

PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON-W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Crawford & Gray Architects, 65-69 Pottery Lane, Holland Park, London, W11 4NA Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-227

Please ask for: North Area Team

Extension: 2275
Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

3 1 MAR 2004

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/04/00329/CHSE /

Your Ref:

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT
ORDER, 1995

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT: Creation of an off-street parking space within the front garden area

involving the moving of the existing gate pier to create a wider gate

entrance (with new gates) and provision of a new pavement

crossover.

SITE ADDRESS: 8 Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3LW

RBK&C Drawing Nos: PP/04/00329

Applicant's Drawing Nos: 246.L01; 246-PH01; 246.01; 246.02; 246.S01 and 246.S02.

Application Dated: 28/01/2004

Application Completed: 11/02/2004

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF



REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

- 1. The proposed creation of an off street parking space in the front garden area would result in an unsightly breach in the front boundary wall, detrimental to the appearance of the Ladbroke Conservation Area and contrary to Policies contained within the Conservation and Development chapter of the Unitary Development Plan in particular Policies CD54, CD57 and CD61.
- 2. The proposal would result in the reduction in length of a residents parking bay leading to further pressure for on street parking in surrounding residential streets. As such it would be contrary to Policies contained within the Transportation chapter of the Unitary Development Plan in particular Policy TR44.

INFORMATIVE(S)

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD27, C54, CD57, CD61, CD80, CD82, CD84 and TR44.

Yours faithfully,

Michael #French

Executiv Director, Planning and Conservation

PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL **BOROUGH OF**

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Crawford & Gray Architects,

65-69 Pottery Lane,

Holland Park, London,

W11 4NA

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-227

2275 ension:

020-7361-3463

3 1"MAR) 2004 KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/04/00329/CHS

Your Ref:

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please and for:

North Area Team CAC AD CLU DR R.B

1 4 JUN 2004 PLANNING

SE APP 10 ARB FPLN

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL PERMITTED DE **ORDER, 1995**

2 - APR 2004

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:

Creation of an off-street parking space within the front garden area involving the moving of the existing gate pier to create a wider gate

entrance (with new gates) and provision of a new pavement

crossover.

SITE ADDRESS:

8 Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3LW

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

PP/04/00329

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

246.L01; 246-PH01; 246.01; 246.02; 246.S01 and 246.S02.

Application Dated:

28/01/2004

Application Completed:

11/02/2004

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

- 1. The proposed creation of an off street parking space in the front garden area would result in an unsightly breach in the front boundary wall, detrimental to the appearance of the Ladbroke Conservation Area and contrary to Policies contained within the Conservation and Development chapter of the Unitary Development Plan in particular Policies CD54, CD57 and CD61.
- 2. The proposal would result in the reduction in length of a residents parking bay leading to further pressure for on street parking in surrounding residential streets. As such it would be contrary to Policies contained within the Transportation chapter of the Unitary Development Plan in particular Policy TR44.

INFORMATIVE(S)

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD27, C54, CD57, CD61, CD80, CD82, CD84 and TR44.

Yours faithfully,

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation