ROYAL BOROUGH OF KENSINGTON & CHELSEA

DOCUMENT SEPARATOR

DOCUMENT TYPE:

DECISION NOTICE







THE TOWN HALL HORNTON STREET LONDON W8 7N2

Executive Director M J FRENCH FRICS Dip TP MRTPI Cert TS

Paul Archer Design, 13 Canonbury Place, Canonbury, London N1 2NQ

1 8 MAR 2002 AND CHELSEA

2087

Facsimile: 020-7361-3463

Extension:

My Ref: PP/02/00242/CHSE/ Your Ref:

Please ask for: South East Area Team

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER, 1988

REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP (DP2)

The Borough Council in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order, hereby REFUSE to permit the development referred to in the under-mentioned Schedule as shown in the plans submitted. Your attention is drawn to the enclosed Information Sheet. **SCHEDULE**

<u>DEVELOPMENT:</u>	Existing 2nd floor roof terrace to be lowered and railings to front facade removed. Existing roof access to be replaced with new mansard roof to rear half of roof terrace, creating new 2nd floor room; replacement of lantern-style rooflight to rear of basement with flat glazed roof below parapet level.
SITE ADDRESS:	51 Pelham Street, London, SW7 2NJ
RBK&C Drawing Nos:	PP/02/00242
Applicant's Drawing Nos:	237.002b;237.003;237.004;237.005;237.006;237.007a;237.008b;23 237.022f;237.023b;237.024c;237.025b;237.026d;237.027c;237.028c 237.029d.
Application Dated:	01/02/2002
Application Completed:	04/02/2002

REASON(S) FOR REFUSAL:



KENSINGTON

THE ROYAL



The proposed roof addition, by rising above a uniform parapet in a terrace that is unbroken by additional storeys and is harmed by old and isolated roof structures, and due to its materials and detailed design, would be harmful to the character and appearance of the Thurloe Estate/Smith Charity Conservation Area, contrary to policy that is set out in Chapter 4 of the Unitary Development Plan, in particular Policies CD25, CD38, CD39, CD48, CD52, CD53, CD54 and STRAT6.

INFORMATIVE(S)

1. You are advised that a number of relevant policies of the Unitary Development Plan **and proposed alterations thereto** were used in the determination of this case, in particular, Policies CD25, STRAT6;CD38;CD39;CD48;CD52;CD53 and CD54. (I51)

Yours faithfully,

Q grench.

Michael J. French Executive Director, Planning and Conservation