ROYAL BOROUGH OF KENSINGTON & CHELSEA

DOCUMENT SEPARATOR

DOCUMENT TYPE:

DECISION NOTICE





PLANNING AND CONSERVATION

THE ROYAL BOROUGH OF

THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7NX

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Amdega,

Faverdale Industrial Estate,

Darlington, Co. Durham, DL3 0PW Switchboard: 020-7937-5464

Direct Line: 020-7361-273

Extension: 2734
Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

2 8 MAR 2002

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

My Ref: PP/02/00201/CHSE/

Your Ref: C4479

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please ask for: North Area Team

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet.

SCHEDULE

DEVELOPMENT:

Erection of a single storey timber framed glazed conservatory at

rear of building at ground floor level.

SITE ADDRESS:

5A Lansdowne Road, London, W11 3AL

RBK&C Drawing Nos:

PP/02/00201 and PP/02/00201/A

Applicant's Drawing Nos:

PC4479 and photographs dated 01/02/2002.

Application Dated:

20/01/2002

Application Completed:

31/01/2002

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL OF PERMISSION ATTACHED OVERLEAF

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:

1. The proposed conservatory would be of a size and height unsympathetic to the existing building. It would not be in keeping with the style, character, scale, bulk and proportion of the main building and would not preserve or enhance but would harm the rear elevation of the property, the terrace as a whole and the Ladbroke Conservation Area and is therefore contrary to the Council's Policies which seek to maintain and enhance the character and appearance of the Borough as stated in the Council's Unitary Development Plan, in particular, policies CD25, CD42, CD44, CD52, CD53 and STRAT 5.

INFORMATIVE(S)

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan and proposed alterations thereto were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD25, CD42, CD44, CD52, CD53 and Strategic Policy STRAT 5 (I51).

Yours faithfully,

Michael J!/French

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation