PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 1- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea  Creek 2- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 3- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 4- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 5- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 6- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 7- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 8- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 9- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 10- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 11- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 12- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 13- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 14- 41
PP/02/01324	Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek 15- 41

# ROYAL BOROUGH OF KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

# DOCUMENT TYPE

COUNCIL CAJE 2

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

PUBLIC INQUIRY
PP/02/01324

# CD 29

#### Lots Road Public Inquiry Circadian Limited

APP/H5390/V/04/1148781 APP/K5600/A/04/1146268

# PP/02/01324

# Lots Road Power Station And Chelsea Creek

Due to case file size the content has been broken down and scanned in sections as denoted.

### Index of content of case files

#### File Number: Content of File:

- 01-10 Council Case
- 11-13 Refused Drawings
- 14 -17 Amended Drawings
- 18-19 Hammersmith And Fulham Plans
- 20-21 CD of Planning Drawings
- 22 Other Docs
- 23 Baily Bridge
- 24 Officers Notes and Other Correspondents
- 25 Condition 5
- 26 Condition 6
- 27 Condition 7
- 28 Condition 9
- 29 CONFIDENTIAL DOCS
- 30 Condition II
- 31 Condition II
- 32 Condition 12
- 33 Condition 12
- 34 Condition 12 -CONFIDENTAIL DOCS
- 35 Condition 12 -Superseded Docs
- 36 Condition 12 -Superseded Docs
- 37 Condition 12 -Superseded Drawings
- 38 Condition 12 -Superseded Drawings
- 39 Condition 25
- 40 Condition 25 + 29
- 41 Condition 27

Your reference H

HT/10031874

Our reference

EVAND/SECTG/TASCL/321385-1

1 December 2004

Ms Heidi Titcombe
Law and Administration
The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
LONDON
W8 7NX

Dear Ms Titcombe

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
APPEAL AND CALL-IN PUBLIC INQUIRY: CIRCADIAN LIMITED
SITE AT LOTS ROAD POWER STATION & CHELSEA CREEK LOTS ROAD LONDON SW10
PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFERENCES: APP/K5600/A/04/1146268 & APP/H53390/V/1148781

I refer to the above and wish to clarify the following matters following discussions between our client, Circadian, and your instructing officers over the past few days:

- 1 It has been agreed that the Section 106 Schedule circulated by Circadian at the Pre-Inquiry Meeting should now be considered as withdrawn;
- Consequently, and as agreed, Circadian is prepared to revert to the Heads of Terms contained in the Addendum Report considered by the Major Planning Applications Committee on 28 October 2003;



- You will appreciate that the 28 October 2003 Heads of Terms do contain some matters which require detailed discussion. For example, a number of matters could be dealt with appropriately by way of condition i.e. Head of Term 27 and 33. Further, the phasing of payments needs to tie in with that set out in the LBHF draft Deed given that we are dealing with a single cohesive Development across both the RBKC Site and the LBHF Site. These issues are not fundamental to moving forward in the normal manner in the negotiation of a Section 106 obligation leading up to a Public Inquiry;
- The involvement of TfL in the obligation needs to be considered in co-ordination with that public authority and, of course, the GLA. Transportation payment triggers will need to be paid at times acceptable to TfL as the strategic transportation authority; and
- 5 Phasing needs to take account of Circadian's revised construction programme.

No doubt you will agree that a meeting at the earliest opportunity to take forward the drafting of the Section 106 obligation at which your instructing officers and Mr Cunliffe of Forsters attend, together

4-306228-1

150 Aldersgate Street, London EC1A 4EJ
Tel 020 7606 8855 Fax 020 7606 4390 DX 47 Chancery Lane
www.addleshawgoddard.com

Ms Heidi Titcombe 1 December 2004

with legal officers of LBHF and the GLA is now essential. We will seek to organise such a meeting as soon as possible.

Please find attached, for the avoidance of doubt, a schedule setting out the current mix of units within the RBKC Site consistent with the amended plans submitted on 25 October 2004 and accepted by the First Secretary of State on 22 November 2004.

Yours sincerely

#### **Gary Sector**

Associate

Direct line

020 7880 5827

Email

gary.sector@addleshawgoddard.com

Enc

Copy to .

Mike French - Executive Director, Planning and Conservation, RBKC

John Thorne - RBKC
Michael Cunliffe - Forsters
Steven Moralee - LBHF
Paul Entwistle - LBHF
Nigel Palace - LBHF

Anna Macintyre – Government Office for London Elizabeth Loughran, Greater London Authority Colin Wilson, Greater London Authority

Sian Evans, Case Officer, The Planning Inspectorate

Andy Beresford, London Borough Of Hammersmith And Fulham

James Wilson, 23 Stadium Street, Chelsea

Christine Hereward, Legal Department, City Hall, London (For Mayor Of London)
Colin Buchanan & Partners (For Chelsea Harbour Residents Association)
Terrence Bendixson, Honourable Secretary Planning, The Chelsea Society

Deborah Simons - Environment Agency

Peter Makower, Honourable Planning Advisor, West London River Group

Honourable Secretary - The Cheyne Walk Trust

Angela Dixon, Chairman, Hammersmith & Fulham Historic Buildings Group

John Pringle, Secretary, Lots Road Action Group

David Hinton, Crime Prevention Officer, Metropolitan Police Service

Rory O'Donnell - English Heritage

Peter Stewart- CABE

#### Comparrison between 2002/03 Planning Applications and "proposed" 2004 Call In/Appeal

#### PLANNING APPLICATION - 2002/3

#### **RBKC Private Units**

RBKC	siudio	Mari bed Mar	2 bed	Malbed W	#14bed	5 bed	M6 bed	Totals
KC1 Private		2	4	32	0	1	1	40
KC2 Private		3	0	6	10			19
KC3 Private		3	121	67	4			195
Total	0	8	125	105	14	1	1	254
Total %	0	3%	49%	41%	6%	0%	0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cumulative %	0.0%	3%	52%	94%	99%	100%	100%	1

#### RBKC Affordable Units

RBKC	# studio #2	IN bed 配	型2ibed解	第3 bed 點	基4 bed歌	5 bed	#6 bed	a otals
KC2 - RSL Rent		7	13	18	17			55
KC3 - Intermediate	23	16	17	5				61.
KC4 - RSL Rent	0	12	28	10	0	0	0	50
Total	23	35	58	33	17	0	0	166
Total %	14%	21%	35%	20%	10%	0%	0%	
Cumulative %	14%	35%	70%	90%	100%	100%	100%	•

 RBKC Private
 254
 60.5%

 RBKC Affordable
 166
 39.5%

 RBKC Total
 420

#### APPEAL/CALL IN PLANNING APPLICATION - 2004

REKO	114 (4)		11.10.11		4333	6 Bed	(a) bed	Totals
KC1 Private	0	2	6	32	0	1	- 1	42
KC2B Private	0	0	8	0	12	0	0	20
KC3 Private	0	37	106	38	12	0	0	193
Total	0	39	120	70	24	1	1	255
Total %	0%	15%	47%	27%	9%	0.4%	0.4%	1
Cumulative %	0.0%	15%	62%	90%	99%	100%	100%	

RBKC	etudio	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5ibed	6 bed	Totals
KC2A - RSL Rent	0	1	31	11	5	0	0	48
KC3 - Intermediate	0	47	20	0	0	0	0	67
KC4 - RSL Rent	0	12	30	8	0	0	0	50
Total	0	60	81	19	5	0	0	165
Total %	0%	36%	49%	12%	3%	0%	0%	-1
Cumulative %	0.0%	36%	85%	97%	100%	100%	100%	]

 RBKC Private
 255
 60.7%

 RBKC Affordable
 165
 39.3%

 RBKC Total
 420

37%

Difference in Units Between Planning and Appeal Scheme Rev C (4) 08/12/2004

## Comparrison between 2002/03 Planning Applications and "proposed" 2004 Call In/Appeal

#### PLANNING APPLICATION - 2002/3

#### **LBHF Private Units**

LBHF.	Studio	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	46ed a	<b>≇</b> 5bed <b></b>	6 bed	otals in
HF1 Private	0	13	25	35	0	1	1	75
HF2 Private	0	6	7	3	2			18
HF4 Private	0	7	35	8	0			50
HF5 Private	0	4	11	18	5			38
Total	0	30	78	64	7	1	1	.181
Total %	0%	17%	43%	35%	4%	0.6%	0.6%	
Cumulative %	0.0%	17%	60%	95%	99%	99%	100%	1

#### LBHF Affordable Units

L'BHE NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF	烈Studio 编	1 bed	型2 bed 歐	213 bed 11	4bed 11	端5 bed 炒	306 bed	<b>A</b> Totals ₹
HF3a - Intermediate		30						30
HF3a/b/c - RSL	1	39	79	49	12	4	0	184
Total	1	69	79	49	12	4	0	214
Total %	0.5%	32%	37%	23%	6%	2%	0.0%	
Cumulative %	0.5%	33%	70%	93%	98%	100%	100%	

LBHF Private	181	46%
LBHF Affordable	214	54%
LBHF Total	395	]

#### APPEAL/CALL IN PLANNING APPLICATION - 2004

LBHF	etudlo	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4bed	5 bed	. 6 bed ∂	Totals
HF1 Private	0	13	25	35	1	1	1	76
HF2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HF4 Private	0	7	35	8	0	0	0	50
HF5 Private	0	4	22	17	0	0	0	43
Total	. 0	24	82	60	1	1	1	169
Total %	0%	14%	49%	36%	1%	0.6%	0.6%	-12
Cumulative %	0.0%	14%	63%	98%	99%	99%	100%	

LBHF N	studio	₹/1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4bed.	5 bed	6 bed 3	*Totals*
HF3a - RSL Rent	0	10		10	0	0 -	0	20
HF3 a/b/c - RSL Rent	1	26	79	31	16	4	0	157
HF2 - Intermediate	0	32		4	0	0	0	36
Total	1	68	79	45	16	4	0	213
Total %	0.5%	32%	37%	21%	8%	2%	0%	-1
Cumulative %	0.5%	32%	69%	· 91%	98%	100%	100%	

LBHF Private 169 44%

LBHF Affordable 213 56%

LBHF Total 382

1470

#### Comparrison between 2002/03 Planning Applications and "proposed" 2004 Call In/Appeal

#### SUMMARY OVERALL ACROSS RBKC &LBHF

#### **Private Units**

Planning 2002	studio	at [bed]	2 bed	3 bed	4bed/PH	5 bed	6 bed	Totals &
Total Private	0	38	203	169	21	2	2	435
Total %	0.0%	9%	47%	39%	5%	0.5%	0.5%	ļ
Cumulative %	0.0%	8.7%	55.4%	94.3%	99.1%	99.5%	100.0%	1

Planning 2004	: etucio	1 500	2 Bed 2	3 pag 2	4bed	5 bed	B bed	Totals
				<u> </u>		l		Ì
Total Private	0	63	202	130	25	2	2	424
Total %	0.0%	15%	48%	31%	6%	0.5%	0.5%	-11
Cumulative %	0.0%	14.9%	62.5%	93.2%	99.1%	99.5%	100.0%	

#### Affordable Units

Planning 2002	<b>運studio</b> 繁	1 bed 2	£2 bed	%-3 bed #	#14bed Bir	<b>独5 bed</b> 数	6 bed 2	型:Totals 2
Total RSL Rent	1	58	120	77	29	4	0	289
Total Intermediate	23	46	17	5	0	Ö	Ō	91
Total	24	104	137	82	29	4	0	380
Total %	0.3%	20%	42%	27%	10.0%	1.4%	0.0%	
Cumulative %	0.3%	20.4%	61.9%	88.6%	98.6%	100.0%	100.0%	1

Planning 2004	<b>≇</b> studio <b></b> ₹	11bed 37	置2 bed 模	3 bed	4bed	5 bed	運 6 bed 素	Totals
						<u> </u>		
Total RSL Rent	1	49	140	60	21	4	0	275
Total Intermediate	0	79	20	4	0	0	0	103
Total	11	128	160	64	′21	4	0	378
Total %	0.4%	18%	51%	22%	7.6%	1.5%	0.0%	-2
Cumulative %	0.4%	18.2%	69.1%	90.9%	98.5%	100.0%	100.0%	1

# SUMMARY OVERALL ACROSS RBKC & LBHF Total Number of Units

Planning 2002	studio	i bed	2 bed	3 bed	4bed	■5 bed 🗱	6 bed	Totals .
Scheme Total	24	142	340	251	50	6	2	815
% of Total	3%	17%	42%	31%	6%	0.7%	0.2%	
Cumulative %	2.9%	20.4%	62.1%	92.9%	99.0%	99.8%	100.0%	

(Pin	The second of th						deji ji	
Scheme Total	1	191	362	194	46	6	2	802
% of Total	0.1%	24%	45%	24%	6%	0.7%	. 0.2%	-13
Cumulative %	0.1%	23.9%	69.1%	93.3%	99.0%	99.8%	100.0%	

Planning 2004	
LBHF hab rooms	1249
RBKC hab rooms	1452

nab rooms	1452	Affordable	380
		Total	815
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
•			

Application 2004	
LBHF hab rooms	
RBKC hab rooms	1301

Planning 2	J04)	
Private	424	52.9%
Affordable	378	47.1%
Total	802	

Planning 2002

46.6%

Private

24%

27%