PLANNING SERVICES APPLICATION

CONSULTATION SHEET

APPLICANT:

Peter Eley Architects, 100 Parkway, London, NW1 7AN

APPLICATION NO: CC/00/02802

APPLICATION DATED: 13/11/2000 DATE ACKNOWLEDGED: 7 December 2000

APPLICATION COMPLETE: 05/12/2000 DATE TO BE DECIDED BY: 30/01/2001

SITE: 26 Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3LL

PROPOSAL: The demolition of the existing two garages at the rear of the site.

ADDRESSES TO BE CONSULTED

1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.

CONSULT STATUTORILY

HBMC Listed Buildings ...

HBMC Setting of Buildings Grade I or II HBMC Demolition in Conservation Area

Demolition Bodies

DoT Trunk Road - Increased traffic

DoT Westway etc.,

Neighbouring Local Authority

Strategic view authorities

Kensington Palace

Civil Aviation Authority (over 300')

Theatres Trust

The Environment/Ágency

Thames Water 2

Crossrail

LRT/Chelsea-Hackney Line

ADVERTISE

Effect on CA

Setting of Listed Building

Works to Listed Building

Departure from UDP

Demolition in CA

"Major Development"

Environmental Assessment

No Site Notice Required

No site notice Required

Notice Required other reason

Police/

L,P.A.C

Éritish Waterways

Environmental Health

 GLA

Govt Office for London

Carpunan)

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL THE ROYAL

| TECH | Ν | 10 | CA | \ L | 1 | Ν | FC |) R A | Λ | Α. | Tl | 0 | N | | ВО | ROUG | H OF | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|--|------|-------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|--|---------|-------------|--|
| ADDRESS | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | 3.00 | | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | NSING | | |
| POLLING DIS | STRI | CT _ | | | ſ | <u> </u> | <u>028</u> | 302 | | | | - | | | = | | | |
| HB Building | s of A | Archite | ectural | Intere | | , , , | 0 _ 0 | | SC | L | ocal | Shopp | oing C | entre | | | | |
| AMI Areas of Metropolitan Importance | | | | | | | | Al Sites of Archeological Importance | | | | | | | | | | |
| MDO Major Sites with Development Opportunities SV | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| MOL Metropo | , | | | | | | | | | | ure C | Conservation Importance | | | | | | |
| SBA Small Business Area | | | | | | | | j | REG 7 Restricted size and use of Estate Agent Boards | | | | | | | | | |
| PSC Principo | al Sho | pping | Centr | re (Cor | e or N | on-cor | e) | , | ART | | | | | | | opment | | |
| Conservation | НВ | CPO | TPO | AMI | MDO | MOI | SBA | Unsuitable | a for | PS | SC | LSC | Al | SV | SNCI | RFG 7 | ART IV | |
| Area | 1115 | <u> </u> | "" | 7.7.2 | | | 00/ | Diplomati | | U. | Z | | | - | 0 | / | | |
| 3 | | | <u> </u> | | | | 1 | | | | _ | | | | ļ | V | \ \/ | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | 1 | | L | <u> </u> | | | | | · | | <u>. </u> | <u></u> | <u> </u> | |
| Within | the l | ine of | Safeg | uardin | g of th | e prop | osed (| helsea/I | tack | ney | unde | igrou | nd line | | v v. ¥rr | | | |
| Within | the | ine of | Safea | uardin | a of th | e prop | osed E | astwest/ | Cros | smil | und | erarou | nd lin | e | | | | |
| Ψ | | - . | | - | | | | | | | +1 | | . | | | | <u></u> | |
| Density | | | | | | 7 [| No | tes | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Area | | | | | <u>.</u> | . | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Habitable rooms proposed | | | | | | · · · · · · | | - | | | | | | | | - | | |
| Proposed Density | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P | lot Rat | io | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Area | | | | | * a | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zoned Ratio | | | | io | | | 7 1 | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Floor Area proposed | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Plot Ratio | | | | | - | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u></u> | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | Complies | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Daylight | ming | | | Infring | es | | | 1 | | | | | | | • | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Sı | paces | requin | ed | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Car Park | ing | | | огороз | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 1 | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |

MEMORANDUM

TO: FOR FILE USE ONLY From: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING & CONSERVATION

My Ref: .: CC/00/02802/AP ...

CODE 1E

Room No:

Date: 11 December 2000

DEVELOPMENT AT:

26 Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3LL

DEVELOPMENT:

The demolition of the existing two garages at the rear of the site.

The above development is to be advertised under:-

- Section 73 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (development affecting the character or appearance of a Conservation Area or adjoining Conservation Area)
- 5. Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Regulations 1990 (demolition in a Conservation Area)

M.J. French

Executive Director, Planning & Conservation

PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

English Heritage

Historic Buildings & Monuments Commission

London & South East Region

23 Saville Row

London W1X 1AB

Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-3651

Extension: 3651

Facsimilie: 020-7361-3463



Date: 11 December 2000 KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: DPS/DCN/CC/00/02802 Your ref:

Please ask for: A. Paterson

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Proposed development at: 26 Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3LL

I have recently received an application for development at the above address, which involves demolition that may materially affect the character of appearance of a Conservation Area. I will be pleased to receive your comments in due course.

It is hoped to present this application prior to 30/01/2001.

Yours faithfully,

M.J. FRENCH

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation

REASON FOR DELAY

CASE NO. _ CC | 60 | 2802

| This case has been identified as a "Target" application, which has the target for being passed through to the Head of Development Control within 6 weeks of the date of completion. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| In the case of this application, there has been a delay of | | | | | | | | |
| I have been unable to pass through the case within the target period for the following reason(s) [highlight as necessary] | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Delays due to internal Consultation [highlight one or all] (i) Design (ii) Transportation (iii) Policy (iv) Environmental Health (v) Trees (vi) Other | | | | | | | | |
| Further neighbour notification/external consultation necessary (spread or time period) | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Awaiting Direction from English Heritage/other EH delays | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Revisions requested, but not received in time | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Revisions received but inadequate | | | | | | | | |
| 6) Revisions received but reconsultation necessary | | | | | | | | |
| .7) Of the Committee cycle | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Applicant's instruction | | | | | | | | |
| 9) OTHER REASON | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Signed | (Case Officer) |
|--------|----------------|
| | |