment of £13600.

Process for imposing a civil penalty and the right to make representations

Before imposing a financial penalty on a person, the Council will give the person a Notice of Intent.

A person who is given a Notice of Intent may make written representations to the Council about the proposal to impose a financial penalty. Any representations must be made within a 28-day period, this period starting the day after the date on which the Notice of Intent was given. As the burden lies with the recipient of any such notice to explain why, exceptionally, the Council should, or should not, depart from the Civil Penalties Matrix and guidance above, the Council will expect the recipient of a Notice of Intent to explain and provide fulsome and cogent evidence to support the existence of any such circumstances when they make representations in response to the notice.

In the event of two or more persons receiving separate Notices of Intent for the same matter, it should be noted that acceptance/payment of a civil penalty by one person will not negate the Council's intention to impose a civil penalty on the second or further persons. Each person served with the Notice of Intent is considered individually liable to pay the civil penalty notified to them. It is therefore important that any recipient of a Notice of Intent takes the opportunity to make representations should they consider for any reason a civil penalty should not be individually imposed upon them.

After the end of the period for representations the Council will:

- (a) Decide whether to impose a financial penalty on the person, and
- (b) If it decides to impose a financial penalty, decide the amount of the penalty

In determining whether to impose a financial penalty, and the level of any penalty, the Council will consider any written representations received in the appropriate time period, and will also consider the totality principle.

Furthermore, an offender's compliance with the identified breach during the representation period would not, in itself, be reason for the Council to determine that the imposition of a financial penalty was inappropriate. However, compliance at that stage may be relevant with respect to any mitigating factors that could decrease the amount of any imposed financial penalty.

If, following the receipt of written representations and/or the expiry of the time period to make written representations, the Council decides to impose a financial penalty on the person, it will give the person a Final Notice imposing that penalty.

The Final Notice will set out and summarise:

- a) The amount of the financial penalty,
- b) The reasons for imposing the penalty,
- c) Information about how to pay the penalty.
- d) The period for payment of the penalty,
- e) Information about rights of appeal, and
- f) The consequences of failure to comply with the notice.