

99/2546/1w

22

Tij

52, Holland Park Ave, London W11 3QY 020 722 14249

6.1.00

M.J. French
Executive Director
Planning and Conservation
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
London W8 7NX

*CT
20/1/2000*

RECEIVED BY PLANNING SERVICES							
EX DIR	HDC	N	C	SW	SE	ENF	ACK
74		10 JAN 2000					
		APPEALS	IO	REC	ARB	FWD PLN	CON DES

Proposed Development at: Flat 18, Bonham House, 107 Ladbroke Road, London W11 3PP

Dear Mr French,

Thankyou for your letter concerning the retrospective application for planning permission at the above address

The conservatory overlooks my flat and is unsightly, and on these grounds I suggest that planning permission is not granted.

Yours Sincerely

Miranda Rosenthal

The Ladbroke Association

Please reply to

Robert Meadows
19 Stanley Crescent
W11 2NA

23

✓
20/1/2000



Director of Planning Services
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
W8 7NX

copy

15 January 2000

RECEIVED BY PLANNING SERVICES							
EX DIR	HDC	C	SW	SE	ENF	AO- ACK	
30 20 JAN 2000							
	IO	REC	ARB	FWD PLN	CON DES	FEES	

Dear Sir

At recent meetings of our committee we have discussed the Planning Applications currently before you regarding buildings in this Conservation Area. We would like to comment on some of them as follows.

- Obj 18 Holland Park Avenue. We think that the nursery school consent should remain on a five year termly basis and should be personal.
- Obj 32 Lansdowne Road. The design of the new pier-caps is too thin.
- Obj 30 Arundel Gardens. The new back extension is out of character and would infringe the privacy of the neighbours. A window in the party wall is unacceptable.
- Obj 129 Elgin Crescent. Character of new glazing? Effect on neighbours privacy?
- Obj 20 Lansdowne Road. Scheme too grandiose, railings too high. Hard standing for car not acceptable.
- Obj 77 Lansdowne Road. In recent years the roof line has been changed in an unfortunate way on this prominent corner house. There appears to be no reason for railings on the roof and they should be removed.
- Obj 37 Ladbroke Square. Glazing to the back extension appears to be out of character and could affect the privacy of the neighbours.
- Obj 27 Kensington Park Gardens. A large water tank on the roof appears to be quite unnecessary, under current water regulations.
- Obj* 107 Ladbroke Road. New conservatories already built. The drawings do not show the design adequately. 99/2546 (TW)
- Obj 3 Ladbroke Terrace. The front of this house has been ruined by past alterations. Separate access to a carer's flat seems unnecessary and would add still more to the confusion of the front elevation.

over/

24

Clj

9 Ladbroke Road. The front door canopy and the increase in the height of the back extension are both unacceptable.

Clj

82 Ladbroke Road. It is very hard to judge the flamboyant drawings and design proposals ! The curvilinear design of back extension and garden could be very attractive. How will it affect the neighbours ? Hard standing for three cars seems excessive, with removal of three trees.

Yours faithfully

Robert Meadows