### PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON WS 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Geoff Beardsley & Partners Ltd.,

4 Whitton Road, Twickenham, Middx.

TW1 1BJ

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-201

Extension: 2011 Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

1 6 FEB 2001

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/00/02778/CHSE

Please ask for: Central Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

## **TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990**

# TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

# REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

#### **SCHEDULE**

**DEVELOPMENT:** 

Enlargement of the existing front lightwell and the formation of access

steps from garden level to basement level and the erection of an

extension to back addition at second floor level.

**SITE ADDRESS:** 

23 Campden Grove, London, W8 4JQ

**RBK&C Drawing Nos:** 

PP/00/02778

**Applicant's Drawing Nos:** 

2004/1, 2004/4, 2004/13 and 2004/14

**Application Dated:** 

30/11/2000

**Application Completed:** 

04/12/2000

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

## **REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:**

- 1. The proposed rear extension at second floor level is considered to be unacceptable in that it would introduce a feature that would appear incongruous to the original design of the property and would be out of character with the existing pattern of development within the terrace. If permitted, the development would harm the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, which the Council considers desirable to preserve or enhance, in compliance with Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. In this respect, the proposal is contrary to Policies CD25, CD41, CD52 and CD53 of the Unitary Development Plan.
- 2. The proposed rear extension at second floor level is considered to be unacceptable in that it would constitute an unneighbourly form of development by virtue of its bulk, high level location and proximity to the party boundaries with No.23a Campden Grove and Nos.74 and 76 Hornton Street, and would result in an undue cliff-like effect and an increased sense of enclosure, particularly to the ground floor and basement levels of these properties. In this respect, the extension is contrary to Policy CD41 of the Unitary Development Plan and Policy CD30a of the Unitary Development Plan Proposed Alterations.

# **INFORMATIVE**

You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD28, CD30, CD41, CD52 and CD53 and also CD30a of the Unitary Development Plan Proposed Alterations.(I51)

Yours faithfully,

Michael J. French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation