

DEAR SIR OR MADAM

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ADVISING ME ABOUT THE PLANNING APPLICATION CONCERNING THE PROPERTY 29 HOLLAND VILLAS ROAD. I WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT AS FOLLOWS.

1. I DO NOT HAVE ANY OBJECTIONS IN PRINCIPLE TO REDESIGN OF THE SEMI-BASEMENT LEISURE FACILITIES AT NO. 29 HOLLAND VILLAS ROAD. HOWEVER, I WOULD HAVE TO INSIST THAT THE CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT SURMOUNT THE NORTH PERIMETER WALL OF THESE PREMISES.

IN THE MAJOR REDEVELOPMENT OF THE HOUSE SITUATED AT NO 27 HOLLAND VILLAS ROAD, AN EXTENSION WAS BUILT, WHICH, BECAUSE OF IT IS HEIGHT AND OVERALL SIZE, THE VISUAL AMENITY AND LIGHT AT THE REAR OF NO 28 WERE SERIOUSLY AFFECTED.

SUCH A CONSTRUCTION ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF OUR RESIDENCE WOULD BE INTOLERABLE AND WOULD DOUBTLESS REDUCE THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY.

2. I UNDERSTAND THAT AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PREVIOUS OWNERS OF NO 29 HOLLAND VILLAS ROAD, FOR A 2ND FLOOR EXTENSION WAS REJECTED. THE GROUNDS FOR THIS REFUSAL WERE THAT SUCH AN EXTENSION WOULD ALTER THE CHARACTER



AND APPEARANCE OF THE ROAD. I BELIEVE THAT THIS ARGUMENT IS STILL VALID AND SHOULD BE SUSTAINED. EVERY HOUSE ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE ROAD STARTING AT NO 28, SOUTHWARDS IS OF SINGLE STOREY CONSTRUCTION, SOME WITH ATTICS. IF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS EXTENSION WENT AHEAD NO 28 WOULD BE SITUATED BETWEEN TWO TALLER BUILDINGS THUS CREATING AN UNFAVOURABLE APPEARANCE AND FURTHER REDUCTIONS IN THE VALUE OF MY RESIDENCE.

3. FURTHERMORE I WOULD LIKE TO MENTION THAT IF PLANS EXIST TO INSTALL A NEW HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM IN NO 29 ATTENTION SHOULD BE TAKEN THAT IT WOULD NOT ADD TO THE DISTURBING NOISES CREATED BY THE SYSTEM BUILT AT NO 27.

YOURS SINCERELY,

(DR. GUNTER HAENDLY)

DAch Opo

TEL: 0171 603 8108

BONVELLE HOUSE 106 HOLLAND ROAD KENSINGTON (6)

2<sup>nd</sup> January 2000

RBKC
Planning and Conservation
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
London W8 7NX

RECEIVED BY FLANNING SERVICES

EX HDC N E SW SE ENF AND

1 0 JAN 2000

DES HO REC ARB FWD CON FEES

FEES

Dear Sirs

## Re: 29 Holland Villas Road London W14 Reference DPS/DCC/PP/99/02485/KO

We write as residents of the houses to the rear of the above property and wish to object to the proposals to raise the house by a full additional floor and also to extend out into the garden.

The extra floor proposed is totally out of keeping with the adjoining houses in Holland Villas Road where the second floor has been retained in an attic style, without being over bearing. As I understand, previous applications were made in recent years for such an addition and these were rejected both by the Council and on appeal to the DOE. We would wish that the Council adopts the same approach in this instance.

The rear garden extension is also out of character in relation to the house and would have an over powering affect particularly at ground floor level where there is presently no such extension. The existing structures are of a much less obtrusive nature and no similar extensions exist at the rear in Holland Villas Road, unless they were built many years ago.

I trust that the Council will consider our views and I look forward to hearing from you in due course.

ue on behalf of

Yours faithfully

Anthony and Colin Lavelle

Stephen Naegel

Sheedy Ahmed

Owner of 106 Holland Road

Owner of 108 Holland Road

Owner of 110 Holland Road