

99/2234/mc

27

Support

CT
2/12/99

Garden Flat
4 Sinclair Gardens
London W14 0AT
Tel/fax: (44) 171 371 1885
E-mail: threeglens@Compuserve.com

18 October, 1999

To whom it may concern

Re: The Square School, Holland Park

Our daughter has attended The Square School for a year. During that time we have been impressed by the progress that she has made and the fact that she enjoys school immensely. The staff are enthusiastic, proactive, helpful and always communicative. They utilise the available facilities to provide a wide variety of opportunities, including outdoor play, dance and drama. It is clearly an excellent environment for her early schooling.

On the more practical side, we have not encountered any problems with access to the school.

Yours truly,

Martin Glen

RECEIVED BY PLANNING SERVICES								
EX DIR	HDC	N	C	SW	SE	ENF	AO ACA	
- 2 DEC 1999						87		
APPEALS	IO	REC	ARB	FWD PLN	CON DES	FEES		

99/2234/MC

Support

28

Michele Franke
Ulrich Wolff
83 Woodford Square
London W14 8DS

RECEIVED BY PLANNING SERVICES							
EX DIR	HDC	N	C	SW	SE	ENF	AD AOK
- 2 DEC 1999							
APPEALS	IO	REC	ARB	FWD PLN	CON DES	FEES	

15 November 1999

84

✓
CT
2/12/99

Planning Officer
Royal Borough of
Kensington and Chelsea
Town Hall
London W8

Re: The Square Montessori School, 18 Holland Park Ave.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have had a child attending the Square School run by Virginia Lawson-Tancred since September 1996. When we first arrived in London in the spring of 1996, I visited several nursery schools in the Borough and settled on the Square School because it best fulfilled the requirements which were most important to me, namely it had its own confined outdoor space, it was small in size and therefore not intimidating, it had a very warm atmosphere and it was within walking distance of our home. The fact that the premises were in a private home made no difference to me and probably even contributed to the warmth I felt when I entered the School.

In my view, the premises of the Square School are by far preferable to those offered by many other nursery schools in the Borough which are often located either in dark church basements where everything must be packed away every day and without suitable outside space or in converted houses taking up the whole building which are often quite overwhelming for the children. I wanted my children's first experience with "institutionalised" learning to be a warm and happy one and I have never regretted choosing the Square School.

The School is easily accessible and since it is very much a neighbourhood school, many parents walk with their child. For those who drive, stopping in the mews lane is very convenient and unlike many other nursery schools in the Borough, traffic congestion has never been a problem.

Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss any of these issues further.

Yours sincerely,

Michele Franke

29

The Ladbroke Association

Please reply to

Robert Meadows
19 Stanley Crescent
W11 2NA

✓
20/1/2000



Director of Planning Services
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
W8 7NX

15 January 2000

Dear Sir

RECEIVED BY PLANNING SERVICES							
EX DIR	HDC	✓	C	SW	SE	ENF	AO ACK
30			20 JAN 2000		[Stamp]		
IO	REC	ARB	FWD PLN	CON DES	FEES		

At recent meetings of our committee we have discussed the Planning Applications currently before you regarding buildings in this Conservation Area. We would like to comment on some of them as follows.

- Obj* 18 Holland Park Avenue. We think that the nursery school consent should remain on a five year termly basis and should be personal. 99/2234 (MC)
- Obj* 32 Lansdowne Road. The design of the new pier-caps is too thin.
- Obj* 30 Arundel Gardens. The new back extension is out of character and would infringe the privacy of the neighbours. A window in the party wall is unacceptable.
- Obj* 129 Elgin Crescent. Character of new glazing ? Effect on neighbours privacy ?
- Obj* 20 Lansdowne Road. Scheme too grandiose, railings too high. Hard standing for car not acceptable.
- Obj* 77 Lansdowne Road. In recent years the roof line has been changed in an unfortunate way on this prominent corner house. There appears to be no reason for railings on the roof and they should be removed.
- Obj* 37 Ladbroke Square. Glazing to the back extension appears to be out of character and could affect the privacy of the neighbours.
- Obj* 27 Kensington Park Gardens. A large water tank on the roof appears to be quite unnecessary, under current water regulations.
- Obj* 107 Ladbroke Road. New conservatories already built. The drawings do not show the design adequately.
- Obj* 3 Ladbroke Terrace. The front of this house has been ruined by past alterations. Separate access to a carer's flat seems unnecessary and would add still more to the confusion of the front elevation.

over

Dj

19 Ladbroke Road. The front door canopy and the increase in the height of the back extension are both unacceptable.

Dj

82 Ladbroke Road. It is very hard to judge the flamboyant drawings and design proposals ! The curvealinear design of back extension and garden could be very attractive. How will it affect the neighbours ? Hard standing for three cars seems excessive, with removal of three trees.

Yours faithfully

Robert Meadows