

Examination of the Partial Review of the Kensington and Chelsea Core Strategy: Basements Planning Policy

Agenda for 10.00 Thursday 18 September 2014

DAY 3 - Matters 6, 7 & 8

Small Hall, Kensington and Chelsea Town Hall, Horton Street W8 7NX

Discussions will focus on whether the Basements Planning Policy is sound (positively prepared, justified, effective, and consistent with national policy) and, if not, how it should be modified to make it sound

Opening Announcements

- Health and safety, people present, and attendance list
- Purpose of the hearing sessions
- Conduct of the hearing session
- Inspector's report

Consideration of any site visits

- Any suggestions?

Council's Responses to Inspector's Preliminary Questions

- Any queries?

Matter 6: Restriction on excavation under a listed building

6.1 *Is CL7 f. justified by the evidence, consistent with national policy, and effective?*

- Key reasons for criterion CL7 a.? – Council and then others
- Are each of the reasons justified? – Montagu Evans and then others
- Is the restriction too limiting? – Savills and then others
- Different in principle from policy CL2 g. i. in the CS?
- If not substantially different what has changed from CS that it is now unsound?
- Why have pavement vaults been included?
- Can the aims/reasons be achieved or satisfied in another way?
- Should the criterion contain an exception clause to cater for differing circumstances?

Matter 7: Light wells and railings

7.1 *Is CL7 h. effective?*

- Is the criterion too limiting? – Savills & then others
- Is the criterion too lax?
- Can the aims be achieved or satisfied in another way?
- Should the criterion contain an exception clause to cater for differing circumstances?

Matter 8: Requirement for one metre of permeable soil

8.1 *Is CL7 j. justified by the evidence, consistent with national policy, and effective?*

- Key reasons for criterion? – Council and then others
- Are each of the reasons justified?
- Could the aims/reasons be achieved or satisfied in another way?
- Why is CL2 g. iii. and iv. in the CS not adequate?
- Has the one metre soil requirement in the May 2009 Subterranean Development SPD (BAS93) proven to be effective?
- Should the criterion contain an exception clause to cater for differing circumstances? Council – how is criterion j on SuDS being flexible here?

Any Other Matters

- Any other points representors wish to make