Decision Notice

Please Index As

File Number



PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL **BOROUGH OF**

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

T.E. Law,

92 Brackenbury Road,

London W6 0BD Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-266

Extension: 2664

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

- 4 JUL 2001

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/01/00997/CHSE

Please ask for: South East Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:

Construction of full rear mansard roof slope at main roof level

instead of wide dormer window as approved by planning permission

Ref. PP/00/02614, dated 02/04/2001.

SITE ADDRESS:

20 Walton Street, London, SW3 1RE

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

PP/01/00997

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

WS 108

Application Dated:

01/05/2001

Application Completed:

09/05/2001

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

\mathbb{C}_{\bullet}

REASON FOR REFUSAL:

The proposed replacement of the shallow pitch rear roof slope with a mansard slope is considered to be detrimental to the special architectural and historic interest of the Listed Building and the terrace in which it is situated and the character and appearance of the Thurloe/Smith's Charity Conservation Area. On this basis it is contrary to Policies contained within the Conservation and Development Chapter of the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD25, CD38, CD39, CD48, CD52, CD53 and CD58.

INFORMATIVE

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD25, CD28, CD30, CD38, CD39, CD48, CD52, CD53 and CD58. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation

F. 7

PLANNING AND CONSERVATION.

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

T.E. Law,

92 Brackenbury Road,

London W6 0BD Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-2662

Extension: 2664

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

- 4 JUL 2001

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: LB/01/00998/CLBA

Please ask for: South East Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

PLANNING (LISTED BUILDING AND CONSERVATION AREAS) ACT 1990- SECTION 7

WORKS OF BUILDINGS OF SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL OR HISTORIC INTEREST (DL2)

REFUSAL OF CONSENT

The Borough Council hereby REFUSES consent to the works to the Listed Building(s) referred to in the under-mentioned schedule, as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information sheet

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:

Construction of full rear mansard roof slope at main roof level

instead of a wide dormer window as approved by Listed Building

Consent Ref. LB/00/02615 dated 02/04/2001.

SITE ADDRESS:

20 Walton Street, London, SW3 1RE

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

LB/01/00998

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

WS 108

Application Dated:

01/05/2001

Application Completed:

09/05/2001

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

THE ROYAL BOROUGH - CELEBRATING 100 YEA	ARS OF SERVICE	SINCE THE	GRANT OF	ITS ROYAL	CHARTER
LB/01/00998* 1					CHARIER
	1901-2001				

REASON FOR REFUSAL:

The proposed replacement of the shallow pitch rear roof slope by a mansard slope is considered to be detrimental to the special architectural character and historic interest of the Listed Building and the terrace in which it is situated and the character and appearance of the Thurloe/Smith's Charity Conservation Area. On this basis it is contrary to policies contained within the Conservation and Development Chapter of the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD25, CD38, CD39, CD48, CD52, CD53 and CD58.

INFORMATIVE

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD25, CD38, CD39, CD48, CD52, CD53 and CD58. (151)

Yours faithfully

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation