Equality Impact Analysis Tool

Conducting an Equality Impact Analysis

An EqIA is an improvement process which helps to determine whether our policies, practices, or new proposals will impact on, or affect different groups or communities. It enables officers to assess whether the impacts are positive, negative, or unlikely to have a significant impact on each of the protected characteristic groups.

The tool has been updated to reflect the new public sector equality duty (PSED). The Duty highlights three areas in which public bodies must show compliance. It states that a public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:

- 1. Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, and any other conduct that is prohibited under this Act.
- 2. Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
- 3. Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Whilst working on your Equality Impact Assessment, you must analyse your proposal against the three tenets of the Equality Duty.

General points

- In the case of matters such as service closures or reductions, considerable thought will need to be given to any
 potential equality impacts. Case law has established that due regard cannot be demonstrated after the decision has
 been taken. Your EIA should be considered at the outset and throughout the development of your proposal, it should
 demonstrably inform the decision, and be made available when the decision is recommended.
- 2. Wherever appropriate, the outcome of the EIA should be summarised in the Cabinet/Cabinet Member report and equalities issues dealt with and cross referenced as appropriate within the report.
- 3. Equalities duties are fertile ground for litigation and a failure to deal with them properly can result in considerable delay, expense, and reputational damage.
- 4. Where dealing with obvious equalities issues e.g., changing services to disabled people/children, take care not to lose sight of other less obvious issues for other protected groups.
- 5. If you already know that your decision is likely to be of high relevance to equality and/or be of high public interest, you should contact the Equality Officer for support.
- 6. If your EqIA does not require you to carry out additional consultation, please omit section 04.
- 7. Further advice and guidance can be accessed from the separate guidance document (link), as well as from your service or borough lead:

RBKC
Corporate Equalities Officer:
angela.chaudhry@rbkc.gov.uk
020 7361 2654

Equality Impact Analysis Tool

Overall Information	Details of Full Equality Impact Analysis
Financial Year and	2022/2023 Q1
Quarter	
Name and details of	RBKC Lots Road South Design Brief Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)
policy, strategy,	
function, project,	Short summary
activity, or programme	The Lots Road South Design Brief Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) provides guidance on how the site, allocated in the Local Plan, should be developed.
	This document is prepared as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to supplement policies in the RBKC Local Plan 2019 and to support the London Plan.
Lead Officers	Name: Megan Rowe/ Nadia Robinson
	Position: Planning Projects Officer, Growth and Delivery Team, Planning and Place
	Email: megan.rowe@rbkc.gov.uk; Nadia.robinson@rbkc.gov.uk;
	Telephone No: 07970 915460; 07974 613162
Lead Borough	RBKC
Date of completion of final Full EIA	XX / XX / XX

Section 02	Scoping of Full EIA
Plan for completion	Timing: The SPD is due to be adopted in June 2022. On adoption it will become a material consideration in planning decisions.
	Resources: The SPD will be prepared within the existing staff and budget of the Growth and Delivery Team.

 Analyse the impact of the policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme
 Analyse the impact of the policy on the protected characteristics (including where people / groups may appear in more than one protected characteristic). You should use this to determine whether the policy will have a positive, neutral, or negative impact on equality, giving due regard to relevance and proportionality.

 Protected characteristic
 Borough Analysis

 Impact:
 Positive, Negative, Negative, Negative, Neutral

		Negative, Neutral
Age	RBKC	Positive
Age	The site is allocated to deliver extra care homes via the local plan site allocation	impact
	and Design Principle 14 sets out various requirements to ensure the quality of	·
	accommodation for future residents is high. It requires development to follow the	
	principles of Design for Dementia, and provide access to attractive and safe outdoor amenity space. Design Principle 15 requires development to provide	
	shared facilities that foster overlapping between different future resident groups	
	(including extra care residents), workers and the wider community.	
Disability	RBKC	Neutral
	The benefits outlined above through the provision of extra care homes would	
	also be experienced by disabled extra care residents. The redevelopment of the	
	site would need to meet standards of accessibility outlined in Building Regulations Approved Document M; as such, the SPD does not set any	
	additional requirements to meet the needs of disabled people.	
Gender	RBKC	Neutral
reassignment	The SPD objectives have not so far emerged as relevant to this protected	
	characteristic because it is not seeking to provide a service (e.g. land use for a	
Manda and I	health or care service for those transitioning).	N.L. fiel
Marriage and Civil	RBKC The law does not require service providers to take into account the impact of	Neutral
Partnership	what they do on married and civil partners. The SPD objectives have not so far	
r aranoromp	emerged as relevant to this characteristic because it is not aiming to put in place	
	a service for married people or civil partners (e.g., land use for a registrars	
	service).	
Pregnancy and	RBKC	Positive
maternity	The redevelopment of the site would need to meet standards of accessibility	
	outlined in Building Regulations Approved Document M which include the provision of ramps and footways; however, the SPD does not set any additional	
L	provision of ramps and rootways, nowever, the of D does not set any additional	

Race	RBKC	e 9 would benefit this group.	Neutra
	The guidance in this SPD v Plan 2021 to help deliver th in the SPD will work alongs	vill work alongside the Local Plan 2019 and London ne site allocation for Lots Road South. The guidance side the Development Plan (Local Plan 2019 and secure specialist housing to meet the identified	Noula
	Chelsea Riverside Ward De	emographic Breakdown	
	White	68.9%]
	Mixed	6.3%	
	Asian	10.1%	
	Black	7.1%	
	Arab	4.1%	
	Other	3.5%	
Religion/belief (including non- belief)	RBKC The SPD objectives have n	not so far emerged as relevant to this characteristic.	Neutra
Sex	RBKC		Positive
		housing applicants are women	
Sexual	RBKC		Neutra
Orientation	The SPD objectives have n characteristic.	ot so far emerged as relevant to this protected	

Section 03	Analysis of relevant data
	Examples of data can range from census data to customer satisfaction surveys. Data should involve specialist data
	and information and where possible, be disaggregated by different equality strands.

Documents and data reviewed	National Planning Policy Framework, Planning Practice Guidance, London Plan (2021), Local Plan (2019), Dementia- friendly Health and Social Care environments
New research	None

Section 04	Consultation	
Consultation in each	A draft of the SPD was published for a six-week consultation from 24 January 2021 to 6 March 2021 with 26	
borough	responses received. Engagement took place via seven mapping workshops with local stakeholders between 23	
	March and 1 April 2021, and then via three design workshops between August and November 2021. There were 53	
	participants affiliated to over 20 organisations and businesses involved in the consultation process. The summary of	
	responses can be found here.	
	There was strong support for extra care homes, and many comments were raised regarding the need for this to be high quality to ensure good quality of life. No further comments related to equalities or the protected characteristics were raised. There were no further findings relevant to the EqIA Screening.	
Analysis of	The points raised through consultation regarding the quality of extra care homes were translated into Design	
consultation outcomes	Principle 14 of the SPD document.	
for each borough		

Section 05	Analysis of impact and outcomes	
Analysis	The consultation and analysis of data has shown that, within the scope of the design brief SPD, it is possible to include requirements that would have a positive impact on older people who would be residents of the extra care homes as part of any forthcoming development on the site (Age as a protected characteristic). Because the extra care homes are a requirement of the site allocation which this SPD supports, it is considered proportionate for this protected characteristic to be given greater weight than other protected characteristics.	
	It is not considered necessary in planning terms to include in the SPD additional requirements above and beyond those required by separate regulations (Building Control Approved Document Part M) that would have a positive impact on those with protected characteristics Pregnancy and Maternity and Disability.	

The SPD and any forthcoming development guided by the SPD would have a neutral effect on people with other protected characteristics.

Section 06	Reducing any adverse impacts and recommendations	
Outcome of Analysis	Through the inclusion of Design Principles 14 and 15, the SPD and any forthcoming development guided by the SPD	
	would have a positive or neutral effect on people with other protected characteristics.	

Section 07	Action Plan
Action Plan	N/A

Section 08	
Chief Officers' sign-off	Name: Angela Choudhry
	Position: Corporate Equality and Diversity Lead
	Email: Angela.Chaudhry@rbkc.gov.uk
	Telephone No: 020 7361 2654
Key Decision Report	Date of report to Cabinet/Cabinet Member: 22 / 07 / 2022
(if relevant)	Key equalities issues have been included: Yes