#### PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN-HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

D'Arcy Associates, 9 Lamington Street,

London, W6 0HU Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3190

Extension: 3190 Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

- 3 SEP 2004

My Ref: PP/04/01550/CHSE /

Your Ref: 2322

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please ask for: Central Area Team

#### **TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990**

# TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1995

## REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

#### **SCHEDULE**

**DEVELOPMENT:** Erection of rear extension at basement, ground floor and 1st floor

half landing, and new windows to the side elevation at 1st floor,

ground floor and basement level.

**SITE ADDRESS:** 24 Scarsdale Villas, London, W8 6PR

RBK&C Drawing Nos: PP/04/01550

Applicant's Drawing Nos: 2322/PP1, /PP2, /PP3, /PP4, /PP5B, /PP6B, /PP7B, /PP8B and

Photographs 2322/PP9

**Application Dated:** 08/07/2004

Application Completed: 09/07/2004

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF



PP/04/01550: 1

## **REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:**

- 1. The proposal to construct a full-width extension at lower and upper ground floor levels as well as a half-width extension at first floor level on a largely unaltered property within a conservation area is considered excessive in terms of bulk and scale and will lead to the further erosion of the character and appearance of the property. The proposal is also considered to result in considerable harm to the character and appearance of the surrounding conservation area, which it fails to either preserve or enhance. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD27, CD33, CD36, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62.
- 2. The proposed extensions at no.24, if constructed in isolation of the same scheme proposed at the adjoining property of no.22, would result in a sense of enclosure and loss of light to that property in particular. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD33 and CD36.

## **INFORMATIVE(S)**

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD27, CD33, CD36, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation

## PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

D'Arcy Associates, 9 Lamington Street,

London, W6 0HU Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3190

Please ask for: Central Area Team

Extension: 3190 Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

- 3 SEP 2004

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/04/01549/CHSE

Your Ref: 2323

Dear Sir/Madam,

# TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

# TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1995

## REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

## **SCHEDULE**

**DEVELOPMENT:** 

Erection of rear extension at basement, ground floor and 1st floor

half landing.

**SITE ADDRESS:** 

22 Scarsdale Villas, London, W8 6PR

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

PP/04/01549

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

2323/PP1, /PP2, /PP3, /PP4, /PP5B, /PP6B, /PP7B, /PP8B, /PP9.

**Application Dated:** 

08/07/2004

**Application Completed:** 

09/07/2004

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF



# **REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:**

- 1. The proposal to construct a full-width extension at lower and upper ground floor levels as well as a half-width extension at first floor level on a largely unaltered property within a conservation area is considered excessive in terms of bulk and scale and will lead to the further erosion of the character and appearance of the property. The proposal is also considered to result in considerable harm to the character and appearance of the surrounding conservation area, which it fails to either preserve or enhance. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD27, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62.
- The proposed extensions at No. 22, if constructed in isolation of the same scheme proposed at the adjoining property at No. 24, would result in a sense of enclosure and loss of light to that property in particular. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD33 and CD36.

# **INFORMATIVE(S)**

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD27, CD33, CD36, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michael JAFrench

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation

## PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL **BOROUGH OF** 

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET-LONDON-W8-7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

D'Arcy Associates. 9 Lamington Street,

London. W6 0HU Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3190

Extension: 3190

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

- 3 SEP 2004

My Ref: PP/04/01550/CHSE /

Your Ref: 2322

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please ask for: Central Area Team

## **TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990**

# TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT **ORDER, 1995**

## REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

## **SCHEDULE**

**DEVELOPMENT:** 

Erection of rear extension at basement, ground floor and 1st floor

half landing, and new windows to the side elevation at 1st floor,

ground floor and basement level.

**SITE ADDRESS:** 

24 Scarsdale Villas, London, W8 6PR

**RBK&C Drawing Nos:** 

PP/04/01550

**Applicant's Drawing Nos:** 

2322/PP1, /PP2, /PP3, /PP4, /PP5B, /PP6B, /PP7B, /PP8B and

Photographs 2322/PP9

**Application Dated:** 

08/07/2004

**Application Completed:** 

09/07/2004

## REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF



# **REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:**

- 1. The proposal to construct a full-width extension at lower and upper ground floor levels as well as a half-width extension at first floor level on a largely unaltered property within a conservation area is considered excessive in terms of bulk and scale and will lead to the further erosion of the character and appearance of the property. The proposal is also considered to result in considerable harm to the character and appearance of the surrounding conservation area, which it fails to either preserve or enhance. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD27, CD33, CD36, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62.
- 2. The proposed extensions at no.24, if constructed in isolation of the same scheme proposed at the adjoining property of no.22, would result in a sense of enclosure and loss of light to that property in particular. The proposal is, therefore, considered not to comply with the Council's Unitary Development Plan policies, in particular, Policies CD33 and CD36.

## **INFORMATIVE(S)**

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD27, CD33, CD36, CD47, CD48, CD57, CD61 and CD62. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation

PP/04/01550: 2