Decision Notice

.

Please Index As

.

File Number

PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Thorne and Thorne - Architect, The Studio, 18 St. Peter's Square, Hammersmith, London W6 9AJ



My Ref: PP/01/01380/CHSE Your Ref: COL/3/60 Please ask for: South West Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:	Formation of roof terrace on rear addition at second floor level involving removal of pitched roof and construction of a raised parapet, together with formation of a dormer in rear roofslope to allow access to the roof terrace.
SITE ADDRESS:	22 Limerston Street, London, SW10 0HH
RBK&C Drawing Nos:	PP/01/01380
Applicant's Drawing Nos:	C01/3/CO/501, /502, /503, /504, /505, /506, /601A, /602, /603A, /604A, /605A, 606 and 607
Application Dated:	17/06/2001
Application Completed:	21/06/2001

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

THE ROYAL BOROUGH - CELEBRATING 100 YEARS OF SERVICE SINCE THE GRANT OF ITS ROYAL CHARTER

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

- 1. The roof terrace and associated raised parapet, would result in the rear addition rising higher than the eaves of the house and disrupting the even rhythm of rear additions in the terrace. Therefore, the proposal would harm the character and appearance of the building and the terrace in which it is situated and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. Consequently, the proposal is contrary to the Council's Policies, as set out in the Unitary Development Plan, in particular, CD40, CD41, CD52 and CD53.
- 2. The rear dormer, by reason of its location on an unaltered roofline would harm the character and appearance of the building and the terrace and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. Therefore, the proposal is contrary to the Council's policies, as set out in the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD38, CD39, CD52 and CD53.
- 3. The use of the roof terrace, by reason of its close proximity to neighbouring windows, would result in a loss of privacy to No.24 Limerston Street. Therefore, the proposal is contrary to the Council's Policies, as set out in the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD30 and CD40.

INFORMATIVE(S)

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan and proposed alterations thereto were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD28, CD30, CD38, CD39, CD40, CD41, CD52 and CD53. (I51)

Yours faithfully, encle.

Michael J. French Executive Director Planning and Conservation