PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Ms. Sumita Sinha,

Eco=logic,

19 Girdlers Road,

London, W14 0PS Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3196

Extension: 3190

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

3 0 APR 2001

My Ref. PP/00/02917/CHSE

Your Ref: VIJAY

Please ask for: Central Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:

Erection of an additional storey at main roof level and a rear extension

at second floor level.

SITE ADDRESS:

Top Floor Flat, 39 Holland Road, London, W14 8HJ

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

PP/00/02917

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

EXP1, ERP, SEC-1A, ELE1A, ELE2A, PP2-A and RP1-A.

Application Dated:

14/12/2000

Application Completed:

19/12/2000

Application Revised:

12/03/2001

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

- 1. The proposed additional storey would by virtue of the existing terrace being broken only by isolated roof additions and being visible from public spaces would appear as an incongruous addition and be detrimental to the building and the terrace and would be contrary to policies within the Unitary Development Plan, specifically Policies STRAT 5, STRAT 7 and CD38.
- 2. The proposed additional storey would by virtue of its inappropriate design and materials would appear incongruous and be detrimental to the building and the terrace and would be contrary to policies within the Unitary Development Plan, specifically Policies STRAT 5, STRAT 7, CD25, CD39 and CD42.
- 3. The proposed second floor rear extension would by virtue of the inappropriate materials and design appear as an incongruous addition and would be detrimental to the building and the terrace and be contrary to policies within the Unitary Development Plan specifically Policies STRAT 5, STRAT 7, CD25, CD41 and CD42

INFORMATIVE

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies Strat 5, Strat 7, CD25, CD28, CD30, CD38, CD39, CD40, CD41 and CD42. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michaell. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation