

**The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Local Development Framework**

Local Development Scheme

Adopted

March 2010

Availability of the Local Development Scheme and Related Information

Copies of the Local Development Scheme are available for inspection in all local libraries and for inspection and sale in the Planning Information Office at the Customer Services Centre in the Kensington Town Hall.

The Local Development Scheme may also be viewed free of charge on the Council's web site:
<http://www.rbkc.gov.uk/Planning/>

Anyone wishing further details or advice may:

- contact the Planning Policy Team by e-mail: PlanningPolicy@rbkc.gov.uk
- phone the dedicated Local Development Framework 'hotline': 020 7361 3879
- write to: The Executive Director of Planning and Borough Development
The Policy Team
The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
London, W8 7NX

Other links that will provide more detailed background information on the planning system are:

Communities and Local Government: *Planning Policy Statement 12 – Local Spatial Planning*
(<http://www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planning/regionallocal/localdevelopmentframeworks/pps12/>)

Mayor of London: *The London Plan Consolidated with Alterations since 2004, February 2008*
(<http://www.london.gov.uk/thelondonplan/>)

Contents

	Page
Contact Details	2
Executive Summary	5
1. Introduction	
1.1 The Planning Framework	11
1.2 The Local Context	12
1.3 Links to other RBKC Strategies	13
1.4 Working with Neighbouring Boroughs	14
1.5 Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment	14
1.6 Further information	14
2. Progress to date	
2.1 The Statement of Community Involvement	15
2.2 Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington	15
2.3 Annual Monitoring Report	16
2.4 Evidence base and infrastructure assessment	16
2.5 Supplementary Planning Documents	17
3. The Documents the Council intends to prepare	
3.1 Priority One	19
3.1.1 The Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington	19
3.1.2 The Proposals Map	20
3.1.3 Annual Monitoring Report	20
3.2 Priority Two	21
3.2.1 Development Plan Documents	21
3.2.2 Supplementary Planning Documents	21
3.3 Priority Three and Four	22
3.4 Other documents which the Council intends to produce	22
4. Policies to be used in the determination of planning applications	

4.1 'Saved' Policies from the UDP up to adoption of the Core Strategy	23
4.2 Saved Policies following adoption of Core Strategy	24
4.3 Supplementary Planning Documents	24
5. The Timetable and Milestones	25
6. Supporting Statement	
6.1 Programme direction and production	31
6.2 Resources	32
6.3 Public examinations	33
6.4 Problems and constraints	33
6.5 Supporting Evidence	34
Appendices	
Appendix A Saved Policies	36
Appendix B Saved Policies not to be replaced by the Core Strategy when adopted	46
Appendix C List of supporting evidence used to inform LDF Policies	73
Appendix D Profiles of the Local Development Documents	78

Executive Summary

This document sets out the work programme for preparing the Local Development Framework. It covers a period for the next three years, to the end of 2013.

The Council has four priorities:

Priority 1

The Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington (and the associated Proposals Map)
Annual Monitoring Reports

Priority 2

Latimer Area Action Plan (and the associated Proposals Map)
Gypsy and Travellers DPD
Waste DPD
S106 Planning Obligations SPD
Earl's Court SPD
Kensal SPD
North Kensington School Site SPD
Freston Road Garages SPD
Edenham SPD

Priority 3

Building Heights SPD
100 West Cromwell Road SPD
Views Methodology SPD
Westway SPD
Housing SPD

Priority 4

Access Design Guide SPD
Shopfront SPD
Trees SPD
The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue SPD
Roofscape SPD
Views SPD

The timetable for the documents which the Council intends to prepare is summarised in the table overleaf.

Schedule of Proposed Local Development Documents

Development Plan Documents

Priority One								
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 25)	Publication consultation (Reg 27) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 30)	Pre-Examination Meeting	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 31)	Adoption
Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington	Sets out core spatial policies for the borough. It also includes allocations for the Borough's strategic sites, and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.	Conformity with National Planning Policy and general conformity with the London Plan	(November 2005 to September 2009)	(September to November 2009)	March 2010	May 2010	July 2010	Dec 2010
Proposals Map DPD	Shows spatial proposals of DPDs, including designations and site allocations, on an OS base map	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	(November 2005 to September 2009)	(September to November 2009)	March 2010	May 2010	July 2010	Dec 2010
Annual Monitoring Report	Assesses progress on the LDF, effectiveness of existing policy and changes in development trends.	n/a	Submitted to the Government annually, by the end of December, reporting on the Monitoring year ending at the end of the previous March.					

Priority Two								
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 25)	Publication consultation (Reg 27) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 30)	Pre-Examination Meeting	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 31)	Adoption
Waste DPD	Sets out how the Council intends to accommodate the Borough's waste arisings.	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	September 2010	March 2011	September 2011	October 2011	December 2012	June 2012
Latimer Area Action Plan	Sets out how the Council intends to implement the Council's vision for the 'Latimer area' of the Borough	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	From Summer 2010	March 2012	September /October 2012	November 2012	January 2013	June 2013
Proposals map for Latimer Road Area Action Plan	Shows spatial proposals of Latimer Road AAP on an OS base map	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy, Latimer AAP and general conformity with the London Plan	N/A	March 2012	September /October 2012	November 2012	January 2013	June 2013
Gypsy and Travellers DPD	Identifies how the Council will accommodate the housing needs of Gypsies and Travellers.	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	January 2011	Sept 2011	March 2012	April 2012	June 2012	December 2012

Supplementary Planning Documents

Priority Two						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
S106 Planning Obligations SPD	Set out the infrastructure costs of development and sets out the basis on which RBKC will collect contributions.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	January 2009	January/ February 2010	April 2010
Freston Road Garages SPD	A Planning and Design Brief for the design, use and regeneration of the site	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Freston Road Garages site.	SA not required	June 2010	September/ October 2010
Earl's Court SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Earl's Court Strategic Site. (The site lies in both this borough and in Hammersmith and Fulham.)	February 2010	September /October 2010	December 2010
North Kensington Sports Centre Site/ New Academy SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The North Kensington Sports Centre Strategic Site.	SA not required	September /October 2010	December 2010
Kensal SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Kensal Gasworks (site north and south of the railway) Strategic Site.	March 2010	January/February 2011	May 2011
Edenham SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Land adjacent to Trellick Tower Strategic Site	SA not required	January 2011	September 2011

Priority Three						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
Building Heights SPD	The SPD will provide the context for the development of buildings that are substantially taller than other buildings in the area.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	July 2008	(December 2008)	March 2010
100 West Cromwell Road SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	100 West Cromwell Road, (part of the Warwick Road Strategic Site.)	SA not required	(First round July/September 2008) May/June 2010	September 2010
Views Methodology SPD	Provide the context by which the Council will identify important views, will grade their importance and protect them.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	June 2010	December 2010
Westway SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The land under and adjacent to the Westway.	SA not required	October 2010	March 2011
Housing SPD	Identify preferred housing mix, floorspace standards (if necessary), matters regarding housing and design issues.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	March 2011	March 2012

Priority Four						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
Access Design Guide	Best practice guidance on inclusive design standards using a practical approach to the particular historic built environment of the Royal Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	July 2006	July/August 2007 and December 2009 to January 2010	March 2010
Trees SPD	Sets out best practice for pre-application discussion, dealing with the application, and construction phases , and impact upon trees.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	(November/ December 2009)	March 2010
Shopfront SPD	A design guide for new and replacement shopfronts within the Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	February/March 2010	April 2010
Roofscape SPD	Identification of roofscape quality and potential for alterations.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	October 2010	March 2011
The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue	SA not required	January 2011	December 2011
Notting Hill Gate	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Notting Hill Gate area.	SA not required	June 2012	March 2013
Views SPD	Identification of specific views of importance to be protected in the Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	March to June 2012	March 2013

1. Introduction

1.1 The Planning Framework

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires the Council to replace its Unitary Development Plan with a 'Local Development Framework'. The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Local Development Framework will comprise a portfolio of policy documents, known as Local Development Documents, which will deliver the spatial planning strategy for the Borough.

The 'Local Development Scheme' sets out the work programme for the coming years. The Local Development Scheme is prepared in accordance with the revised Planning Policy Statement 12: *Local Spatial Planning* (June 2008) and kept under annual review. This review of the Local Development Scheme is conducted alongside the preparation of the Annual Monitoring Report. This will ensure that the documents prepared as part of the Local Development Framework respond to the most up-to-date information on the local context and the government can easily monitor the Council's progress in preparing the Local Development Framework. Any changes to the Local Development Scheme will need to be approved by the Mayor for London. The latest version of the Local Development Scheme will always be available on the Council's website at www.rbkc.gov.uk, and following the links to Planning, Planning Policy and the Local Development Framework.

During the time in which the Local Development Framework is being prepared the Council will need to continue to make planning decisions and guide development. To this end in 2007 the Council, with the agreement of the Secretary of State, has 'saved' a number of the policies within the Unitary Development Plan 2002. These policies will continue to be used for the determination of planning applications until they are superseded by their replacements in the emerging Development Plan Documents. A schedule of those Unitary Development Plan policies which have been saved is included in Appendix A.

The Mayor of London's Spatial Development Strategy, Consolidated with Alterations since 2004 (February 2008) ('the London Plan') forms part of the Development Plan for the Borough under s38(6) of the 2004 Act. Local Development Documents prepared by the Council must be in general conformity with the London Plan, and the Council will remain in close contact with the GLA and GoL to ensure this is achieved.

The Local Development Documents must also be in general conformity with the replacement London Plan as and when this is adopted. Adoption is expected by the end of 2011.

Within the LDF, there are two main types of Local Development Documents that can be prepared:

- Development plan documents (DPDs): are subject to independent examination. The policies and proposals in these documents will form part of the Borough's Development Plan.
- Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs): these will cover a wide range of issues where we wish to provide further guidance. They can take the form of documents which offer guidance on a particular issue relevant for the whole borough or the form of briefs for individual sites. They will not form part of the development plan or be subject to independent public examination, but will be material considerations to be taken into account when considering planning applications.

1.2 Local Context

In setting out to prepare the Local Development Framework, the Council is not starting from scratch. The Unitary Development Plan has served the Royal Borough well. It was produced in conjunction with local residents, groups and businesses and seeks to strike a balance between the Borough's strategically important function of providing a high quality residential environment close to the centre of London, the needs of commercial development and the need to preserve and enhance the cherished built and natural environments. This is not to say that some of the policies within UDP would not have needed revision over time. They do, and the Core Strategy has proved the opportunity to do so.

Among the key issues the Royal Borough faces is one of its size and location. It is one of the smaller London boroughs in terms of area and population but because of its history and position close to the centre of London it is the location for a wide variety of activities. It is one of London's most attractive and desirable residential areas with some of the highest residential land values in the country.

The quality of the Borough's built environment is reflected in the fact that nearly three quarters of its area is designated as conservation areas. As an historic area of London on the borders of the West End, the Borough plays host to a number of international attractions and institutions – such as the major shopping streets of Knightsbridge, King's Road, Kensington High Street and Portobello Road; the Earl's Court Exhibition Centre, the South Kensington Museums and Kensington Palace. These all contribute to the Borough's economic well-being and national and international standing.

While the Borough has the highest average income in Great Britain, it is not without social and other problems; Golborne Ward, in the north of the Borough, is among the 5 % most deprived in the country. The Borough has the highest population density in England and Wales. The Borough has a very high transience rate, with one-fifth of its residents having had a different address in the previous year.

The purpose of the LDF is to shape future development within Kensington and Chelsea. There are a number of key strategic challenges that the Borough faces. Not least is the issue of building on the Borough's success and to further develop the strong and varied sense of place. In order to achieve this vision the Borough will, in partnership with other organisations:

- stimulate regeneration in North Kensington through the provision of better transport, better housing and better facilities;
- enhance the reputation of our national and international destinations by supporting and encouraging retail and cultural activities in particular; and
- uphold the residential quality of life, through cherishing quality in the built environment, acting on environmental issues and facilitating local living.

This vision drives the Council's Core Strategy and the wider Local Development Framework.

1.3 Links to other RBKC Strategies

As the Local Development Framework will be a spatial plan, rather than purely dealing with land use matters, the development of linked strategies and policies for action is an important objective. Therefore, in preparing the Local Development Framework, the Council will take the Kensington and Chelsea Partnership's Community Strategy into account and will address that any land use requirements arising from that strategy. Consultation on the Community Strategy has been used to inform the Local Development Framework (and vice versa).

The Kensington and Chelsea Partnership developed a new Community Strategy during 2008, which was adopted by the Council on 3 December 2008. Joint consultation was carried out on the LDF and the Community Strategy both in February 2008, and in July when the draft Community Strategy was published in parallel with the 'Towards Preferred Options' edition of the Core Strategy and North Kensington Plan. The Core Strategy's emerging policies are referenced in the Community Strategy, demonstrating a strong interface between the two.

The Council has a number of strategies, studies, reports and master plans in place, which have implications for land use and spatial planning. These will be taken into account in the preparation of Local Development Documents. They are listed in Appendix C.

This list, with links to the relevant documents, is on the Planning Policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed by following the links to Planning, Planning Policy, The Local Development Framework and then selecting the Evidence Base within the 'Accompanying documents to the Core Strategy.'

1.4 Working with neighbouring boroughs

The Council recognises that there are opportunities to work with neighbouring local authorities. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment was jointly prepared with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham. The Council has also worked closely with other central London boroughs to prepare the Strategic Local Infrastructure Assessment study (completed in July 2009).

Such working is likely to increase further as we explore the cross boundary linkages in relation to Earl's Court, White City, the Kensal Area, the Museums district, Waste and Gypsies and Travellers. The exact arrangements for such cross border working will remain open and depend on the specific issues being discussed.

1.5 Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

Sustainability Appraisal ensures the potential social, environmental and economic effects of policies are considered while the policies are being developed. In carrying out Sustainability Appraisals the Council meets the requirements of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

The Council carried out a Sustainability Appraisal Scoping of the Core Strategy in September 2005. This sets out the objectives regarding sustainability for the Borough. From this, scoping reports for individual documents are produced, where necessary, in the form of 'Addendum SA Scoping Reports'. A full Sustainability Appraisal for each document is then prepared.

We prepared an update of the LDF Scoping Report in 2009 to reflect the time that has passed in the preparation of the various DPDs, and to ensure that the SA remains relevant and useful. We have also prepared a Sustainability Appraisal of the 'Submission' draft of the Core Strategy and North Kensington Plan.

Further information on the Council's Sustainability Appraisal can be found at www.rbkc.gov.uk/Planning/localdevelopmentframework/ldf_page4.asp.

1.6 Further information

For further information on the process of preparing Local Development Documents, please see PPS12, which can be found on the Communities and Local Government website at www.communities.gov.uk. A link can be found on the CLG website to the 'Plan Making Manual' hosted on the PAS website.

2. Progress to Date

Since September 2004 and the 'commencement' of the current planning system, the Council has been progressing with the preparation of the Local Development Framework. In particular, the Council has gathered a robust and defensible evidence base, has established research and monitoring systems and has prepared various Local Development Documents, as set out below:

2.1 The Statement of Community Involvement

Community and public engagement is a fundamental requirement of the planning system. The Council has long been committed to public engagement and the Local Development Documents will continue to be produced with the involvement of the local community and others with an interest in the Royal Borough.

The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) sets out the standards which the Council intends to achieve in relation to involving the community in the preparation, alteration and continuing review of all Local Development Documents and in development control decisions, and how the Council intends to achieve those standards.

The SCI was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate in January 2007 and approved, with some minor changes, in August 2007. It was formally adopted by this Council on the 5 December 2007.

As part of the SCI process, the Council has set up a database of those people or organisations interested in planning matters. The Council frequently provides updates on the progress with the LDF to these people or organisations, either through letters at the start of consultations or newsletters sent approximately every two months.

2.2 Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington

Since 2005 the Council has prepared a number of iterations of the Borough's Core Strategy as it has passed through the various statutory stages on route to what the Council hopes is the production of sound document.

Four documents have been published, and consulted upon, since the publication of the last Local Development Scheme in January 2009; 'Places' and 'Strategic Sites' were consulted upon in May/June 2009. The 'Places' document set out visions for the main areas within the Borough, with the Strategic Sites document setting out what the Council sees to be the appropriate form of development for the seven 'strategic sites' within the Borough. The Strategic Sites document also proposed the timescales for delivery of these sites.

The 'Draft Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington' was published in July 2010. This document reflected the results of the earlier consultation. It included all the elements which the Council intended to be in the final Core Strategy, namely a spatial strategy, a delivery strategy, and a section including the supporting

information. The spatial strategy set out the main issues facing the Borough, an overall vision, and the broad locations where the Council would like to see new development located. It includes visions, and associated policies for fourteen 'Places' within the Borough. The plan also included a number of detailed 'development management' policies. The document was explicit in noting that, whilst no final decision had been made, the inclusion of these policies was likely to render the production of a separate Development Management Policy DPD unnecessary. Similarly the inclusion of allocations for all the strategic sites within the Core Strategy nullified the need to produce the separate site allocation DPD originally envisaged.

The results of this consultation were fed into the final iteration of the Core Strategy, the 'proposed submission'. The proposed submission Core Strategy followed the structure of the earlier 'Draft'. The Council has confirmed, both in the document, and in an Interim LSD published along side it, that it no longer plans to produce separate Development Management and Site Allocations DPDs.

This final document was put in to the public domain in October 2009, with consultees being asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the plan. The comments received will be forwarded to the Planning Inspector when the Core Strategy is formally submitted in March 2010.

It is the 'proposed submission' version of the Core Strategy which the Council hope will be adopted in its entirety following testing at an Examination in Public.

More details on the nature of the Core Strategy are set out in section 3.1.1 below.

2.3 Annual Monitoring Report (AMR)

As with previous years, the Council has prepared and submitted an up-to-date Annual Monitoring Report for 2008/09. Among other things, the AMR shows how the Council is progressing with the production of each of the Local Development Documents as reported in this Local Development Scheme.

The AMR is also a vital tool in assessing development trends and emerging issues. It is regarded as a central element of the LDF evidence base. It is hoped that the new format used for the 2009 AMR makes it a easier to read, and therefore more useful document.

2.4 Evidence base and infrastructure assessment

A detailed list of the evidence that the Council has completed to date is included in Appendix C. The full list, with links to the relevant documents is on the Planning Policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed via the links to Planning, Planning Policy, The Local Development Framework and then selecting the Evidence base within the 'Accompanying documents to the Core Strategy'.

2.5 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs)

Supplementary planning guidance provides guidance that amplifies the policies and proposals contained in the UDP. The Council has prepared a number of Supplementary Planning Documents in 2009. The Council is also in the process of preparing a number of new SPD. Both sets of documents are set out in table 1, with an adoption date, or where appropriate, the planned date for adoption.

The full list of SPD/G that the Council currently uses when determining planning applications is included in Table 2.

SPD adopted since publication of previous LDS (Jan 2009)	
	<i>Date of adoption</i>
Noise	May 2009
Air Quality	June 2009
Subterranean Development	May 2009
Worlington Green Planning Brief	November 2009
The Commonwealth Institute Planning Brief	July 2009
SPG in preparation	
	<i>Progress</i>
Access Design Guide	Consultation Nov 2009 to Jan 2010. Adoption expected March 2010
Trees and Development	Consultation Dec 2009 to Jan 2010. Adoption expected March 2010
S106 / Planning Obligations	Consultation Jan to Feb 2010. Adoption expected April 2010.
Shopfronts SPD	Consultation expects Feb to March 2010. Adoption April 2010.
The Clearings I & II, Draycott Avenue, Planning Brief	Initial consultation took place in Autumn 2007. Further consultation planned for January 2011 with adoption December 2011.
Building Heights	Consultation took place in December 2008 with adoption expected in March 2010.
100 West Cromwell Road	First round of consultation July/September 2008, with further consultation expected May/June 2010 before adoption in September 2010.

Table 1: SPDs adopted or in preparation

More detailed timings of the SPD that the Council is in the process writing, or plans to adopt in the future is included in Table 3. Profiles of these SPD is included in Appendix D.

3. The Documents the Council Intends to Prepare

The Council has an ambitious workload, and has indicated that it intends to produce twenty five local development documents in the next four years (not including the annual AMRs).

Whilst the priority this year remains the adoption of the Council's Core Strategy, through examination to adoption, the focus of work can be seen to be shifting to the production of a number of site specific documents. These documents will provide the guidance to ensure effective and high quality delivery on the strategic sites identified within the Core Strategy.

Whilst the Council recognises that the 2008 Planning Regulations do not require all SPD to be included within a the Council's LDS the Council has done so for clarity.

The Council will prioritise the production of its Local Development Documents as follows:

Priority 1

Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington DPD
Proposals Map DPD
Annual Monitoring Report

Priority 2

Latimer Area Action Plan (and associated proposals map)
Gypsy and Travellers DPD
Waste DPD
S106 Planning Obligations SPD
Earl's Court SPD
Kensal SPD
North Kensington School Site SPD
Freston Road Garages SPD
Edenham SPD

Priority 3

Building Heights SPD
100 West Cromwell Road SPD
Views Methodology SPD
Westway SPD
Housing SPD

Priority 4

Access Design Guide
Shopfront SPD
Trees SPD
The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue SPD
Roofscape SPD
Views SPD

Whilst it is the Council's intention to produce all these LDDs to the schedule set out in this document it does recognise that the preparation of SPD in particular is unpredictable and that circumstances may intervene. So, for example, the milestones for a 'priority two' document may be adjusted if the timeframe for the Core Strategy

Examination were to slip. Similarly the production of a 'priority three' document may be put back if the resources which were allocated to its production are required to expedite the completion of a 'priority one' or 'priority two' document.

The Council also recognises that priorities may change, and indeed additional SPD may be necessary.

An update will be posted on the Council's website as soon as any alterations to the adopted LDS has been agreed by the GLA.

3.1 Priority One

3.1.1 The Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington

The Council's Core Strategy with a focus on North Kensington is now entering the final stages of its completion. A programme officer has been appointed and the Council expects to submit the document to the Secretary of State in March 2010, with the examination expected to take place in July 2010. The timetable is set out in more detail in Table 3.

The Core Strategy is divided into three sections: the Spatial Strategy, the Delivery Strategy and Supporting Information.

The first section, the Spatial Strategy, sets out:

- the **main issues** facing the Borough;
- an **overall vision** for future development within the Borough and parts of the Borough over the next twenty years;
- **where development is planned** in broad terms; and
- how it will affect the fourteen key '**Places**' in the Borough.

The second section, the Delivery Strategy, sets out:

- allocations for the eight **strategic sites**, the sites where significant change is envisaged, and where their development or redevelopment is considered to be central to the achievement of the Strategic Objectives and Core Strategy overall Vision;
- the policies that will be used in determining planning applications (**development management policies**), and the other Corporate and Partner Actions that will deliver the objectives of the plan;
- the council's **Infrastructure** requirements; and
- clear **arrangements for managing and monitoring** delivery of the strategy.

The Council has chosen to include detailed development management policies within the core strategy in order to fill the policy vacuum that will be created by the fact that number of detailed policies in the UDP that are no longer aligned with the strategic direction of the Core Strategy. We have, therefore, taken the view that, for our residents, it is more user friendly for all development management topics to be

included to a similar level of detail. A subsequent separate and all encompassing Development Management DPD is no longer required, and therefore has been omitted from this LDS.

Similarly a separate site allocation DPD is not required as allocations for all the strategic sites have been included within in the Core Strategy.

3.1.2 The Proposals Map

Alongside the Core Strategy will be a Proposals Map. This will update the UDP Proposals Map as necessary.

The Proposals Map will illustrate geographically the policies and proposals contained in the Core Strategy. It will be prepared on an ordnance survey base and will identify such things as areas where specific core policies will relate, areas of protection (e.g. conservation areas), and locations and sites for particular land uses and development proposals.

3.1.3 Annual Monitoring Report

The Council is required to produce an annual monitoring report. In the report, the Council will show how it is progressing with the production of each of the Local Development Documents that it has identified within this Local Development Scheme. Where the preparation of a document has begun, the report will identify the stage reached in its preparation and whether or not the production is on schedule. If the timetable is slipping, the reasons for this will be explained and the likely future timetable for the preparation of the document will be set out. Where a document has been adopted or approved during the period covered by the report, there will be a statement of that fact and of the date that the adoption or approval occurred. The AMR is also a vital tool in assessing development trends and emerging issues. It is regarded as a central element of the LDF evidence base.

Each monitoring report will cover the yearly period of April 1st to March 31st.

The annual monitoring reports will be used to:

- assess what impact the policies are having on meeting other national and regional targets;
- check consistency with national policy and general conformity with the London Plan;
- review the evidence base;
- assess whether any policies need to be replaced to meet the Council's sustainable development objectives; and
- identify what action needs to be taken if policies need to be replaced. Such changes will be brought forward through revisions to the Local Development Scheme.

3.2 Priority Two

Whilst the priority for the Council's planning department must be to produce, and adopt, a sound core strategy, the Council does recognise that this must be supplemented by a number of additional planning documents. The documents which have been classed as being 'priority two' fall into two categories: Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents.

3.2.1 Development Plan Documents

The Latimer Area Action Plan and the associated proposal map. The Core Strategy recognises that there is considerable potential for regeneration within the Latimer Area over the lifetime of the Plan. The Core Strategy states that the Council will continue to explore this potential via a planning framework and masterplan. The Council has decided that an Area Action Plan for the Latimer Area is the correct vehicle to achieve its aims.

Although the production of the Area Action Plan is essential for a detailed and robust planning framework for the Latimer Area, its production must commence after the adoption of the Core Strategy, as it is the Core Strategy which provides the central vision for the area.

Gypsy and Traveller and Waste DPDs. The production of these DPDs have each been given a high priority as each are topics where a robust policy framework is essential to comply with the requirements of government guidance. Furthermore, the Council has stated within the Core Strategy that DPDs will be produced to deal with these subjects in a timely and effective manner.

Separate DPDs have been proposed as each topic area is considered to require more detail than would normally be expected within a Core Strategy. Furthermore, the formulation of effective and defensible policies in these areas may require close working with neighbouring boroughs. Whatever the advantages of joint working the Council does recognise that this can also cause delays. Production of separate DPDs ensures that there is no risk to the timetable for the adoption of the Core Strategy.

3.2.2 Supplementary Planning Documents

Planning briefs and master plans for the Earl's Court and Kensal areas. The Council recognises that the nature of development of these two strategic areas will have implications on the ability of the Council to deliver its ambitions for the Borough as set out within the Core Strategy. It is, therefore, essential that briefs be produced for each of these areas as soon as possible after the adoption of the Core Strategy to set the framework to inform the nature and form of development on these sites. The strategic significance of both these sites is underlined by their designation in the draft London Plan as Opportunity Areas. The SPDs for both of these areas will need to be prepared in collaboration between the GLA, neighbouring boroughs and stakeholders as appropriate.

Planning briefs for the North Kensington Sports Centre Site/ New Academy, Freston Road Garage Site and Edenham. Briefs are required for these three sites as all are major sites (all but one identified as strategic sites within the Core Strategy) which are owned by the Council and where development opportunities are likely to arise in the near future. As these are sites which are likely to be developed in the short term, it is imperative that detailed site briefs are in place if the Council is to guide the nature of the forthcoming development.

S106 Planning Obligations. The early adoption of this document will allow the Council to take full advantage of the S106 system to require developers to contribute to the infrastructure costs of new development in a proper manner.

3.3 Priority three and four

The production of the remainder of the SPDs proposed have been given a lower priority to reflect the fact that, whilst still valuable documents, they will be less critical than those with a higher priority. A pragmatic approach is taken to reflect limited resources, and therefore, if more time is required to complete higher priority DPDs, the milestones for the lower priority DPDs may be pushed backwards.

The lower priority classification may reflect sites where there is no immediate development pressure; where the Council has longer term aspirations, or for topic areas which are simply less critical than others. This is not to say that these lower priority SPDs will necessarily be produced after all others. Some have already been consulted upon and their adoption is imminent.

The Council is awaiting the publication of the Flood and Water Management Bill before deciding whether it would be useful to produce a Flooding DPD.

3.4 Other documents which may be produced

The Council is also considering producing a series of other documents to support future policy initiatives. These have not been included within the LDS as considerable uncertainty remains regarding their nature, the timescales involved and/or whether these documents will actually be needed.

The preparation of these documents will be included within a future LDS (with the approval of GOL and the GLA) as and when appropriate. Their inclusion in the current document is to flag the Council's future intentions.

Flooding DPD

The Council is awaiting the publication of the Flood and Water Management Bill before deciding whether it would be useful to produce a Flooding DPD.

Combined Cooling, Heat and Power systems LDD

The Council is in the process of developing a 'heat map' for the Borough, which will help us in identifying opportunities for decentralised energy. This will form part of an evidence base for the Council's policies on decentralised energy.

The Core Strategy already recognises the opportunities for 'Combined Cooling, Heat and Power' systems or similar by prioritising CCHP within the energy hierarchy. Six strategic sites with particular potential have been identified where CCCHP plant, which is capable of forming part of a district heat and energy, will be required. Policies also require all CCHP plant to be capable of connection into a wider DE network.

The Heat Map will identify 'clusters' of buildings and development areas in the boroughs that have the best potential for delivering future district heating networks as well as extensions to existing local heat networks. This need may be taken forward in a future document.

Review of Higher Order Town Centre frontages

In the Proposed Submission Core Strategy the Council notes that it will be carrying out a review of the primary and secondary retail frontages of the Higher Order Town Centres. The nature of any LDD produced will be assessed as and when the scope and nature of this review as been decided upon.

Assessment of suitability of a tall building on northern part of Wornington Green.

The Council will produce a document which will assess the suitability of a tall building on the northern part of the Wornington Green site in the context of reviewing building form of the Barlby Road roundabout and surrounding context. The nature of the document produced and the milestones for its production have yet to have been determined.

4 Policies to be used in the determination of planning applications

4.1 'Saved' policies from the UDP up to adoption of the Core Strategy

The 2004 Planning Act allowed Local Planning Authorities to 'save' policies within their Unitary Development Plans where there were considered necessary to provide a robust planning framework for determining planning application within their areas.

In September of 2007 the Government confirmed those policies within the Adopted UDP which to be saved. The schedule of saved policies is shown in Appendix A. As part of the Borough's development plan these policies remain material in the determination of planning applications.

4.2 Saved polices following adoption of the Core Strategy

On adoption of the Core Strategy the majority of the policies which have been saved from the Unitary Development Plan will be replaced by the new policies within the Core Strategy.

The Council does however recognise that the Core Strategy will not replace all the saved policies within the UDP. Some policies will remain in use until the Council develops replacement policies within forthcoming LDDs, others will take a new form in other Council documents, whilst others are simply no longer considered to be relevant.

Appendix B lists those saved polices that the Core Strategy will replace. This is based upon the 'Policy Replacement Schedule' within the Submission Core Strategy

4.3 Supplementary Planning Documents

The 2004 Act makes no specific transitional provisions for supplementary planning guidance. However, SPD/G that supplement a 'saved' UDP policy may remain in force until the saved UDP policy is replaced.

All of the guidance listed below has been adopted by the Council, following public consultation, and remains a material planning consideration when determining planning applications. Any previously produced SPG which does not appear in this list will no longer be considered to be a material planning consideration.

SPD
Noise
Air Quality
Subterranean Development
Worlington Green Planning Brief
The Commonwealth Institute Planning Brief
Designing out crime
Princess Louise Planning Brief
Streetscape
Telecommunications
Public Art
Artists Studios
Elderly Person's Accommodation
Construction Training and S106 Planning Agreements (to be superseded by the S106 SPD expected to be adopted in April 2010)
Employment Zones
Housing Standards
Design Guides
Shop front Design Guide

Notting Hill Shop front Design Guide
King's Road Character Study
Kensington High Street Shop front Design Guide
Portobello Road Shop front Design Guide
Other Planning briefs
Draft Brompton Hospital
Holland Park School, W8
Lots Road Electricity Clearing Station
LEB Site Victoria Gardens
Gertrude Street Day Centre
LEB Site, Alpha Place, Chelsea
Conservation Area Proposal Statements
All Conservation Area Proposal Statements are currently adopted as SPG. Subsequent to the new system, English Heritage has advised that a character survey cannot be a policy document, but forms part of the factual evidence base. However, recommendations on sustaining the character of the area can be supplementary. The borough is reviewing the status of any new documents it may prepare. However, the status of existing Conservation Area Proposals Statements remains SPG, supplementary to UDP policy, prepared in advance of the 2004 Act.

Table 2: Local Development Documents

In the same way that the Core Strategy will replace a number of the saved policies within the existing UDP, the Core Strategy will also include policies which will supersede the pre-existing Supplementary Planning Guidance. The majority of SPD will however remain in use.

There are two exceptions.

The Council will delete the Employment Zone SPD as soon as is possible, as it runs counter to the policies within the Core Strategy, policies which are supported by an up-to-date and robust evidence base.

The Council will delete the Construction Training and S106 Planning Agreements on the adoption of the S106 SPD. This new SPD is expected to be adopted in April 2010. The replacement SPD reflects current guidance on the subject.

5 The Timetable and Milestones

Table 3 presents the programme for the delivery of the Local Development Documents that are identified in this Local Development Scheme.

Detailed profiles for each Development Plan Document is included in Appendix D.

Royal Borough Kensington and Chelsea Local Development Scheme.
Adopted March 2010

Schedule of Proposed Local Development Documents

Development Plan Documents

Priority One								
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 25)	Publication consultation (Reg 27) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 30)	Pre-Examination Meeting	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 31)	Adoption
Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington	Sets out core spatial policies for the borough. It also includes allocations for the Borough's strategic sites, and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.	Conformity with National Planning Policy and general conformity with the London Plan	(November 2005 to September 2009)	(September to November 2009)	March 2010	May 2010	July 2010	Dec 2010
Proposals Map DPD	Shows spatial proposals of DPDs, including designations and site allocations, on an OS base map	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	(November 2005 to September 2009)	(September to November 2009)	March 2010	May 2010	July 2010	Dec 2010
Annual Monitoring Report	Assesses progress on the LDF, effectiveness of existing policy and changes in development trends.	n/a	Submitted to the Government annually, by the end of December, reporting on the Monitoring year ending at the end of the previous March.					

Priority Two								
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Public consultation (Reg 25)	Publication consultation (Reg 27) and representations	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 30)	Pre-Examination Meeting	Independent Examination (Examination in Public) (Reg 31)	Adoption
Waste DPD	Sets out how the Council intends to accommodate the Borough's waste arisings.	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	September 2010	March 2011	September 2011	October 2011	December 2012	June 2012
Latimer Area Action Plan	Sets out how the Council intends to implement the Council's vision for the 'Latimer area' of the Borough	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	From Summer 2010	March 2012	September /October 2012	November 2012	January 2013	June 2013
Proposals map for Latimer Road Area Action Plan	Shows spatial proposals of Latimer Road AAP on an OS base map	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy, Latimer AAP and general conformity with the London Plan	N/A	March 2012	September /October 2012	November 2012	January 2013	June 2013
Gypsy and Travellers DPD	Identifies how the Council will accommodate the housing needs of Gypsies and Travellers.	Conformity with National Planning Policy & the Core Strategy and general conformity with the London Plan	January 2011	Sept 2011	March 2012	April 2012	June 2012	December 2012

Supplementary Planning Documents

Priority Two						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
S106 Planning Obligations SPD	Set out the infrastructure costs of development and sets out the basis on which RBKC will collect contributions.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	January 2009	January/ February 2010	April 2010
Freston Road Garages SPD	A Planning and Design Brief for the design, use and regeneration of the site	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Freston Road Garages site.	SA not required	June 2010	September/ October 2010
Earl's Court SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Earl's Court Strategic Site. (The site lies in both this borough and in Hammersmith and Fulham.)	February 2010	September /October 2010	December 2010
North Kensington Sports Centre Site/ New Academy SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The North Kensington Sports Centre Strategic Site.	SA not required	September /October 2010	December 2010
Kensal SPD	A Planning Brief and master plan to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Kensal Gasworks (site north and south of the railway) Strategic Site.	March 2010	January/February 2011	May 2011
Edenham SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Land adjacent to Trellick Tower Strategic Site	SA not required	January 2011	September 2011

Priority Three						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA (Reg 17)	Adoption
Building Heights SPD	The SPD will provide the context for the development of buildings that are substantially taller than other buildings in the area.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	July 2008	(December 2008)	March 2010
100 West Cromwell Road SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	100 West Cromwell Road, (part of the Warwick Road Strategic Site.)	SA not required	(First round July/September 2008) May/June 2010	September 2010
Views Methodology SPD	Provide the context by which the Council will identify important views, will grade their importance and protect them.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	June 2010	December 2010
Westway SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The land under and adjacent to the Westway.	SA not required	October 2010	March 2011
Housing SPD	Identify preferred housing mix, floorspace standards (if necessary), matters regarding housing and design issues.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	March 2011	March 2012

Priority Four						
Document Title	Brief Description	Conformity	Coverage	Scope for Sustainability Appraisal	Public Participation on draft SPD and SA	Adoption
Access Design Guide	Best practice guidance on inclusive design standards using a practical approach to the particular historic built environment of the Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	July 2006	July/August 2007 and December 2009 to January 2010	March 2010
Trees SPD	Sets out best practice for pre-application discussion, dealing with the application, and construction phases , and impact upon trees.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	(November/ December 2009)	March 2010
Shopfront SPD	A design guide for new and replacement shopfronts within the Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	February/March 2010	April 2010
Roofscape SPD	Identification of roofscape quality and potential for alterations.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	October 2010	March 2011
The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue SPD	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Clearings I and II, Draycott Avenue	SA not required	January 2011	December 2011
Notting Hill Gate	A Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design of the site.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	The Notting Hill Gate area.	SA not required	June 2012	March 2013
Views SPD	Identification of specific views of importance to be protected in the Borough.	National Policy, the UDP and emerging LDF policy, and general conformity with the London Plan	Borough wide	SA not required	March to June 2012	March 2013

Table 3: Schedule of Proposed Local Development Documents

6 Supporting Statement

6.1 Programme direction and production

Local Development Framework production is a continuous process and resources need to be planned on this basis. Overall responsibility for the strategic overview of the Local Development Framework rests with the Executive Director for Planning and Borough Development with the production of the Local Development Documents being overseen by the Head of Policy and Design.

Responsibility for the production of documents is set out within Appendix D. The majority of LDDs will be produced by the department's Planning Policy and Major Development Site teams, although some will be produced by members of the Design Team.

The Planning Policy team comprises:

- Policy Team Leader
- three Senior Planning Officers (including one on maternity leave);
- one S106 Planning Obligations Officer
- one Research / Monitoring Officer;
- one Senior Administration Officer, with part time support.

The Strategic Development Sites team comprises:

- Strategic Development Sites Team Leader
- two Senior Planning Officers
- one Planning Officer
- one Senior Urban Designer
- one Urban Designer

The Design team comprises:

- Design Team Leader
- three Senior Conservation Officers
- two Conservation Officers
- one Access Officer
- one administration officer

In addition the Council employs a Town Centre Initiatives Manager and a number of Transport planners who also write parts of the LDF. We also draw on expertise in Housing, Education, Regeneration, Property and Social Services as necessary, and have close working relationships with key partners such as the PCT and the Police.

The Team Leaders of each of the three teams, in close consultation with the Head of Policy and Design, are responsible for the day-to-day running of the LDDs that they are responsible for producing. Each spatial area and each strategic topic has an allocated policy officer. The Research / Monitoring Officer is responsible for the

production of the AMR, for GIS and any other census information. The S106 Officer is responsible for preparing the S106 SPD, and managing the Sub-Regional and Local Infrastructure Assessment studies. The team will be supported by a Senior Administration Officer and student support where available.

A project team approach will be used to draw upon the expertise of other key teams within the Council, where the Transportation, Policy, Strategic Development Sites and the Conservation and Design Teams will directly contribute to the preparation of DPDs. The Project Team will give priority to the preparation of Development Plan Documents. A wider reference group of officers drawn from across the Council, including housing, education, regeneration, strategy and service development (environmental sustainability), ecology, waste and community strategy, meets regularly to ensure effective integration of the LDF and other corporate policies.

Political responsibility for the Local Development Framework rests with the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Planning and Housing Policy. The Cabinet Member has an advisory group – Local Development Framework Advisory Group. This has wide member representation, and meetings are held in public. Key stakeholders can speak on the invitation of the chairman. The focus of this group has been the Core Strategy. Governance arrangements are to be reviewed now the emphasis of LDD production is shifting to the sites. Planning policy development is subject to the Council's overview and scrutiny and regular reports are made to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on the Public Realm. The submission and final adoption of the Development Plan Documents and the SCI have/will be subject to full Council resolution. The Local Development Scheme and Supplementary Planning Documents will be approved by the Cabinet Member through Key Decisions.

6.2 Resources

A significant element of the costs of producing the Local Development Documents will be staffing costs. All staffing costs will be contained within the revenue budgets.

Consultants will be commissioned to assist in further evidence gathering wherever appropriate. The Council has the capacity to conduct the Sustainable Appraisal of various LDDs in-house and will therefore no longer commission consultants to carry out this work. This should aid significantly in integrating the SA process with that of the development of policy.

Further research will be conducted in-house wherever the skills and capacity exists. However, any further research needs that may require the commissioning of consultants will be met from consultancy budgets.

The planning reforms have introduced new procedures and regulations that everyone has to become familiar with. Development Plan Documents will have to be shown to be sound, that is, justified and effective. In order to ensure that the Royal Borough's processes are in line with legislation and regulations, the process is being supervised by the Council's Chief Solicitor who has instructed Counsel to guide officers in plan preparation and to avoid the possibility of future legal challenge. The costs for Counsel are currently being met from Housing and Planning Delivery Grant.

The Council has established pre-application charging. This principle of cost recovery will be used to ensure costs incurred on strategic sites in particular are recovered from the relevant developer.

6.3 Public examinations

The Council plans for an examination into the Core Strategy. Further examinations will be needed for subsequent DPDs as outlined within the this LDS.

Those Local Development Documents which are Development Plan Documents will be the subject of a public examination by an Inspector from the Planning Inspectorate. The Inspector is required to assess the ‘soundness’ of the DPD, where it must be found to be ‘justified’ and ‘effective’ and consistent with National Policy.

The Inspector’s findings following the Examination in Public (EiP) will be binding on the Council, which means that the Council must make the changes suggested by the Inspector before adopting the DPD. In planning the timetable for the preparation of its Local Development Framework, the Council has estimated when the public examinations are likely to occur and how long they may last. The exact timings and length of examinations will be agreed with PINS.

The timings for the Examinations in Public contained in this LDS also take into account the time required for writing up the representations before Submission to the Secretary of State (SoS) and finalising the arrangements for the EiP, including the preparation of any additional evidence, appointing Counsel and the EiP programme officer.

6.4 Problems and constraints

It must be recognised that there will always be threats to the achievement of the programme. The following risks and mitigation measures have been identified.

High Risk	
<p>Staff stability and experience Planning staff, over a three year period, may leave the Council for a whole variety of reasons – new careers, lifestyle changes, motherhood, ill health, and retirement.</p>	<p><i>The Council seeks to retain staff through its employment benefits – when vacancies in permanent staff occur it will seek to recruit speedily.</i></p> <p><i>When staff shortages occur work will be slowed on SPD and resources put to the higher priority DPDs.</i></p> <p><i>The use of consultants and temporary staff will be limited given the likely reduction in Council revenue.</i></p>

<p>Planning Inspectorate Slippage may occur if the Council cannot secure appropriate dates from the Planning Inspectorate for examinations in public or if the volume of representations is large.</p>	<p><i>The Planning Inspectorate will be given early notice of the Council's programme and will be kept informed of revisions to it. It will be up to the Planning Inspectorate to assist the Council in meeting its Government agreed milestones in the Local Development Scheme.</i></p>
<p>Changing priorities Priorities may change over time, informed by an emerging evidence base.</p>	<p><i>The LDS will be kept up to date through the AMR</i></p>
<p>Medium Risk</p>	
<p>Other Agencies' Capacity to engage The Regulations identify a range of agencies that must be consulted during the preparation of issues and options (the statutory consultees).</p>	<p><i>The statutory consultees will be informed of this Local Development Scheme so that they are fully aware of the Council's programme and the timing of their likely involvement in it. The Council will work with outside agencies as far as possible to help them respond in a timely manner.</i></p>
<p>The emerging London Plan may alter planning policy across London. The Council will set out to produce an LDD in general conformity with the London Plan, having regard to the emerging document. It is, however, possible that some of the policy directions for London may change over time, as the London Plan evolves, or following the views of the Panel examining the plan.</p>	<p><i>The Local Development Documents have to be in general conformity with the London Plan and there is a medium risk of the Mayor of London objecting to submitted documents on the basis of them not being in general conformity with his reviewed policies. This will depend on the timings of the production of the subsequent LDDs and of the amended London Plan. The Borough will remain in close contact with GoL and the GLA to ensure continued conformity.</i></p>
<p>New Government Guidance Staff involved in the production of the Local Development Framework are the same officers who have to respond to changes in policy and guidance at the national levels. There has been significant change to deal with in the last three years and more change is yet to come. As part of the reforms to the planning system, the Government is streamlining its planning policy (converting Planning Policy Guidance Notes to Planning Policy Statements).</p>	<p><i>There is no firm schedule of when Government guidance will be issued for consultation, nor when new policy guidance will be issued during the course of LDF preparation.</i></p> <p><i>Changes in guidance will have to be addressed at each key stage of LDF preparation. If new guidance is issued late in the process it will have to be addressed in the subsequent review of relevant documents.</i></p>

6.5 Supporting Evidence

Whilst the Council is satisfied that the Core Strategy is based upon a robust evidence base, it does recognise that further research, survey and monitoring information will

Royal Borough Kensington and Chelsea Local Development Scheme.

Adopted March 2010

be needed to help the Council to identify opportunities, constraints, issues and policy approaches for the Royal Borough and to develop future LDDs. The Council will need to ensure that it has sufficient social, environmental, economic and physical information to identify the spatial characteristics of the Borough. The Council will use the local knowledge of the community, and the expertise of commercial interests, to develop the evidence to support a robust Local Development Framework.

The Local Development Documents will establish the Council's planning policies. A range of background research has been, and is being, undertaken. A large proportion of this will be prepared by, or for, the Council but some will be prepared by other organisations, for example the Kensington and Chelsea Primary Care Trust.

Resources are limited and the Council has had to adopt a realistic approach in developing its evidence base. Appendix C includes the principal pieces of work which provide the evidence base for the submitted Core Strategy.

Information will be reviewed to ensure that the evidence base is kept up-to-date and reflects local circumstances. It may be appropriate to commission other studies as work on the Local Development Framework progresses.

Appendix A: An overview of those UDP policies ‘Saved’ and ‘not saved’

	Policy Description	Save	
		Yes	No
STRATEGIC POLICIES			
ST 1	Protect and enhance of the Borough's residential character	Y	
ST 2	Increase residential provision		N
ST 3	Seek continued economic growth		N
ST 4	Seek a safe, efficient and green transport system		N
ST 5	Locate tourist related development close to public transport	Y	
ST 6	Encourage sizeable activities to locate in Central RBKC		N
ST 7	Promote sustainable development by reducing the need to travel	Y	
ST 8	Promote sustainable development by enhancing environmental quality		N
ST 9	Ensure development preserves and enhances residential character	Y	
ST 10	Protect Listed Buildings and preserve Conservation Areas	Y	
ST 11	Promote high environmental and architectural design standards	Y	
ST 12	Protect London's skyline and Strategic views		N
ST 13	Protect the River Thames and its setting		N
ST 14	Ensure people with special mobility needs have equality of access		N
ST 15	Protect Ancient Monuments and Sites of Archaeological Interest		N
ST 16	Ensure contribution of RBKC to Greater London dwelling stock		N
ST 17	Seek to maximise residential capacity in the Borough		N
ST 18	Encourage an adequate and continuous supply of land for new housing		N
ST 19	Seek an increase in amount and range of sizes of dwellings		N
ST 20	Support diverse economy whilst protecting from inappropriate development		N
ST 21	Encourage large developments to locate close to public transport		N
ST 22	Retain a range of business premises whilst prioritising small businesses	Y	
ST 23	Support the reduction of road traffic movement in the metropolitan area		N
ST 24	Support measures to reduce air and noise pollution from motor vehicles		N
ST 25	Promote walking and improve the pedestrian environment	Y	
ST 26	Promote cycling and provide comprehensively for cyclists	Y	
ST 27	Support and encourage the improvement of the public transport network		N
ST 28	Encourage the use of rail for passenger and freight movement		N
ST 29	Support the development of new rail links around London	Y	
ST 30	Support local bus services and measures to improve service quality		N
ST 31	Support the use of the River Thames for passenger and freight movement		N
ST 32	Achieve targets set for reduction in road accidents through safety schemes		N
ST 33	Support maintenance of a Strategic London Road Network		N
ST 34	Implement programmes of comprehensive traffic management		N

ST 35	Support control of night-time and weekend lorry movement	Y	
ST 36	Monitor demand in the controlled parking zone	Y	
ST 37	Oppose and increased capacity at Heathrow Airport STC	Y	
ST 38	Enhance the vitality and viability of Principal and Local Shopping Centres	Y	
ST 39	Ensure large new retail development is concentrated in Principal Centres	Y	
ST 40	Promote retail development in Local Shopping Centres	Y	
ST 41	Improve the attractiveness and competitiveness of the shopping centres	Y	
ST 42	Ensure continued enhancement of Principal Shopping Centres		N
ST 43	Ensure that the needs of residents and workers are met by retail	Y	
ST 44	Protect and encourage accessible social and community facilities	Y	
ST 45	Restrict new hotel development to acceptable locations	Y	
ST 46	Ensure continued contribution of sports, leisure and recreation provision	Y	
ST 47	Maintain and increase the provision and quality of open space	Y	
ST 48	Encourage provision of continuous Thames path, improve access to river	Y	
ST 49	Consider nature conservation and protection in all proposals		N
ST 50	Have regard to air quality and land contamination		N
ST 51	Seek land for provision of public utilities		N
ST 52	Support the 'Proximity Principal'		N
CONSERVATION AND DESIGN			
CD1	Protect and enhance views and vistas along the riverside	Y	
CD2	Object to developments that affect views of the Chelsea riverside	Y	
CD3	Resist development that results in the loss of Cremorne Wharf		N
CD4	Resist permanently moored vessels on the river	Y	
CD5	Protect and enhance existing residential moorings at Battersea Reach	Y	
CD6	Require a riverside development to preserve and enhance the waterfront	Y	
CD7	Ensure provision of a riverside walk within appropriate developments	Y	
CD8	Protect important views and vistas around the Royal Hospital	Y	
CD9	Protect the open spaces around the Royal Hospital from development	Y	
CD10	Protect views around the South Kensington Museums Area	Y	
CD11	Preserve and enhance character of South Kensington Museums Area	Y	
CD12	Resist development on metropolitan open land	Y	
CD13	Restrict building height around Kensington Gardens and Hyde Park	Y	
CD14	Ensure new buildings do not impose themselves on Kensington Palace	Y	
CD15	Resist proposals encroaching or affecting the setting of Holland Park	Y	
CD16	Promote public access to Kensal Green and Brompton Cemeteries	Y	
CD17	Protect the long-distance view from King Henry's Mound to St. Pauls	Y	
CD18	Resist development that would adversely affect the setting of the canal	Y	
CD19	Encourage use of the canal for freight and recreational passengers		N
CD20	Encourage canal side development relating to water-based activities		N
CD21	Encourage improved access to the canal side	Y	
CD22	Permit residential moorings on the Grand Union Canal STC		N

CD23	Protect, enhance and resist loss of public and private open space	Y	
CD24	Resist development in, on, over or under garden squares	Y	
CD25	Protect Parks and Gardens of Specific Historic Interest	Y	
CD26	Encourage improvement of land/buildings which are in poor condition	Y	
CD27	Ensure that all development is to a high standard of design	Y	
CD28	Require development to be integrated into its surroundings	Y	
CD29	Encourage energy efficiency of buildings		N
CD30	Require infill development to maintain character of its surroundings		N
CD31	Resist development of backland sites STC	Y	
CD32	Resist subterranean developments STC	Y	
CD33	Resist development which reduces daylight in adjoining buildings	Y	
CD34	Require developments to ensure good light conditions	Y	
CD35	Ensure sufficient visual privacy of residents and the working population	Y	
CD36	Resist developments with a harmful increase in the sense of enclosure	Y	
CD37	Resist developments significantly higher than neighbouring buildings		N
CD38	Ensure proposals for open space are designed to high standards	Y	
CD39	Require developers to account for safety and security	Y	
CD40	Resist proposals that would cause material disturbance to neighbours	Y	
CD41	Ensure developments include adequate protection from external noise	Y	
CD42	Require all non-domestic developments are accessible to disabled	Y	
CD43	Have regard to standards set out in Planning Standards Chapter		N
CD44	Resist additional storeys and roof level alterations STC	Y	
CD45	Permit additional storeys and roof level alterations STC	Y	
CD46	Resist the introduction of roof level terraces STC	Y	
CD47	To resist proposals for extensions STC	Y	
CD48	To resist proposals for conservatories STC	Y	
CD49	To resist side extensions to buildings STC	Y	
CD50	Permit alterations only where external appearance would not be harmed	Y	
CD51	Resist unsympathetic small-scale developments	Y	
CD52	Resist the installation of plant and equipment STC	Y	
CD53	Permit satellite dishes and antennas STC	Y	
CD54	Resist off-street car parking in forecourts and gardens STC	Y	
CD55	Ensure character of mews properties is preserved and enhanced	Y	
CD56	Resist loss of and inappropriate alterations/extensions to artists' studios	Y	
CD57	Preserve and enhance appearance of Conservation Areas (CAs)	Y	
CD58	Encourage improvement of the environment of CAs	Y	
CD59	Seek implementation of specific proposals agreed in CAPS	Y	
CD60	Resist partial or full demolition of buildings in CAs STC	Y	
CD61	Ensure developments in CAs preserve and enhance character	Y	
CD62	Ensure all development in CAs is to a high standard	Y	
CD63	Consider the effect of proposals on views in CAPS	Y	

CD64	Require full planning applications in CAs	Y	
CD65	Resist demolition of listed buildings in whole or in part	Y	
CD66	Resist proposals to alter listed buildings STC	Y	
CD67	Encourage use of listed buildings for their original purpose	Y	
CD68	Resist change of use of listed buildings that would harm its character	Y	
CD69	Resist development that would adversely affect a listed buildings setting	Y	
CD70	Encourage retention of shop fronts of quality	Y	
CD71	Seek all new shop fronts respect the buildings original structure	Y	
CD72	Require suitable shop signage on combined shopping units	Y	
CD73	Resist open shop fronts	Y	
CD74	Resist shop fronts resulting in removal of separate access to residential	Y	
CD75	Require where appropriate that mobility needs are met by shop fronts		N
CD76	Resist advertisements STC	Y	
CD77	Permit awnings and blinds that are in character with the building	Y	
CD78	Permit flagpoles unless their siting would harm the areas character	Y	
CD79	Resist the erection of permanent hoardings	Y	
CD80	Resist developments that would result in damage or loss of trees	Y	
CD81	Encourage the planting of trees in new developments	Y	
CD82	Resist tree loss unless they are dead/dying or a public danger	Y	
CD83	Require an appropriate replacement for any tree that is felled	Y	
CD84	Ensure adequate protection of trees during the course of construction	Y	
CD85	Encourage protection of Sites of Archaeological Interest (SAI)	Y	
CD86	Requirement of various actions if application is situated on an SAI	Y	
CD87	Encourage co-operations between various parties with regard to SAIs		N
CD88	Preserve and enhance all scheduled ancient monuments and SAIs	Y	
CD89	Retain religious buildings of architectural or townscape merit	Y	
CD90	Prepare planning briefs and guidelines for important development sites		N
CD91	Identify sites that would benefit from environmental improvement schemes		N
CD92	Negotiate planning obligations to achieve conservation and development	Y	
CD93	Discourage excess street furniture	Y	
CD94	Encourage good quality street furniture	Y	
CD95	Seek the preservation of historic street furniture	Y	
HOUSING			
H1	Resist the loss of permanent residential accommodation	Y	
H2	Seek the development of land for residential use STC	Y	
H3	Encourage the use of property, wherever appropriate, for residential		N
H4	Resist encroachment into residential areas of commercial activities	Y	
H5	Encourage local services that support the residential character of the area		N
H6	Permit conversions from self-contained units into smaller s/c units	Y	
H7	Seek provision of outdoor space in all new development	Y	
H8	Require appropriate social and community facilities in major developments	Y	

H9	Resist residential development designed to a very low density	Y	
H10	Require that housing designed for families is designed to a lower density	Y	
H11	Resist housing designed to higher densities STC		N
H12	Resist higher densities unless necessary for townscape reasons		N
H13	Continue to encourage improvement/preservation of existing housing		N
H14	Ensure the enhancement of the residential environment		N
H15	Require majority of housing to be located on Major Development Sites	Y	
H16	Encourage use of publicly owned land for housing provision		N
H17	Resist loss of existing small self-contained flats	Y	
H18	Seek inclusion of smaller units and larger units in residential schemes	Y	
H19	Seek an appropriate mix of dwellings within a scheme STC	Y	
H20	Normally to resist conversion of HMOs into s/c flats	Y	
H21	Welcome affordable housing and housing for special needs		N
H22	Negotiate provision of affordable housing for sites of over 15 dwellings		N
H23	Provide affordable housing for Schedule of Major Developments Sites		N
H24	Provide housing for people with special accommodation needs		N
H25	Resist loss of residential hostels except in Earl's Court Ward	Y	
H26	Permit proposals for hostels by recognised hostel providers STC	Y	
H27	Welcome provision of sheltered housing	Y	
H28	Seek that ground floor dwellings are built to mobility standard		N
H29	Resist loss of the Westway Travellers' Site	Y	
OFFICES AND INDUSTRY			
E1	Resist large-scale business development STC	Y	
E2	Permit small-scale business development		N
E3	Resist loss of business units of less than 100 metres squared	Y	
E4	Require housing to be developed on Major Development Sites	Y	
E5	Negotiate planning gains from large developments	Y	
E6	Ensure developments provide a visually interesting street frontage		N
E7	Ensure adequate provision for storage, recycling and disposal of waste		N
E8	Resist loss of existing general industrial uses	Y	
E9	Resist applications for the development of premises for special industries		N
E10	Encourage business proposals to provide a range of unit sizes	Y	
E11	Encourage provision of start-up units	Y	
E12	Encourage refurbishment of existing office and industrial buildings	Y	
E13	Encourage premises for locally based service industries and offices	Y	
E14	Resist loss of commercial uses within primarily commercial mews	Y	
E15	Seek provision of light industrial premises in North Kensington	Y	
E16	Restrict change of use between B1-B8 uses in North Kensington	Y	
E17	Resist loss of light industrial uses in North Kensington	Y	
E18	Consider sympathetically proposals for expansion in North Kensington		N
E19	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in North Kensington to industrial	Y	

E20	Resist the loss of business use in Employment Zones	Y	
E21	Resist loss of other employment generating uses in Employment Zones	Y	
E22	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in Employment Zones to industrial	Y	
E23	Resist change of use of light industrial premises in Employment Zones	Y	
E24	Consider sympathetically proposals for expansion in Employment Zones		N
E25	Encourage provision of small, flexible business units in Employment Zones	Y	
E26	Encourage improvement of light industrial units in Employment Zones	Y	
E27	Require business uses in proposals for sites in Employment Zones	Y	
E28	Resist establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas	Y	
E29	Permit establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas STC	Y	
E30	Consider favourably applications for diplomatic uses in listed buildings		N
TRANSPORTATION			
TR1	Ensure high trip-generating development is located close to transport	Y	
TR2	Maintain, improve and provide safe pedestrian crossing facilities		N
TR3	Maintain and improve footways	Y	
TR4	Protect existing footpaths and encourage provision of new routes	Y	
TR5	Improve and introduce cycle facilities, expanding the Local Cycle Network		N
TR6	Review and alter major junctions that act as a barrier to cycle movement		N
TR7	Co-operate with the Traffic Director for London		N
TR8	Ensure cycle routes are provided in appropriate developments	Y	
TR9	Require cycle parking facilities in appropriate developments	Y	
TR10	Support the development of the Chelsea-Hackney Underground line	Y	
TR11	To support the proposal for Crossrail	Y	
TR12	Support and encourage the improvement of the West London Line	Y	
TR13	Support proposals for the improvement of existing stations	Y	
TR14	Seek new bus services and improve existing services	Y	
TR15	Improve bus services by introducing traffic management schemes		N
TR16	Seek improvements at public transport interchanges	Y	
TR17	Seek the provision of interchange facilities where none presently exist	Y	
TR18	Require coach facilities for picking up and dropping off of hotel customers	Y	
TR19	Encourage provision of coach parking at major hotels and attractions	Y	
TR20	Resist the loss of off-street coach parking	Y	
TR21	Support restrictions on coach movements in local areas	Y	
TR22	Support the provision of safe and convenient taxi facilities		N
TR23	Encourage use of the River Thames and the Grand Union Canal for freight		N
TR24	Ensure road improvements in developments are safe	Y	
TR25	Improve the efficiency of the major roads in the Borough		N
TR26	Implement schemes that slow down traffic on minor roads	Y	
TR27	Oppose schemes which may encourage traffic to use minor roads	Y	
TR28	Resist highway proposals that would lead to increased Borough traffic		N
TR29	Support proposals that help relieve the Earls Court One-Way system	Y	

TR30	Review the extent of waiting and loading provisions on major roads		N
TR31	Review and adjust provision of on-street parking for residents		N
TR32	Maintain the number of pay and display parking spaces	Y	
TR33	Resist the provision of additional public car parks		N
TR34	Control the management of new public off-street car parks		N
TR35	Assess the impact of new development on public transport infrastructure	Y	
TR36	Resist development resulting in increasing traffic or decreasing safety	Y	
TR37	Negotiate developer contributions towards transport improvements	Y	
TR38	Limit amount of off-street parking spaces in non-residential development	Y	
TR39	Permit only small-scale development in less accessible areas	Y	
TR40	Resist the formation of new accesses on major roads	Y	
TR41	Require designated off-street service space for development schemes	Y	
TR42	Require new residential development to require off-street parking STC	Y	
TR43	Resist development which would result in the loss of off-street parking	Y	
TR44	Resist development which would result in the loss of on-street parking	Y	
TR45	Resist development of helicopter facilities in the Borough	Y	
SHOPPING			
S1	Resist loss of shops particularly where this would decrease choice	Y	
S2	Permit new shop floorspace and extensions to existing shops	Y	
S3	Seek the replacement of shop floorspace and frontage in new schemes	Y	
S4	Seek provision of shop units as part of appropriate development schemes	Y	
S5	Seek a range of shop unit sizes in shopping developments	Y	
S6	Maintain and improve the vitality of the Borough's shopping centres	Y	
S7	Seek a concentration of shops in the core frontage of shopping centres	Y	
S8	Resist the loss of any shop in a Local Shopping Centre	Y	
S9	Encourage new convenience retail development in local centres	Y	
S10	Encourage provision for convenience shopping in appropriate schemes		N
S11	Encourage local shopping facilities to meet residents needs		N
S12	Resist the loss of laundrettes, banks and building societies	Y	
S13	Permit certain changes of use in Local Shopping Centres	Y	
S14	Permit changes of use from A1 to A2 in certain parts of the Borough	Y	
S15	Encourage the retention and resist the loss of street market stalls	Y	
S16	Encourage retention and provision of additional storage for street traders	Y	
S17	Permit A2 and A3 uses in the core frontage of Principal Centres STC	Y	
S18	Permit A2 and A3 uses in the non-core frontage of Principal Centres STC	Y	
S19	Permit non-shop uses above or below ground floor levels STC	Y	
S20	Resist use of shopping units for non-public uses	Y	
S21	Require shop frontages and displays areas are retained by non-shop uses	Y	
S22	Resist development of amusement centres and arcades STC	Y	
S23	Resist development of A3 uses outside of Principal Shopping Centres STC	Y	
S24	Permit large new retail development in shopping centres STC	Y	

S25	Other retail proposals will only be acceptable STC	Y	
S26	Seek improvement of townscape and shopping street environment		N
S27	Ensure alterations are in keeping with shopping centre character		N
S28	Resist proposals involving pavement trading resulting in reduced passage	Y	
S29	Require the provision of servicing facilities in shopping developments		N
S30	Encourage provision of storage for recyclable/re-usable materials		N
SOCIAL AND COMMUNITY USES			
SC1	Resist community facilities catering for non-local demand STC	Y	
SC2	Resist the loss of accommodation for social and community use	Y	
SC3	Negotiate planning obligations to replace lost community facilities	Y	
SC4	Encourage provision of new social and community facilities	Y	
SC5	Permit developments for social and community facilities STC	Y	
SC6	Negotiate planning obligations to provide social and community facilities	Y	
SC7	Safeguard sites identified for Local Education Authority Proposals	Y	
SC8	Encourage shared use of purpose-built education facilities	Y	
SC9	Negotiate provision of workplace nurseries	Y	
SC10	Resist proposals for education/training facilities unless benefiting locals	Y	
SC11	Balance development of medical institutions with residential needs	Y	
HOTELS			
T1	Resist the development of new hotels STC	Y	
T2	Resist new hotel development in areas of existing over-concentration	Y	
T3	Allow extensions to existing hotels STC	Y	
T4	Permit proposals involving a reduction in bedspaces in existing hotels		N
T5	Resist provision of new temporary sleeping accommodation STC	Y	
T6	Allow extensions to existing temporary sleeping accommodation STC	Y	
LEISURE AND RECREATION			
LR1	Resist loss of playing fields, pitches and other recreational provision	Y	
LR2	Encourage provision of additional sports and recreational facilities	Y	
LR3	Negotiate provision of sports and recreational facilities in proposals	Y	
LR4	Require new sports facilities to be designed for shared use	Y	
LR5	Encourage public access to all new sports and recreational facilities		N
LR6	Encourage full use of existing sports facilities		N
LR7	Council to adopt sequential approach to health and fitness developments		N
LR8	Resist loss of existing public and private open space	Y	
LR9	Seek establishment of Green Chains linking open spaces		N
LR10	Encourage wider use of private open space		N
LR11	Encourage temporary use of vacant sites for open space and playgrounds		N
LR12	Encourage outdoor seating in appropriate locations	Y	
LR13	Ensure retention of public rights of way over public and private land	Y	
LR14	Negotiate inclusion of open space in association with proposals	Y	

LR15	Require that amenity space is provided for new family housing	Y	
LR16	Encourage public access to all new communal open space	Y	
LR17	Encourage provision of nature gardens and ecological sites	Y	
LR18	Encourage the increased use of the Thames for leisure and recreation	Y	
LR19	Protect the Thames Path and seek its improvement and completion		N
LR20	Require foreshore means of access are safeguarded and supplemented	Y	
LR21	Encourage use of canal for water-based leisure and recreation activities	Y	
LR22	Use the two canal basins at Kensal Green for water recreation and mooring	Y	
LR23	Encourage the enhancement of the canal towpath and new access		N
LR24	Identify and protect Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCIs)	Y	
LR25	Encourage appropriate ecological management of SNCIs		N
LR26	Consider effect on nature conservation in dealing with proposals		N
LR27	Encourage allocation of pockets of land for nature conservation	Y	
LR28	Resist loss of arts, cultural and entertainment facilities	Y	
LR29	Require replacement of similar capacity in cinema and theatre development	Y	
LR30	Resist loss of hall premises providing leisure and recreation uses		N
LR31	Require new hall premises be designed to enable multiple uses	Y	
LR32	Encourage new arts, culture and entertainment uses	Y	
LR33	Adopt a sequential approach to the location of high trip generating uses		N
LR34	Resist proposals for night clubs, discos, casinos and gaming rooms STC	Y	
LR35	Resist development of new conference centres or exhibition halls	Y	
LR36	Negotiate provision of arts, culture, and entertainment facilities	Y	
LR37	Resist the loss of artists' studio space		N
LR38	Encourage provision of active play and tranquillity in open space	Y	
LR39	Resist loss of existing facilities for play provision	Y	
LR40	Seek to ensure adequate communal play provision	Y	
LR41	Continue to provide play provision in the Council's housing estates		N
LR42	Encourage increased use of Council's playground school premises	Y	
LR43	Encourage wider access to facilities for those with special mobility needs		N
ENVIRONMENT			
PU1	Resist development impacting on air quality	Y	
PU2	Resist development leading to pollution impacting on amenity	Y	
PU3	Require additional information for developments on contaminated land	Y	
PU4	Ensure appropriate protection for future users of contaminated land	Y	
PU5	Ensure provision of buildings for public utility agencies		N
PU6	Ensure land released by utility agencies is used in accordance with policy		N
PU7	Seek adequate provision for the needs of emergency services		N
PU8	Advise agencies on the appropriate siting of equipment for public utilities		N
PU9	Encourage liaison with statutory undertakers for streetworks		N
PU10	Encourage use of sustainable urban drainage		N
PU11	Require provision of adequate storage space for ease of refuse collection	Y	

PU12	Resist the loss of Cremorne Wharf as a waste management facility	Y	
PU13	Promote the provision of suitable recycling collection sites	Y	
PU14	Encourage the re-use of construction materials in development schemes	Y	
PU15	Seek appropriate distribution of public conveniences through the Borough	Y	
PLANNING STANDARDS			
N/A	The Planning Standards	Y	
MONITORING			
MI1	Negotiate planning obligations to ensure satisfactory developments	Y	

Appendix B Saved Policies not to be replaced by the adopted Core Strategy

This schedule is taken from the policy replacement schedule within the submission Core Strategy.

The purpose of this table is to show how the UDP Policies and Core Strategy Policies relate to each other. This schedule sets out the current UDP Policies and identifies if there is a relevant policy in the Core Strategy. The specific criteria within each policy have also been identified where appropriate.

The Core Strategy Policies are not the same as the UDP Policies that they replace; rather they cover the same topic or issue.

	Policy Description	Current Status of UDP policy: Expired (not saved by SoS) or Saved policy	Is existing policy to be superseded by the Core Strategy?	Core Strategy Policy
STRATEGIC POLICIES				
ST 1	Protect and enhance of the Borough's residential character	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CV1
ST 2	Increase residential provision	Expired policy		
ST 3	Seek continued economic growth	Expired policy		
ST 4	Seek a safe, efficient and green transport system	Expired policy		
ST 5	Locate tourist related development close to public transport	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 Policy CF8
ST 6	Encourage sizeable activities to locate in Central RBKC	Expired policy		
ST 7	Promote sustainable development by reducing the need to travel	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CF5, CF7 and CT1.

ST 8	Promote sustainable development by enhancing environmental quality	Expired policy		
ST 9	Ensure development preserves and enhances the residential character of the Royal Borough	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CV1
ST 10	Protect Listed Buildings and preserve Conservation Areas	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CL3 and CL4
ST 11	Promote high environmental and architectural design standards	Saved policy	Yes	High environmental standards is are required through policies CE1, CE2, CE3, CE4, CE5 and CE6. High architectural standards are required through Policy CL2
ST 12	Protect London's skyline and Strategic views	Expired policy		
ST 13	Protect the River Thames and its setting	Expired policy		
ST 14	Ensure people with special mobility needs have equality of access	Expired policy		
ST 15	Protect Ancient Monuments and Sites of Archaeological Interest	Expired policy		
ST 16	Ensure contribution of RBKC to Greater London dwelling stock	Expired policy	Yes	Policy CH1
ST 17	Seek to maximise residential capacity in the Borough	Expired policy	Yes	Policy CH1.
ST 18	Encourage an adequate and continuous supply of land for new housing	Expired policy	Yes	Policy CH1.
ST 19	Seek an increase in amount and range of sizes of dwellings	Expired policy	Yes	Policy CH2.
ST 20	Support diverse economy whilst protecting from	Expired policy		

	inappropriate development			
ST 21	Encourage large developments to locate close to public transport	Expired policy		
ST 22	Retain a range of business premises whilst prioritising small businesses	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5, which also includes very small offices.
ST 23	Support the reduction of road traffic movement in the metropolitan area	Expired policy		
ST 24	Support measures to reduce air and noise pollution from motor vehicles	Expired policy		
ST 25	Promote walking and improve the pedestrian environment	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CT1 CK2 and CK3
ST 26	Promote cycling and provide comprehensively for cyclists	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CT1 CK2 and CK3
ST 27	Support and encourage the improvement of the public transport network	Expired policy		
ST 28	Encourage the use of rail for passenger and freight movement	Expired policy		
ST 29	Support the development of new rail links around London	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT2
ST 30	Support local bus services and measures to improve service quality	Expired policy		
ST 31	Support the use of the River Thames for passenger and freight movement	Expired policy		
ST 32	Achieve targets set for reduction in road accidents through safety schemes	Expired policy		

ST 33	Support maintenance of a Strategic London Road Network	Expired policy		
ST 34	Implement programmes of comprehensive traffic management	Expired policy		
ST 35	Support control of night-time and weekend lorry movement	Saved policy	No	See the Better Travel Choices chapter
ST 36	Monitor demand in the controlled parking zone	Saved policy	No	See the Better Travel Choices chapter
ST 37	Oppose any increased capacity at Heathrow Airport	Saved policy	No	See the Better Travel Choices chapter
ST 38	Enhance the vitality and viability of Principal and Local Shopping Centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CF1, CF2 and CF3
ST 39	Ensure large new retail development is concentrated in Principal Centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF1 (including the sequential test as set out in PPS6) and Policy CF2

ST 40	Promote retail development in Local Shopping Centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK2 Policy CF3 and CF1
ST 41	Improve the attractiveness and competitiveness of the shopping centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CF1, CF2 and CF3
ST 42	Ensure continued enhancement of Principal Shopping Centres	Expired policy		
ST 43	Ensure that the needs of residents and workers are met by retail	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK2 and CK3
ST 44	Protect and encourage accessible social and community facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1
ST 45	Restrict new hotel development to acceptable locations	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF8

ST 46	Ensure continued contribution of sports, leisure and recreation provision	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 Policy CF3
ST 47	Maintain and increase the provision and quality of open space	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
ST 48	Encourage provision of continuous Thames path, improve access to river	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
ST 49	Consider nature conservation and protection in all proposals	Expired policy		
ST 50	Have regard to air quality and land contamination	Expired policy		
ST 51	Seek land for provision of public utilities	Expired policy		
ST 52	Support the 'Proximity Principal'	Expired policy		
CONSERVATION AND DESIGN				
CD1	Protect and enhance views and vistas along the riverside	Saved policy	No	Policy CL1 provides a strategic overview
CD2	Object to developments that affect views of the Chelsea riverside	Saved policy	No	Policy CL1 provides a strategic overview
CD3	Resist development that results in the loss of Cremorne Wharf	Expired policy		
CD4	Resist permanently moored vessels on the river	Saved policy	No	
CD5	Protect and enhance Saved residential moorings at Battersea Reach	Saved policy	No	
CD6	Require a riverside development to preserve and enhance the waterfront	Saved policy	Yes	Waterside views are covered by Policy CL1 (d)
CD7	Ensure provision of a riverside walk within appropriate developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (h) requires opportunities to improve public access to riversides

CD8	Protect important views and vistas around the Royal Hospital	Saved policy	No	
CD9	Protect the open spaces around the Royal Hospital from development	Saved policy	No	
CD10	Protect views around the South Kensington Museums Area	Saved policy	No	See South Kensington in the Spatial Strategy
CD11	Preserve and enhance character of South Kensington Museums Area	Saved policy	No	See South Kensington in the Spatial Strategy
CD12	Resist development on metropolitan open land	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (a) and (b)
CD13	Restrict building height around Kensington Gardens and Hyde Park	Saved policy	No	See the forthcoming Tall Buildings SPD
CD14	Ensure new buildings do not impose themselves on Kensington Palace	Saved policy	No	See the forthcoming Tall Buildings SPD
CD15	Resist proposals encroaching or affecting the setting of Holland Park	Saved policy	No	See the forthcoming Commonwealth Institute SPD
CD16	Promote public access to Kensal Green and Brompton Cemeteries	Saved policy	No	See Kensal and Earl's Court in the Spatial Strategy
CD17	Protect the long-distance view from King Henry's Mound to St. Pauls	Saved policy	No	Policy CL1 provides a strategic overview
CD18	Resist development that would adversely affect the setting of the canal	Saved policy	Yes	The protection of views forms part of Policy CL1 (d)
CD19	Encourage use of the canal for freight and recreational passengers	Expired policy		
CD20	Encourage canal side development relating to water-based activities	Expired policy		
CD21	Encourage improved access to the canal side	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (h) and Policy CL1 (d)
CD22	Permit residential moorings on the Grand Union Canal STC	Expired policy		

CD23	Protect, enhance and resist loss of public and private open space	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
CD24	Resist development in, on, over or under garden squares	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (d)
CD25	Protect Parks and Gardens of Specific Historic Interest	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (b)
CD26	Encourage improvement of land/buildings which are in poor condition	Saved policy	No	Note the use of S215 Notices
CD27	Ensure that all development is to a high standard of design	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 and CL1
CD28	Require development to be integrated into its surroundings	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR2
CD29	Encourage energy efficiency of buildings	Expired policy		
CD30	Require infill development to maintain character of its surroundings	Expired policy		
CD31	Resist development of backland sites STC	Saved policy	No	
CD32	Resist subterranean developments STC	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 (g)
CD33	Resist development which reduces daylight in adjoining buildings	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL5
CD34	Require developments to ensure good light conditions	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL5
CD35	Ensure sufficient visual privacy of residents and the working population	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL5
CD36	Resist developments with a harmful increase in the sense of enclosure	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL5
CD37	Resist developments significantly higher than neighbouring buildings	Expired policy		

CD38	Ensure proposals for open space are designed to high standards	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 (g)
CD39	Require developers to account for safety and security	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 (a) (vii)
CD40	Resist proposals where the noise generated would cause material disturbance to neighbours	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE6
CD41	Ensure developments include adequate protection from external noise	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE6
CD42	Require all non-domestic developments are accessible to people with special mobility needs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 (a) (vi) Also see the forthcoming Design and Access SPD.
CD43	Have regard to standards set out in Planning Standards Chapter	Expired policy		
CD44	Resist additional storeys and roof level alterations	Saved policy	No	Policy CL2 See forthcoming Roofscape SPD
CD45	Permit additional storeys and roof level alterations	Saved policy	No	Policy CL2 See forthcoming Roofscape SPD
CD46	Resist the introduction of roof level terraces	Saved policy	No	
CD47	To resist proposals for extensions	Saved policy	No	
CD48	To resist proposals for conservatories	Saved policy	No	
CD49	To resist side extensions to buildings	Saved policy	No	Policy CL2 See forthcoming Roofscape SPD
CD50	Permit alterations only where external appearance would not be harmed	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL6
CD51	Resist unsympathetic small-scale developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL6

CD52	Resist the installation of plant and equipment	Saved policy	Yes	CE6, CL6 and CL5.
CD53	Permit satellite dishes and antennas	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL6
CD54	Resist off-street car parking in forecourts and gardens	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR4
CD55	Ensure character of mews properties is preserved and enhanced	Saved policy	No	Policies CL1 and CL provide a strategic overview
CD56	Resist loss of and inappropriate alterations/extensions to artists' studios	Saved policy	No	Policies CL1 and CL2 and CF7 provide a strategic overview
CD57	Preserve and enhance appearance of Conservation Areas (CAs)	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL3 repeats National guidance
CD58	Encourage improvement of the environment of CAs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 CL3

CD59	Seek implementation of specific proposals agreed in CAPS	Saved policy	Yes	
CD60	Resist partial or full demolition of buildings in CAs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL3
CD61	Ensure developments in CAs preserve and enhance character	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL3
CD62	Ensure all development in CAs is to a high standard	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 and CL3
CD63	Consider the effect of proposals on views in CAPS	Saved policy	No	
CD64	Require full planning applications in CAs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL3 (a)
CD65	Resist demolition of listed buildings in whole or in part	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (a)
CD66	Resist proposals to alter listed buildings	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (b)

CD67	Encourage use of listed buildings for their original purpose	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (e)
CD68	Resist change of use of listed buildings that would harm its character	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (e)
CD69	Resist development that would adversely affect a listed buildings setting	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4
CD70	Encourage retention of shop fronts of quality	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 See the forthcoming Shopfront Design Guide SPD
CD71	Seek all new shop fronts respect the buildings original structure	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 See the forthcoming Shopfront Design Guide SPD
CD72	Require suitable shop signage on combined shopping units	Saved policy	No	Policy CL 2 See the forthcoming Shopfront Design Guide SPD
CD73	Resist open shop fronts	Saved policy	No	See the forthcoming Shopfront Design Guide SPD
CD74	Resist shop fronts resulting in removal of separate access to residential	Saved policy	No	See the forthcoming Shopfront Design Guide SPD
CD75	Require where appropriate that mobility needs are met by shop fronts	Expired policy		
CD76	Resist advertisements	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR4
CD77	Permit awnings and blinds that are in character with the building	Saved policy	No	
CD78	Permit flagpoles unless their siting would harm the areas character	Saved policy	No	
CD79	Resist the erection of permanent hoardings	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR4
CD80	Resist developments that would result in damage or loss of trees	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR6

CD81	Encourage the planting of trees in new developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR6
CD82	Resist tree loss unless they are dead/dying or a public danger	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR6
CD83	Require an appropriate replacement for any tree that is felled	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR6
CD84	Ensure adequate protection of trees during the course of construction	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR6
CD85	Encourage protection of Sites of Archaeological Interest (SAI)	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (h)
CD86	Requirement of various actions if application is situated on an SAI	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4 (h) of
CD87	Encourage co-operations between various parties with regard to SAIs	Expired policy		
CD88	Preserve and enhance all scheduled ancient monuments and SAIs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL4
CD89	Retain religious buildings of architectural or townscape merit	Saved policy	No	
CD90	Prepare planning briefs and guidelines for important development sites	Expired policy		
CD91	Identify sites that would benefit from environmental improvement schemes	Expired policy		
CD92	Negotiate planning obligations to achieve conservation and development	Saved policy	Yes	Policy C1
CD93	Discourage excess street furniture	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR4
CD94	Encourage good quality street furniture	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR4
CD95	Seek the preservation of historic	Saved	Yes	Policy CR4

	street furniture	policy		
HOUSING				
H1	Resist the loss of permanent residential accommodation	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH3 a)
H2	Seek the development of land for residential use	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CH1 and CH3
H3	Encourage the use of property, wherever appropriate, for residential	Expired policy	No	
H4	Resist encroachment into residential areas of commercial activities	Saved policy	No	
H5	Encourage local services that support the residential character of the area	Expired policy	No	
H6	Permit conversions from self-contained units into smaller s/c units	Saved policy	No	
H7	Seek provision of outdoor space in all new development	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 h)
H8	Require appropriate social and community facilities in major developments	Saved policy	No	This is dealt with in relation to each of the Strategic Sites in Section 2A of the Core Strategy. Policies CH2 (o) and CK1.
H9	Resist residential development designed to a very low density	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL1 c) and to some extent CH2 a).
H10	Require that housing designed for families is designed to a lower density	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL1 c) and to some extent CH2 part a).
H11	Resist housing designed to higher densities	Expired policy	No	Policy CL1 (c)
H12	Resist higher densities unless necessary for townscape reasons	Expired policy	No	Policy CL1 (c)
H13	Continue to encourage improvement/preservation of	Expired policy	No	

	existing housing			
H14	Ensure the enhancement of the residential environment	Expired policy	No	
H15	Require majority of housing to be located on Major Development Sites	Saved policy	No	
H16	Encourage use of publicly owned land for housing provision	Expired policy	No	
H17	Resist loss of small self-contained flats	Saved policy	No	
H18	Seek inclusion of smaller units and larger units in residential schemes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 (a)
H19	Seek an appropriate mix of dwellings within a scheme	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 (a), (f) and (g)
H20	Normally to resist conversion of HMOs into s/c flats	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 d)
H21	Welcome affordable housing and housing for special needs	Expired policy	No	
H22	Negotiate provision of affordable housing for sites of over 15 dwellings	Expired policy	No	
H23	Provide affordable housing for Schedule of Major Developments Sites	Expired policy	No	
H24	Provide housing for people with special accommodation needs	Expired policy	Yes	Policy CH2 b), e) and s)
H25	Resist loss of residential hostels except in Earl's Court Ward	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 e) does not specifically refer to Earl's Court
H26	Permit proposals for hostels by recognised hostel providers STC	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 e) for residential hostels and CF8 for tourist hostels.
H27	Welcome provision of sheltered housing	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 c) refers to extra care housing.
H28	Seek that ground floor dwellings	Expired	Yes	Policy CH2 b)

	are built to mobility standard	policy		
H29	Resist loss of the Westway Travellers' Site	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CH2 s)
OFFICES AND INDUSTRY				
E1	Resist large-scale business development unless certain criteria met.	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 considers the appropriate location of new business development.
E2	Permit small-scale business development	Expired policy		
E3	Resist loss of business units of less than 100 m ²	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 protects very small offices across the Borough.
E4	Require housing to be developed on Major Development Sites	Saved policy	Yes	See Section 2A Allocations and Designations for this information.
E5	Negotiate planning gains from large scale business developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy C1 provides a strategic overview. See the forthcoming S106 Planning Obligations SPD for more information
E6	Ensure developments provide a visually interesting street frontage	Expired policy		
E7	Ensure adequate provision for storage, recycling and disposal of waste	Expired policy		
E8	Resist loss of general industrial uses	Saved policy	No	Policy CF5 f), g) and h) relates to light industrial uses
E9	Resist applications for the development of premises for special industries	Expired policy		
E10	Encourage business proposals to provide a range of unit sizes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 e)
E11	Encourage provision of start-up units	Saved policy	No	
E12	Encourage refurbishment of office and industrial buildings	Saved policy	No	

E13	Encourage premises for locally based service industries and offices	Saved policy	No	
E14	Resist loss of commercial uses within primarily commercial mews	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 c)
E15	Seek provision of light industrial premises in North Kensington	Saved policy	No	
E16	Restrict change of use between B1-B8 uses in North Kensington	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 provides a strategic overview
E17	Resist loss of light industrial uses in North Kensington	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 provides a strategic overview
E18	Consider sympathetically proposals for expansion in North Kensington	Expired policy		
E19	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in North Kensington to industrial	Saved policy	No	
E20	Resist the loss of business use in Employment Zones	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 a)
E21	Resist loss of other employment generating uses in Employment Zones	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 a) and j)
E22	Adhere to conditions that limit premises in Employment Zones to industrial	Saved policy	No	
E23	Resist change of use of light industrial premises in Employment Zones	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 a)
E24	Consider sympathetically proposals for expansion or relocation in Employment Zones	Expired policy		
E25	Encourage provision of small, flexible business units in Employment Zones	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 e)
E26	Encourage improvement of existing offices and light industrial units in Employment Zones	Saved policy	No	

E27	Require business uses in proposals for sites in Employment Zones	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF5 protects the Employment Zones for light industrial use and small and medium business uses.
E28	Resist establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas	Saved policy	No	considered in CL5.
E29	Permit establishment of diplomatic uses in specified areas STC	Saved policy	No	
E30	Consider favourably applications for diplomatic uses in listed buildings	Expired policy		
TRANSPORTATION				
TR1	Ensure high trip-generating development is located close to transport	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (a)
TR2	Maintain, improve and provide safe pedestrian crossing facilities	Expired policy		

TR3	Maintain and improve footways	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (f)
TR4	Protect footpaths and encourage provision of new routes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 o)
TR5	Improve and introduce cycle facilities, expanding the Local Cycle Network	Expired policy		
TR6	Review and alter major junctions that act as a barrier to cycle movement	Expired policy		
TR7	Co-operate with the Traffic Director for London	Expired policy		
TR8	Ensure cycle routes are provided in appropriate developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (f) Policy CR1 c)
TR9	Require cycle parking facilities in appropriate developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (f)

TR10	Support the development of the Chelsea-Hackney Underground line	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT2 (c) and (d)
TR11	To support the proposal for Crossrail	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT2 (a)
TR12	Support and encourage the improvement of the West London Line	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT2 (b) and (e)
TR13	Support proposals for the improvement of existing stations	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT2 refers to new and enhanced rail infrastructure
TR14	Seek new bus services and improve existing services	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (i)
TR15	Improve bus services by introducing traffic management schemes	Expired policy		
TR16	Seek improvements at public transport interchanges	Saved policy	No	
TR17	Seek the provision of interchange facilities where none presently exist	Saved policy	No	
TR18	Require coach facilities for picking up and dropping off of hotel customers	Saved policy	No	
TR19	Encourage provision of coach parking at major hotels and attractions	Saved policy	No	
TR20	Resist the loss of off-street coach parking	Saved policy	No	
TR21	Support restrictions on coach movements in local areas	Saved policy	No	
TR22	Support the provision of safe and convenient taxi facilities	Expired policy		
TR23	Encourage use of the River Thames and the GrandUnionCanal for freight	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (m) and CE3 (d)

TR24	Ensure road improvements in developments are safe	Expired policy		
TR25	Improve the efficiency of the major roads in the Borough	Expired policy		
TR26	Implement schemes that slow down traffic on minor roads	Saved policy	No	
TR27	Oppose schemes which may encourage traffic to use minor roads	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (b)
TR28	Resist highway proposals that would lead to increased Borough traffic	Expired policy		
TR29	Support proposals that help relieve the Earls Court One-Way system	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (n)
TR30	Review the extent of waiting and loading provisions on major roads	Expired policy		
TR31	Review and adjust provision of on-street parking for residents	Expired policy		
TR32	Maintain the number of pay and display parking spaces	Saved policy	No	
TR33	Resist the provision of additional public car parks	Expired policy		
TR34	Control the management of new public off-street car parks	Expired policy		
TR35	Assess the impact of new development on public transport infrastructure	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (h)
TR36	Resist development resulting in increasing traffic or decreasing safety	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (a), (b) and (g)
TR37	Negotiate developer contributions towards transport improvements	Saved policy	Yes	Policy C1
TR38	Limit amount of off-street parking spaces in non-residential	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (e)

	development			
TR39	Permit only small-scale development in less accessible areas	Saved policy	No	Policy CT1 provides a strategic overview
TR40	Resist the formation of new accesses on major roads	Saved policy	No	See the Transport SPD
TR41	Require designated off-street service space for development schemes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR7
TR42	Require new residential development to require off-street parking STC	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (b) and (c) relate to new development parking
TR43	Resist development which would result in the loss of off-street parking	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 (b) and (c) relate to new development parking
TR44	Resist development which would result in the loss of on-street parking	Saved policy	No	
TR45	Resist development of helicopter facilities in the Borough	Saved policy	No	
SHOPPING				
S1	Resist loss of shops particularly where this would decrease choice	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK and Policy CF3
S2	Permit new shop floorspace and extensions to shops	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF1 CF2 and CF3 relate to new shop floorspace.
S3	Seek the replacement of shop floorspace and frontage in new schemes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK2 and Policy CF3 Also see the forthcoming Shopfronts Design Guide SPD
S4	Seek provision of shop units as part of appropriate development schemes	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK3 CK2 and CF1 d)
S5	Seek a range of shop unit sizes in shopping developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3
S6	Maintain and improve the vitality	Saved	Yes	Policy CF2

	of the Borough's shopping centres	policy		
S7	Seek a concentration of shops in the core frontage of shopping centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF1 b) Policy CF3
S8	Resist the loss of any shop in a Local Shopping Centre	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3 d) Policy CK2
S9	Encourage new convenience retail development in local centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF1 c) Policy CK2
S10	Encourage provision for convenience shopping in appropriate schemes	Expired policy		
S11	Encourage local shopping facilities to meet residents needs	Expired policy		
S12	Resist the loss of laundrettes, and banks and building societies in North Kensington and SW Chelsea.	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 relates to social and community uses. The Portobello and King's Road Place supports the provision of new banks in certain areas.
S13	Permit certain changes of use in Local Shopping Centres and non core parts of Principal Shopping Centres.	Saved policy	Yes	CF3 c) and d)
S14	Permit changes of use from A1 to A2 in certain parts of the Borough	Saved policy	Yes	The <i>Keeping Life Local</i> section of the core strategy considers area where there is a deficiency in particular local need uses. A bank is one such use.
S15	Encourage the retention and resist the loss of street market stalls	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF4
S16	Encourage retention and provision of additional storage for street traders	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF4 c)
S17	Permit A2 and A3 uses in the core frontage of Principal Centres subject to conditions	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3 a) considers non shop town centre uses in higher order centres and Policy CL5 considers amenity
S18	Permit A2 and A3 uses in the non-core frontage of Principal Centres subject to conditions	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3 b) considers non shop town centre uses in higher order centres and Policy CL5

				considers amenity
S19	Permit non-shop uses above or below ground floor levels subject to conditions	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3 c) Policy CL5
S20	Resist use of shopping units for non-public uses	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF2
S21	Require shop frontages and displays areas are retained by non-shop uses	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL2 n) and o)
S22	Resist development of amusement centres and arcades	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF3 of the core strategy deals with the appropriate balance of uses within town centres; this includes non shops town centre uses such as amusement arcades.
S23	Resist development of A3 uses outside of Principal Shopping Centres subject to conditions	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CT1 b) and Policy CL5 provide a strategic overview
S24	Permit large new retail development in shopping centres	Saved policy	Yes	Policies CF1, CF2 and CF3 CL5 and CT1
S25	Other retail proposals will only be acceptable subject to the sequential test.	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF1 Also see the guidance set out in PPS6.
S26	Seek improvement of townscape and shopping street environment	Expired policy		

S27	Ensure alterations are in keeping with shopping centre character	Expired policy		
S28	Resist proposals involving pavement trading resulting in reduced passage	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR3 c)
S29	Require the provision of servicing facilities in shopping developments	Expired policy		
S30	Encourage provision of storage for recyclable/re-usable materials	Expired policy		
SOCIAL AND COMMUNITY USES				

SC1	Resist community facilities catering for non-local demand	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 b)
SC2	Resist the loss of accommodation for social and community use	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1
SC3	Negotiate planning obligations to replace lost community facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CV1 and Policy C1
SC4	Encourage provision of new social and community facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1
SC5	Permit developments for social and community facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1
SC6	Negotiate planning obligations to provide social and community facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 and Policy C1
SC7	Safeguard sites identified for Local Education Authority Proposals	Saved policy	No	
SC8	Encourage shared use of purpose-built education facilities	Saved policy	No	
SC9	Negotiate provision of workplace nurseries	Saved policy	No	
SC10	Resist proposals for education/training facilities unless benefiting locals	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 b) and c)
SC11	Balance development of medical institutions with residential needs	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CK1 and Policy CK3
HOTELS				
T1	Resist the development of new hotels	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF8
T2	Resist new hotel development in areas of over-concentration	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF8
T3	Allow extensions to hotels	Saved	Yes	Policy CF8

		policy		
T4	Permit proposals involving a reduction in bedspaces in hotels	Expired policy		
T5	Resist provision of new temporary sleeping accommodation	Saved policy	No	
T6	Allow extensions to temporary sleeping accommodation	Saved policy	No	
LEISURE AND RECREATION				
LR1	Resist loss of playing fields, pitches and other recreational provision	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR2	Encourage provision of additional sports and recreational facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR3	Negotiate provision of sports and recreational facilities in proposals	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR4	Require new sports facilities to be designed for shared use	Saved policy	No	
LR5	Encourage public access to all new sports and recreational facilities	Expired policy		
LR6	Encourage full use of Saved sports facilities	Expired policy		
LR7	Council to adopt sequential approach to health and fitness developments	Expired policy		
LR8	Resist loss of Saved public and private open space	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR9	Seek establishment of Green Chains linking open spaces	Expired policy		
LR10	Encourage wider use of private open space	Expired policy		
LR11	Encourage temporary use of vacant sites for open space and	Expired policy		

	playgrounds			
LR12	Encourage outdoor seating in appropriate locations	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR13	Ensure retention of public rights of way over public and private land	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR1
LR14	Negotiate inclusion of open space in association with proposals	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR15	Require that amenity space is provided for new family housing	Saved policy	No	
LR16	Encourage public access to all new communal open space	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR17	Encourage provision of nature gardens and ecological sites	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR18	Encourage the increased use of the Thames for leisure and recreation	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 h)
LR19	Protect the Thames Path and seek its improvement and completion	Expired policy		
LR20	Require foreshore means of access are safeguarded and supplemented	Saved policy	No	
LR21	Encourage use of canal for water-based leisure and recreation activities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5 h)
LR22	Use the two canal basins at Kensal Green for water recreation and mooring	Saved policy	Yes	This is included within the Kensal "Place" within the Core Strategy and Policy CR5 h)
LR23	Encourage the enhancement of the canal towpath and new access	Expired policy		
LR24	Identify and protect Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCIs)	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE4 a) and b)
LR25	Encourage appropriate ecological	Expired		

	management of SNCIs	policy		
LR26	Consider effect on nature conservation in dealing with proposals	Expired policy		
LR27	Encourage allocation of pockets of land for nature conservation	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE4
LR28	Resist loss of arts, cultural and entertainment facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF7
LR29	Require replacement of similar capacity in cinema and theatre development	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF7
LR30	Resist loss of hall premises providing leisure and recreation uses	Expired policy		
LR31	Require new hall premises be designed to enable multiple uses	Saved policy	No	
LR32	Encourage new arts, culture and entertainment uses	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF7
LR33	Adopt a sequential approach to the location of high trip generating uses	Expired policy		
LR34	Resist proposals for night clubs, discos, casinos and gaming rooms	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CL5 considers the protection of residential amenity.
LR35	Resist development of new conference centres or exhibition halls	Saved policy	Yes	The vision for Earl's Court supports the retention of the exhibition centre.
LR36	Negotiate provision of arts, culture, and entertainment facilities	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CF7
LR37	Resist the loss of artists' studio space	Expired policy		
LR38	Encourage provision of active play and tranquillity in open space	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR39	Resist loss of Saved facilities for	Saved	Yes	This policy is covered by the London Plan

	play provision	policy		
LR40	Seek to ensure adequate communal play provision	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CR5
LR41	Continue to provide play provision in the Council's housing estates	Expired policy		
LR42	Encourage increased use of Council's playground school premises	Saved policy	No	
LR43	Encourage wider access to facilities for those with special mobility needs	Expired policy		
ENVIRONMENT				
PU1	Resist development impacting on air quality	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE5
PU2	Resist development leading to pollution impacting on amenity	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE5
PU3	Require additional information for developments on contaminated land	Saved policy	No	See PPS23
PU4	Ensure appropriate protection for future users of contaminated land	Saved policy	No	See PPS23

PU5	Ensure provision of buildings for public utility agencies	Expired policy		
PU6	Ensure land released by utility agencies is used in accordance with policy	Expired policy		
PU7	Seek adequate provision for the needs of emergency services	Expired policy		
PU8	Advise agencies on the appropriate siting of equipment for public utilities	Expired policy		
PU9	Encourage liaison with statutory undertakers for streetworks	Expired policy		

PU10	Encourage use of sustainable urban drainage	Expired policy		
PU11	Require provision of adequate storage space for ease of refuse collection	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE3 d)
PU12	Resist the loss of Cremorne Wharf as a waste management facility	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE3 a) iv)
PU13	Promote the provision of suitable recycling collection sites	Saved policy	Yes	Policy CE3 c)
PU14	Encourage the re-use of construction materials in development schemes	Saved policy	No	
PU15	Seek appropriate distribution of public conveniences through the Borough	Saved policy	No	
PLANNING STANDARDS				
N/A	The Planning Standards	Saved policy	No	
MONITORING				
MI1	Negotiate planning obligations to ensure satisfactory developments	Saved policy	Yes	Policy C1 considers s106 requirements.

