
PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

**THE ROYAL
BOROUGH OF**



**KENSINGTON
AND CHELSEA**

Hunter Irving Architects,
25 Bywater Street,
London,
SW3 4XH

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3564

Extension: 3564

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

08 FEB 2001

My Ref: PP/00/02841/CHSE

Please ask for: South West Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

<u>DEVELOPMENT:</u>	Erection of conservatory at raised ground floor level on the basement extension roof terrace to the rear.
<u>SITE ADDRESS:</u>	22 Gertrude Street, London, SW10 0JN
<u>RBK&C Drawing Nos:</u>	PP/00/02841
<u>Applicant's Drawing Nos:</u>	99322/06, 99322/08 and photograph
<u>Application Dated:</u>	01/12/2000
<u>Application Completed:</u>	11/12/2000

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

1. **The conservatory would constitute an unacceptable structure located significantly above garden level, which would be unsympathetic to the character of the building by reason of its size and location. In addition it is considered that the proposed conservatory would not enhance the character and appearance of the Sloane/Stanley Conservation Area and is contrary to the policies set down in Chapter 4 of the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD52, CD53 and CD42.**
2. **The proposed glazed structure would give rise to overlooking of neighbouring property and garden to the detriment of the amenities of the occupiers and contrary to the provisions of the Policy CD30 of the Unitary Development Plan.**

INFORMATIVE

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD42, CD28, CD30, CD52 and CD53. (I51)

Yours faithfully,



Michael J. French
Executive Director, Planning and Conservation