Response Form

Partial Review of the Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington

Development Plan Document policies

	must express a view regarding the soundness or legal compliance of a planning entation does not comment on soundness or legal compliance, or deal with how
a policy can be alte	red to make it sound the representation will not be valid.
Name:	STUART BATEL
Ac[c 70663	416. PALLACIE KINDENS TERRACIE
	LOBITOR INCHER

Company/Orgar	isation:	_
Representing:		
Email:	setab Donetel. Com	

Please complete the form and email it or send it to:

The Executive Director of Planning and Borough Development f.a.o The Policy Team
The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
The Town Hall,
Hornton Street,
London W8 7NX

Email address: planningpolicy@rbkc.gov.uk

ExD	HDC	HSS	HPD	HoE	Policy	Design	•
DC		Received RBKC Planning				Reg	PIO
Enf		2 7 AUG 2013				LLC	Appeals
Str Dev		N&N	Obj	Supp	Nó Obj	Rev	Other

State planning policy or paragraph number to which you	are referring	
Ch7 BASEMENTS 1.		
× ×	Yes	No
Do you consider the planning policy to be sound?		V
PI	ease tick box a	s appropriat
If you have selected YES and you wish to support the se policy, please give your reasons below. Please be as promake it clear which paragraph number or Policy box nu on.	oundness of the ecise as possil mber you are o	e planning ble. Please commenting
	s	
Sr.		
please attach additiona	al pages as req	uired

If you have selected NO to the planning policy being sound do you consider the planning policy to be unsound because it is not:

Positively prepared	Justified	Effective	Consistent with national policy		
		: XX			

Please give details of why you consider the planning policy to be unsound and / or suggest changes as to how it could be made sound. Please make it clear which paragraph number or Policy box number you are commenting on.

Blicy Ch71. States "enforce that Construction impacts buch as loise, Vibration and dust are Kept to acceptable levels for the duration of the with the experience of living next to 42 blace bonders Tenace Where Subvenament excavation has been going on for close to two years and If 4Vill hubinished we know from Sitter expirence that the above regimenent Cannot be Mel. The health and wellbeing (34.3.52) of Deonle living led to these projects definitely adversely affected & Julil the stated requirement. * 34.2.50 acknowleges the Gerious improd a quality of like of leighbours." Please attach additional pages as required

Do you consider the Planning Policy Document to be legally compliant?	
Please give the reasons for your choice below and be as precise as possible. Please make it clear which paragraph number or Policy box number you are commenting on.	>
please attach additional pages as required	
prease attach additional pages as required	
Yes No You wish to appear at the Examination on any of these natters?	
ease specify on what matter	