Appendix C List of supporting evidence used to inform LDF Policies

This list, with links to the relevant documents is on the Planning policy part of the Council's website. It can be accessed by following the links to Planning, Planning Policy, The Local Development Framework and then selecting the Evidence base within the 'Accompanying documents to the Core Strategy.'

Demographic Profiles, Borough and Wards, 2004 (Census data)

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: A Picture of our Community: Facts and Figures about the 2005

The Future of Our Community, Community Strategy 2008 – 2018

NHS Kensington and Chelsea: Planning for the Future. Kensington and Chelsea Strategic Needs Assessment, summary report May 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Public Health and Well-Being Strategy 2007-2012

DfT Manual for Streets: 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: OSC Cabinet Report 24th March "Development of a new school in the North of the Borough"

Kensington and Chelsea PCT 10 year Primary Care Strategy 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Location for a new secondary school report 2009

Metropolitan Police Authority: Planning for Future Police Estate Development (2005)

Metropolitan Police Authority: Asset Management Plan (2007)

Kensington and Chelsea Partnership: Community Strategy 2008-2018

Royal Walkable Neighbourhoods and Reasoned Justification on Social and Community Uses

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Arts and Cultural Policy 2009-2020

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: The study of the visitor economy, 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Understanding the creative and cultural section in Kensington and Chelsea. December 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Hotels Survey, 2004

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea Retail Needs Assessment, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Retail Needs Assessment, 2008, Retail Needs Assessment, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners, Portobello Addendum

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Employment Land and Premises Survey. Roger Tym & Partners, 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Employment Land Review - Update. Roger Tym & Partners, January 2010

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Main Shopping Centres 2008 survey
Retail Commission. A Balance of Trade: Retail: May 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Visitor Economy Study February 2009

GLA, London-wide Town Centres Health Check, 2006 Analysis, January 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Understanding the creative and cultural sector in Kensington & Chelsea, BOP Consulting, 2008

Kensington and Chelsea Partnership Community Strategy 2008-2018

London Town Centre Network, Review for the London Plan, Provisional findings. GLA April 2009

Cross London Rail Links - Chelsea-Hackney Line Safeguarding Directions June 2008:
The Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea: Briefing for the Cabinet Member for Transportation, Environment and Leisure: Chelsea Hackney Line. 9 April 2009

MVA Consultancy: Physical and technical review on three potential sites for an additional Crossrail station for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. November 2006

Mayor of London. Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance. Use of planning obligations in the funding of Crossrail, May 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Parking Stress Study 2004

Mayor for London/TfL: Travel in London Report. Key trends and developments. Report number 1. 2009

Transport Research Laboratory: Carplus annual survey of car clubs: by D Myers and S Cains. Published project report PPR399. 2008/2009

TfL car club strategy 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: North Pole station – assessment of new station and consideration of bus based alternatives by MVA Consultancy. February 2009.

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: North Pole station: Comparing the convenience of journey-making between via North Pole station on the West London Line and via bus connections: Technical Note by MVA Consultancy. February 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Play Strategy 2006/2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Open Spaces Audit 2004

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Parks Strategy December 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Streetscape guide 2006

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Spatial Analysis of pedestrian movement for the Borough. Atkins, March 2009

Response to the GLA regarding the need for an Open Space Strategy
Play spaces analysis - map
Draft Play Indicators Evaluation Report - June 2007
DCSF Play Pathfinder Project Plan April 2009
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Conservation Area Proposal Statements
CABE guidance Design and Access Statements 2006
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Subterranean Development: Background Study 2007
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Architecture Review
Kensington and Chelsea Partnership: Community Strategy 2008-2018
Kensington and Chelsea Community Safety Partnership Crime and Community Safety Plan 2009-2012
Fordham Research: Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2009
High Buildings Background Study
Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 2009 (SHLAA)
Greater London Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2008
Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2009
Institute of Public Care (May 2008), Older People's Housing Needs – Research Paper, Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.
GLA Housing Capacity Study 2004
Fordham Research (2008) London Boroughs' Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment: Final Report, GLA
Morris, 2003, DCLG 2006 cited in Ward Thompson, C (2006), Woodland and a Healthy Society.
GLA (September 2007) 'Wheelchair Accessible Housing: Best Practice Guidance: Designing Homes That Can Be Easily Adapted for Residents Who are Wheelchair Users
Fordham Research (2009) Draft Affordable Housing Viability Study
GLA (2004) Accessible London SPG
Housing Stock Option Review, Phase 2: Final Report and Recommendations, Report to Cabinet 16 November 2009 by Chief Housing Officer
Analysis to support climate change indicators for local authorities, April 2008. Technology PLC for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Heritage facts and figures

Royal Borough for Kensington and Chelsea: Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2009

Cost Analysis of the Code for Sustainable Homes – CLG July 2008

Code for Sustainable Homes: setting the standard in sustainability for new homes. February 2008

Code for Sustainable Homes – Technical Guide – 2008

“Cracking the Code” Sustainable Homes April 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Local Air Quality Management Progress Report 2008

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Climate Change Strategy 2008 – 2015

Mayors Ambient Noise Strategy 2004

Department for the Environment and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) / AEA Technology Plc
Environmental Statistics 2005/06:

Environment Agency's State of the Environment:
London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory 2006

Climate Change and the Historic Environment, English Heritage. January 2008

Giddens.G, R.B.K.C Borough Breeding Bird Survey 2006 Report

London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory 2006 GLA (released April 2009)

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Waste Report 2009

Revision of Sites of Nature Conservation Importance, RBKC. June 2009

Global Biodiversity Outlook 2 Report: United Nations 2006

Residential Evidence Base Report for Planning Policy CE1, prepared by Pitman Tozer / Eight Associates, October 2009:

Building a Greener Future, CLG, July 2007

Defra climate projections

Thames Water, Counters Creek Study, 2009.

Audit Commission Site Collection Downloads 2007 and 2008

Kevin Murray Report: Kensal Canalside Pre-feasibility study, January 2009:

Opportunity Areas Borough Briefing GLA 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Golborne Road Report 2006

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Wornington Green “A brief for Change 2006”

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Latimer ‘naming’ report 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Spatial Analysis of pedestrian movement for the Borough Atkins, March 2009

ICC commission report 2005

GLA, London-wide Town Centre Health Checks, January 2007

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: The study of the visitor economy, 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Response to Call for Evidence on Traditional Retail Markets 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. Urban Initiatives: Notting Hill Gate District Centre Framework June 2009

Central London Forward: Infrastructure Study, June 2009.

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Report by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Report, Non technical summary by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Appendices to the Interim Sustainability Report (1-12) by Scott Wilson, November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Interim Sustainability Appraisal Scoping reports (Vol 1-3), November 2005

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea for the Core Strategy: Sustainability Appraisal by Scott Wilson, 2009

Equalities Impact Assessment 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Health Impact Assessment 2005 to 2009

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea: Habitats Directive Screening Assessment 2009

Appendix D Profiles of proposed Local Development Documents

Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A document we are statutorily required to produce which sets out the core spatial policies for the Borough; including the allocations for the Borough's Strategic Development and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Submission to Secretary of State (Reg 30)	March 2010	Council to submit Core Strategy, along with a number of supporting documents, to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	May 2010	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the plan.
Independent examination	July 2010	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the Core Strategy. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he/she may explore their concerns in more detail. EIP likely to last two weeks.
Adoption	Dec 2010	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the final Core Strategy.
Factors influencing this timetable	Given that the Core Strategy is the overarching policy document to which all subsequent documents must refer it is imperative that it adopted as soon as possible. The timetable for the latter stages of its production is as advised by PINS.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the Core Strategy is being lead by the Planning Policy team with assistance from officers within the Strategic Development team.	
Risks to	The Core Strategy will be submitted to the Secretary of State in	

timetable	<p>March 2010 in accordance with the timetable.</p> <p>There are, however, two principal areas of uncertainty. Firstly; the Inspector will decide at submission whether he has fundamental concerns about the 'soundness' of the document. If this is the case the timetable may be put back to allow the Council to do the necessary work to meet these concerns.</p> <p>The second area of uncertainty relates to PINS administrative timetable for holding the EIP, the length of the EIP and the time taken for a binding report to be produced by the Inspector.</p>
What would success look like?	An adopted Core Strategy containing allocations for strategic sites and the development management policies used to determine planning applications.

Proposals Map DPD

PRIORITY ONE		
Purpose of document	A document we are statutorily required to produce to illustrate the spatial proposal of the Core Strategy on an OS base map. These will include designations and site allocations.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Submission to Secretary of State (Reg 30)	March 2010	Council to submit Proposals Map alongside Core Strategy to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	April 2010	Planning Inspector outlines those issues within the Core Strategy he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the plan. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Independent examination	June 2010	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the core strategy. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Adoption	Dec 2010	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the final Core Strategy. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Factors influencing this timetable	As production of Proposal Map runs alongside that of the Core Strategy the factors influencing the timetable will also impact upon production of the Proposals Map.	

Who undertaking work	The production of the Proposals Map is being lead by the Planning Policy team with assistance from officers within the Strategic Development team and the Planning Department's graphic designer.
Risks to timetable	As production of Proposal Map runs alongside that of the Core Strategy the factors influencing the timetable will also impact upon production of the Proposals Map. Delays in the production of the Core Strategy will, therefore, necessitate delay on the production of the Proposals Map.
What would success look like?	An adopted Proposals Map illustrating the designations and site allocations set out within the Core Strategy.

Annual Monitoring Report

PRIORITY ONE	
Purpose of document	A document which the Council is required to produce on an annual basis, which allows the Council to assess the progress made on the LDF, the effectiveness of policy and changes in development trends.
Document production	
Submission to Secretary of State	Submitted to the Secretary of State annually, by the end of December, reporting on the monitoring year ending at the end of the previous March.
Factors influencing this timetable	The timetable is not flexible as Councils are required to submit AMRs each December.
Who undertaking work	The Council's monitoring team with assistance, as necessary, from members of the wider Planning Policy team.
Risks to timetable	Limited. As this a document which all Councils are required to produce on an annual basis it have been given 'Priority One' status. Furthermore this is a standalone document which does not require the production of any other documents to allow its production. It is produced by monitoring officer whose principal role is to produce the AMR.
What would success look like?	The production of AMRs on an annual basis to the satisfaction of the Government Office of London.

Latimer Area Action Plan

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	The Council has a long term vision for the Latimer Area as set out within the Latimer 'Place' of the Core Strategy. The purpose of the Latimer AAP is to set out in more detail how the Council intends to implement this vision. The process of the production of the document will also give the Council an opportunity to build community buy-in into the long term vision for the area.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 25)	From summer 2010	A period of on-going dialogue with residents of the Latimer Area to allow the Council to understand the needs and desires of the residents and to allow the Council to explain its ideas for the area. The intention will be to build consensus. A variety of methods will be used including public meetings and drop-in sessions as well as the publication of more formal consultation documents.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 27)	March 2012	The document which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the plan.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 30)	September/October 2012	Council to submit Area Action Plan to independent Planning Inspector, along with a number of supporting documents.
Pre-examination meeting	November 2012	Planning Inspector outlines those issues he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the AAP.
Independent examination	January 2013	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the AAP strategy. Agenda will be set by the Inspector, and Inspector will determine which stakeholders he/she wishes to appear so that he may explore their concerns in more detail.
Adoption	June 2013	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the

	final AAP.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>Preparation of AAP can only commence following adoption of Core Strategy, as the Core Strategy is the document which the AAP will hang on.</p> <p>The nature of the options for redevelopment are likely to be determined by the availability of funding and the strength of the private property market. The drawing up of long term plans when these factors are at their weakest would not allow the Council to explore the wide range of options or opportunities which may be necessary for successful renewal.</p> <p>Furthermore ,renewal of parts of the Latimer area driven by the Council rather than by private developers. This allows the AAP to be produced to milestones which allows considerable consultation with local people and other stakeholders. The long timetable for production reflects the large amount of consultation expected.</p>
Who undertaking work	<p>The project will be lead by the Planning Policy team with assistance on the Strategic Development Sites within the Latimer area from the Strategic Development team. Close working with the Housing team and Borough's Property Services will also be essential given that the Council is the major landowner in the area.</p>
Risks to timetable	<p>Preparation of the AAP is dependent on adoption of both the Core Strategy and the vision for the Latimer 'Place' by the Planning Inspector. The conclusion that either is not 'sound' would have serious implications on the timeframe for production.</p>
What would success look like?	<p>The adoption of an Area Action Plan by the end of 2013 which drive forward the Council's long term vision for the area.</p>

Latimer Area Action Plan Proposals Map

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	A document we are statutorily required to produce to illustrate the spatial proposal of the Area Action Plan on an OS base map. These will include designations and site allocations as necessary.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 25)	From summer 2010	The Proposals Map for the Latimer AAP area will only be consulted upon in so far as it is a spatial illustration of the Council's ambitions for the area.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 27)	March 2012	The Proposals Map for the Latimer AAP area will only be consulted upon in so far as it is a spatial illustration of the Council's ambitions for the area
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 30)	September/October 2012	Council to submit Proposals Map alongside the AAP to independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	November 2012	Planning Inspector outlines those issues within the AAP he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the plan. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Independent examination	January 2013	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the AAP. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Adoption	June 2013	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the final AAP. These may have implications on the nature of Proposals Map.
Factors influencing	As production of Proposal Map runs alongside that of the Area Action Plan the factors influencing the timetable will also impact the production of the Proposals Map.	

this timetable	
Who undertaking work	The production of the Proposals Map for the AAP area will be lead by the Planning Policy team with assistance from officers within the Strategic Sites team and the Planning Department's graphic designer.
Risks to timetable	As production of Proposal Map runs alongside that of the AAP the factors influencing the timetable will also impact upon production of the Proposals Map. Delay on the production of the AAP will therefore necessitate delay on the production of the Proposals Map.
What would success look like?	An adopted Proposals Map by July 2013 illustrating the designations and site allocations set out within the AAP.

Gypsy and Travellers DPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	The Council is required to identify sites to accommodate the housing needs of Gypsies and Travellers within a Development Plan Document. The Council will produce a separate DPD on this matter as decided against including sites within the Core Strategy.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 25)	January 2011	The Council will present a number of options for meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers in the Borough.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 27)	September 2011	The document which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the document.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 30)	March 2012	Council to submit Gypsies and Travellers DPD to the independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination	April 2012	Planning Inspector outlines those issues within the DPD he/she plans to

meeting		concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the document.
Independent examination	June 2012	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the document.
Adoption	December 2012	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the final DPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>Preparation of the DPD will only commence following adoption of Core Strategy, as Core Strategy is the document which the DPD will hang on. The priority will therefore be to complete the Core Strategy before commencing the production of this document.</p> <p>Given that the Royal Borough shares a Travellers Site with our neighbours at Hammersmith and Fulham, a degree of joint working is required to ensure that the two borough's policies dovetail effectively. It would therefore be premature to develop the policy before Hammersmith and Fulham are in a position to do so. Hammersmith and Fulham's policy on the subject is likely to be included in their Core Strategy, a document unlikely to be examined until the end of 2010</p>	
Who undertaking work	The production of the DPD will be carried out by officers within the Planning Policy team, in liaison with officers at Hammersmith and Fulham Council.	
Risks to timetable	As this is a subject which must be included within a DPD, (and its production is referred to within the Core Strategy) the Council has given the production of this document a high priority ('Priority Two.'). Delay is only likely to occur if; firstly, the adoption of the core strategy itself is likely to be delayed, and secondly, if Hammersmith and Fulham are not in a position to develop a sound policy on the matter.	
What would success look like?	An adopted DPD by January 2013 setting out the Council's policies in the accommodating of Gypsies and Travellers needs within this borough and our neighbours in Hammersmith and Fulham.	

