

## Curve Community Centre

---

### Board of Governors Meeting (11)

02.05.2019 (delayed April Meeting)

#### Attendees BOG:

Nadia Boujettef NB, Abderrahman Elgerbouzi AE, Jacqui Haynes JH.

#### Attendees Curve:

Callum Wilson CW (Head of Service Grenfell Assistance Centres), Kevin Ramsey KRA (Centre Lead), Di Donaldson DD

Apologies: None

Minutes of the meeting: Di Donaldson DD

Chair: Nadia Boujettef NB)

Item	Title	Lead	Key Discussion Point (s)	Follow Up Action
1	72 Second Silence	All	NA	NA
2	Minutes from February 2019 meeting	All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- No meeting took place in March 2019 due to availability of key attendees.</li><li>- BoG were happier with the accuracy of the minutes of the February meeting, in comparison to the minutes of the January 2019 meeting. They were approved by NB and seconded by AE.</li><li>- BoG expressed concern however that the minutes had not already been published and asked for this to happen urgently..</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>Curve SLT</b> to ensure minutes published urgently.</li></ul>
3	Review and discussion regarding the proposed consultation on the future of the Curve	All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- CW introduced the draft documents that had been developed for the consultation on the future of the Curve, which the Council had committed to in the Recovery Strategy. CW</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The BoG requested a meeting with those at the council responsible for the consultation document. <b>CW</b> to arrange urgently.</li></ul>

			<p>explained that to ensure the process was fair, he and the Curve team were not leading on the consultation and its findings, and that instead this was being managed by RBKC's community engagement team.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CW explained that the documents were draft and that the BoG had an opportunity to feed into, but that timelines were tight.</li> <li>- CW explained that previous discussions on the potential future options for the Curve were likely to be heavily informed by the outcome of the consultation as the Council had committed to the decision being informed by, and led as much as possible by the local people.</li> <li>- All went through the documentation.</li> <li>- The BoG expressed significant dissatisfaction with the consultation documents, the proposed methodology, and the proposed launch date.</li> <li>- They raised concerns that they were neither consulted or contacted to participate in the co-design at the offset of such an important document.</li> <li>- The BoG expressed their general dissatisfaction with the lack of communication from senior leaders within the Town Hall, despite previous requests for a more cohesive working relationship which has not been forthcoming.</li> <li>- The BoG questioned whether RBKC were 'returning to type' and whether they really valued the view of the community, and their specific roles or not.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>CW</b> to explain to the senior leadership within the Council that unless the Council is willing to meet, extend the deadline and review the documents with the Board, the BoG has stated that they will not support the consultation process.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	---

4	<b>Additional Governors</b>	All	<p>- At the last meeting of the BoG at the end February it was agreed that additional governors were needed. This previous decision was reflected upon given the potential impact of the consultation and the uncertainty about the future of the Curve that the Recovery Strategy has caused.</p> <p>- The BoG decided that permanent governors should not be appointed until there is clear guidance on the Curves future, post July.</p> <p>- The BoG asked whether interested, qualified people could be co-opted on to the board on a temporary basis.</p>	<p>- <b>Curve SLT</b> – to pause on the publication of adverts for new permanent Governors.</p> <p>- <b>DD</b> to advise on whether temporary appointments can be made.</p>
5	<b>Next Steps on thinking about options for the Curve, including BoG business plan</b>	All	<p>- At the last few meetings a number of options were co-developed re the potential future of the Curve, including the BoG business plan.</p> <p>- It was agreed to pause the business plan and focus on piloting new projects instead. For the options for the centre as a whole, it was agreed that it was most important to focus on getting the consultation right and including relevant questions which could enable local people to truly inform the future of the centre themselves.</p>	- <b>All</b> – to focus on the consultation as the major priority.
6	<b>AOB</b>		- All other business paused to focus on getting the consultation right.	

### May 2019

Following on from this meeting, the formal BoG meeting for May 2019 was postponed, and instead the BoG met with the RBKC Community Engagement team to discuss their concerns about the consultation documents on the future of the Curve. Meetings took place throughout May 2019:

- On May 10<sup>th</sup> 2019, Lorna Hughes and Damian Atkinson (from the Community Engagement team) met with the BoG and CW to listen to the BoG's concerns. Following this meeting the deadline was extended and the Community Engagement team committed to amending the documents

where appropriate. However, it was stressed that the final decision on the documents, questions and methodology would sit with the Community Engagement team to ensure the process was as independent as possible.

- On May 15<sup>th</sup> 2019, the Community Engagement team met with the BoG and CW again and shared the revised documents and methodologies. A further discussion was held, and the BoG expressed that some additional changes were needed.
- These requested changes were reviewed and the final version was shared with the BoG via email by the end of May 2019, which they were broadly comfortable with.