Waste DPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	The Council is required to consider how it intends to accommodate the Borough's waste within a Development Plan Document. The Council will produce a separate DPD on this matter as decided against including the site allocations for waste within the Core Strategy.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Consultation (Reg 25)	September 2010	The Council will present a number of options for meeting its obligations with regard the dealing with waste.
Publication consultation and representation (reg 27)	March 2011	The document which the Council intends to submit to the Secretary of State will be formally consulted upon, and stakeholders will be asked to comment on the 'soundness' of the document.
Submission to Secretary of State (reg 30)	September 2011	Council to submit the Waste DPD to the independent Planning Inspector.
Pre-examination meeting	October 2011	Planning Inspector outlines those issues within the DPD he/she plans to concentrate on when assessing the soundness of the document.
Independent examination	December 2011	An examination open for all to attend to discuss/ justify the 'soundness' of the document.
Adoption	June 2012	Adopting the Inspector's binding recommendations, and publication of the final DPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	Preparation of DPD will only commence following adoption of Core Strategy, as Core Strategy is the document to which the DPD will relate. The priority will therefore be to complete the Core Strategy before commencing the production of this document.	
Who undertaking	The production of this DPD will be lead by members of the Planning Policy team with liaison with the Borough's	

work	Environmental Health Officers, and with officers in Hammersmith and Fulham.
Risks to timetable	As this is a subject which must be included within a DPD, (and its production is referred to within the Core Strategy) the Council has given the production of this document a high priority ('Priority Two.'). Delay is may occur if; firstly, the adoption of the core strategy itself is likely to be delayed, and secondly, if Hammersmith and Fulham are not in a position to assist in developing a sound policy on the matter.
What would success look like?	An adopted DPD setting out how the Council will meet its obligations with regard waste, by January 2013.

Supplementary Planning Documents

S106 Planning Obligations

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	To set out the infrastructure costs of new development, and the basis that the Council will collect the contributions necessary to help meet these costs.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	January/February 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	April 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The early adoption of this document allows the Council to take full advantage of the S106 system to require developers to contribute to the infrastructure costs of new development in a proper manner. As the SPD is linked to policies within the UDP (as well as the emerging core strategy) the adoption of the Core Strategy is not a prerequisite.	
Who undertaking work	The S106 officer within the Planning Policy Team.	
Risks to timetable	Given that the document has been drafted and is ready to be consulted upon, delay would only result if issues were raised as part of the consultation which could not be resolved in a satisfactory manner to the timetable.	
What would success look like?	An adopted SPD, by April 2010, setting the infrastructure costs of development and which sets out the basis on which the Council will collect contributions.	

Earl's Court SPD

PRIORITY TWO							
Purpose of document	<p>To prepare a planning brief and master plan to inform the nature of uses and form of development on this strategic site (and forthcoming opportunity area). The site includes land in both this borough and within Hammersmith and Fulham.</p> <p>This document will address the GLA's requirements with regard the publication of an OAPF.</p>						
Document production							
Stage	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>What involved</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>September/October 2010</td> <td>The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 2010</td> <td>Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	What involved	September/October 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.	December 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Date	What involved						
September/October 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.						
December 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.						
Factors influencing this timetable	The Council intends to have adopted this SPD before the owners of the site are expected to submit a planning application for the site at the end of 2010.						
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy team, working in partnership with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham and the GLA.						
Risks to timetable	<p>The production of the SPD would be likely to be affected were the Core Strategy were not to be adopted on schedule; firstly as the SPD will be guided by the vision for the Earl's Court 'Place' within the Core Strategy; and secondly, because the officers scheduled to produce the SPD may still be required to complete the central Core Strategy work.</p> <p>In addition, projects which involve cross organisational working may be subject to unforeseen delays beyond the control of this Council.</p>						
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site.						

Kensal SPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	<p>To prepare a planning brief and master plan to inform the nature of uses and form of development on this strategic site (and forthcoming opportunity area).</p> <p>This document will address the GLA's requirements with regard the publication of an OAPF.</p>	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	January/February 2011	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	May 2011	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>Given the size of the site and the positive role that appropriate development could have upon the nature of this part of the Borough, the Council has given this project a high priority. The Council does however recognise that some delay may be necessary to ensure that the area is planned as a whole rather than in a piecemeal manner.</p>	
Who undertaking work	<p>The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy team.</p>	
Risks to timetable	<p>The production of the SPD would be likely to be affected were the Core Strategy were not to be adopted on schedule; firstly as the SPD will be guided by the vision for the Kensal 'Place' and the strategic site allocation within the Core Strategy; and secondly, because the officers scheduled to produce the SPD may still be required to complete the central Core Strategy work.</p>	
What would success look like?	<p>The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site.</p>	

North Kensington Sports Centre Site/New Academy

PRIORITY TWO	
Purpose of document	To prepare a planning brief and master plan to inform the nature of uses and form of development on this strategic site and to ensure that any development accords with the Council's long term ambitions for the area as set out within the Latimer Area Action Plan. These ambitions include the provision of a new Academy and the provision of appropriate sports facilities either on site or within the vicinity.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	September/October 2010 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	December 2010 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Council recognises that there is an acute need for a new Academy in the north of the Borough to provide new school places for eleven to sixteen year olds. The Academy needs to be constructed by 2018 if the Council is to benefit from the 'Building Schools for the Future' capital program.
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy and Design and Conservation teams and in liaison with the Council's Education and Leisure departments.
Risks to timetable	The production of the SPD would be likely to be affected were the Core Strategy were not to be adopted on schedule; firstly as the SPD will be guided by the North Kensington Sports Centre Strategic Allocation within the Core Strategy; and secondly, because the officers scheduled to produce the SPD may still be required to complete the central Core Strategy work.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site.

Freston Road Garages Site SPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	To prepare a planning brief and master plan to inform the nature of uses and form of development on this site and to ensure that any development accords with the Council's long term ambitions for the area as set out within the Latimer Area Action Plan. These ambitions include the provision of a new neighbourhood centre around the Latimer Road London Underground Station.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	June 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	September/October 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Council is obliged to ensure that 61 affordable housing units are provided on this site, and capable of occupation, by 2014. The brief therefore needs to be adopted at the earliest opportunity to ensure it will inform a forthcoming application to be submitted by the Council.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy and Design and Conservation teams and in liaison with the Council's Housing department and with Property Services.	
Risks to timetable	The production of the SPD would be likely to be affected were the Core Strategy not to be adopted on schedule because the officers scheduled to produce the SPD may still be required to continue the central Core Strategy work.	
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site	

Edenham SPD

PRIORITY TWO		
Purpose of document	To guide future uses and design of new development on this site which has been identified as being of strategic importance to the delivery of the Council's regeneration objectives in the north of the borough.	
Document production		
These milestones are to be confirmed with the Housing department		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	January 2011	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	September 2011	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Edenham site is seen to be a key site which will assist in the regeneration in this part of the Borough. This importance reflects its high priority for its production.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy and Design and Conservation teams and in liaison with the Council's Housing department and with Property Services.	
Risks to timetable	The production of the SPD would be likely to be affected were the Core Strategy not to be adopted on schedule; firstly as the SPD will be guided by the Edenham Strategic Allocation within the Core Strategy; and secondly, because the officers scheduled to produce the SPD may still be required to complete the central Core Strategy work.	
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site	

Building Heights SPD

PRIORITY THREE	
Purpose of document	Given high land values within the Borough, there is pressure to increase building densities. The Borough has a tradition of achieving high density development in low to medium use built forms, with 75 percent of the Borough lying within conservation areas. The document will provide the context for the development of buildings of heights that are substantially taller than other buildings in the area.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	December 2008 The public and other interested stakeholders were given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2010 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation and awaited the views of the GLA on our responses, the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The document has been in preparation for some time; and its adoption is needed to guide the nature of new development across the Borough.
Who undertaking work	Officers within the Strategic Development team.
Risks to timetable	The completion of this work may have to give way to higher priority work on the Wornington Green planning application.
What would success look like?	The adoption of an SPD by April 2010 which offers guidance for building heights across the Borough.

100 West Cromwell Road SPD

PRIORITY THREE	
Purpose of document	The Council is expecting a new planning application for the 100 West Cromwell Road site, and the production of the brief will inform the nature of uses and form of development on this site.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	May/June 2010 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	September 2010 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The document was initially drafted in Summer 2008, but not adopted. It is linked to the production of the SPD on Building Heights, and therefore cannot be produced until the adoption of this SPD. The consultation cannot commence until the end of the purdah period for decision making associated with the May local Elections
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy and Design and Conservation teams.
Risks to timetable	The production of this document is dependent upon the adoption of the Building Heights within the Borough SPD. Delays to the Building Heights SPD will therefore delay the production of this document.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time a planning application is received for the site, so that the brief can help inform the nature of development on the site

Views Methodology

PRIORITY THREE		
Purpose of document	<p>The Council recognises that the Borough contains a number of important views, some of more local importance than others. The identification of actual views in the Borough is a labour intensive activity. Publishing the methodology by which views would be addresses reduces the risks associated with the necessary time delay in getting actual views in place.</p> <p>The Council needs to develop a methodology by which the importance of these views can be identified and graded. The methodology developed in the DPD will be used within the Views SPD to be produced at a later date.</p>	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	June 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	December 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	Major Development Site briefs will be prepared during 2010. These will require view assessments. Putting the methodology on these into the public domain is considered good practice.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the urban designers who form part of the Strategic Development team.	
Risks to timetable	Demands on the time of the Council's urban designers in the production of other LDDs with higher priorities.	
What would success look like?	<p>The adoption of a DPD by December 2010 to provide the context by which the Council will identify important views, will grade their importance and protect them.</p> <p>The methodology developed in the DPD will be used within the Views SPD to be produced at a later date.</p>	

Westway SPD

PRIORITY THREE		
Purpose of document	To guide future uses and design of new development on this linear site which has been identified as being of strategic importance to the delivery of the Council's regeneration objectives in the north of the Borough.	
Document production		
The proposed timetable needs further discussions with the Westway Development Trust before the LDS is submitted to the GLA.		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	October 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2011	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	An adoption of the SPD in the spring of 2011 should be sufficient to inform the Westway Developments medium and longer term plans for the Westway.	
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the Strategic Development team with the assistance of members of the Planning Policy team.	
Risks to timetable	Demands on the time of the members of the Major Sites and Planning Policy teams in the production of other LDDs with higher priorities. This will particularly be the case if the Core Strategy is not adopted as planned in 2010.	
What would success look like?	The adoption of a planning brief by the time the Westway Development Trust start to implement their medium and longer term ambitions for this linear site.	

Housing SPD

PRIORITY THREE	
Purpose of document	Given the built up nature of the Borough there are a finite number of development sites. It is therefore imperative that the Council ensures that the housing that is provided is of an adequate quality and of a type required. The SPD will identify the preferred housing mix, floorspace standards, as well as exploring other housing and design issues. The scope of the document will be kept under review having regard to the new London Plan and any associated guidance from the GLA.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	March 2011 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2012 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The emerging London Plan should be adopted before this SPD is developed as the London Plan is likely to have a significant impact on the content of the document.
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by members of the Planning Policy team with liaison with the Council's Housing Department.
Risks to timetable	The time frame is dependent on that of the emerging London Plan.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a DPD by March 2012 which will identify the preferred housing mix, floorspace standards, as well as exploring other housing and design issues.

Access Design Guide SPD

PRIORITY FOUR		
Purpose of document	A practical approach is required to ensure that the requirements to create an inclusive environment can be successfully accommodated in a borough where historic built environment is so valuable.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	December 2009/ January 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders have been given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2010	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The SPD has been prepared and been out to consultation. There is no reason for the delay in its publication once the comments received have been absorbed, and where appropriate taken into account.	
Who undertaking work	Access officer within the Design and Conservation team.	
Risks to timetable	Extremely limited now the document has been consulted upon. The officer preparing the work is a specialist who is not leading on any priority one, two or three pieces of work, and is therefore unlikely to be diverted from completing this SPD.	
What would success look like?	The adoption of an SPD by April 2010 which sets out best practice guidance on inclusive design standards using a practical approach to the particular built environment of the Royal Borough	

Trees SPD

PRIORITY FOUR	
Purpose of document	The Council places great value upon the numerous trees within the Borough, some of which are of high quality in their own right and others whose value lies in the contribution which they play to the Borough's townscape. An SPD is required to set out best practice for the consideration of trees throughout the planning process, from pre-application to construction.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	November/December 2007 The public and other interested stakeholders have given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2010 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The SPD has been prepared and been out to consultation. There is no reason for the delay in its publication once the comments received have been absorbed, and where appropriate taken into account.
Who undertaking work	Officers within the Council's Arboricultural team.
Risks to timetable	Extremely limited now the document has been consulted upon. The officer preparing the work is a specialist who is not leading on any priority one, two or three pieces of work, and is therefore unlikely to be diverted from completing this SPD.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a Trees SPD by April 2010.

Shopfronts SPD

PRIORITY FOUR		
Purpose of document	Given the importance that our residents and Members place upon the borough's shopfronts, and given the forthcoming changes to permitted rights for retail properties outside conservation areas it is essential that the Council has up-to-date guidance on shopfront design.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	February/March 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	April	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The SPD has already drafted, and therefore is appropriate to consult upon it as soon as possible. Furthermore the forthcoming changes to permitted development rights for shops give the document added urgency.	
Who undertaking work	An urban design officer within the Strategic Development team and the Team Leader of the Design and Conservation Team.	
Risks to timetable	The time from consultation to adoption may not be achieved if a large volume of comments are received, given the other known work requirements in the Strategic Development team.	
What would success look like?	The production of a shopfront design guide relevant for the whole Borough by April 2010.	

The Clearings I and II and Draycott Avenue SPD

PRIORITY FOUR	
Purpose of document	The site is currently surplus to requirements by the present land owners. A planning application is, therefore, likely to be received for the re-development of the site in the future. As one of the last remaining large sites in this part of the Borough the production of the brief will be useful as will inform the nature of uses and form of development on this site, ensuring that the potential of the site is maximised.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	January 2011 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	December 2011 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	<p>Whilst parts of the site are vacant the Council is not aware of any imminent plans for the submission of a planning application. A draft brief was however prepared in 2008 when an application did appear likely. This draft requires considerable updating to reflect changing policy. The preparation of the brief later this year will provide a useful framework for development when a planning application is submitted. The revised brief will also consider the future of the Marlborough school site.</p> <p>The priority for the production of the DPD will increase were it to become apparent that a planning application were to be imminent.</p>
Who undertaking work	The Strategic Development team will lead on the production of this brief with the assistance of the Planning Policy team.
Risks to timetable	Given the low priority of the production of this document delay is possible if the officers within the Major Sites or Planning Policy teams are required to complete priority one, two or three projects.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design on the site by January 2012.

Notting Hill Gate SPD

PRIORITY FOUR	
Purpose of document	To guide future uses and design of new development on this site which has been identified as being of strategic importance to the delivery of the Council's objectives for the Borough.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	June 2012 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2013 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	Given the current recession the Council is not expecting a planning application for the site to be submitted in the near future. The priority for the production of the DPD will increase were it to become apparent that a planning application were to be imminent.
Who undertaking work	The Strategic Development team will lead on the production of this brief with the assistance of the Planning Policy team.
Risks to timetable	Given the low priority of the production of this document delay is possible if the officers within the Major Sites or Planning Policy teams are required to complete priority one, two or three projects. The priority for the production of the DPD will increase were it to become apparent that a planning application were to be imminent.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a Planning and Design Brief to guide future uses and design on the site by April 2013.

Roofscape SPD

PRIORITY FOUR		
Purpose of document	A contributing factor to the quality of the Borough's built environment is the uniformity of the scale of its the many terraces. The SPD provides the guidance on a street by street basis within the conservation areas as to where roof additions will be appropriate.	
Document production		
Stage	Date	What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	October 2010	The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2011	Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The production of this document will allow a more consistent application of policy, and should therefore require less officer time in the determination of individual planning applications. Limited resources mean that the production of this document cannot be started until higher priority LDDs have been completed.	
Who undertaking work	Officers within the Design and Conservation Team with assistance of the urban designer within the Strategic Development team	
Risks to timetable	Given the low priority of the production of this document delay is possible if the officers within the Design and Conservation Team are required to assist in the completion of priority one, two or three projects.	
What would success look like?	The adoption of a Roofscape SPD by April 2011	

Views SPD

PRIORITY FOUR	
Purpose of document	Building on the Views Methodology DPD, the Views SPD will identify the specific views of importance to be protected in the Borough.
Document production	
Stage	Date What involved
Public Participation (Reg 17)	March – June 2012 The public and other interested stakeholders will be given six weeks in which to make their views on the draft SPD known.
Adoption	March 2013 Having considered the representations received at the reg 17 consultation the Council will adopt and publish the SPD.
Factors influencing this timetable	The Views methodology SPD must be completed before this SPD can be produced as it is the former document which provides the methodology for the latter.
Who undertaking work	The production of the SPD will be lead by the officers within the Design and Conservation team with the assistance of the urban designers within the Strategic Development team.
Risks to timetable	Given the links to the Views methodology SPD and delays to that documents adoption would have a knock on effect on this. Given the low priority of the production of this document delay is possible if the urban design officers within the Strategic Development team are required to assist in the completion of priority one, two or three projects. Furthermore, delay is possible should the extensive fieldwork associated with the production of a views SPD take longer than expected, or large numbers of responses are received as a result of the consultation.
What would success look like?	The adoption of a views SPD identifying specific views to be protected in the Borough by April 2013.