Simon Haslam

From:	Simon Haslam
Sent:	16 March 2014 18:35
То:	Robin.Yu@rbkc.gov.uk; Simon Haslam
Cc:	Preeti.GulatiTyagi@rbkc.gov.uk; Jonathan.Wade@rbkc.gov.uk; Simon Haslam
Subject:	RE: Freedom of Information Act request (Ref: 2014-276)

Robin,

I am writing to give feedback on the 28 Feb response to our 18 Feb FOI request.

- 1. Unfortunately the Council's response was inadequate as it does not answer the reasonably put questions. Some points are:
- It does not provide the types of building material used and these are not given in the Eight Associates report. From the information provided it is not possible to assess if the correct materials have been included or if materials have been missed.
- 3. The quantities of each type of material are not given. It is not reasonable to give a scanned drawing from the Council's website and expect someone to measure the same quantities.
- 4. Waste material in the Baxter report the addresses of the case studies are not given so it is not possible to match the Baxter case studies to the Eight Associates case studies.
- 5. The assumptions used in the Eight Associates report are not all listed in the report.
- 6. The Council's response states that the spreadsheets used for calculations are Eight Associates property and will not be provided this means that no one can check the calculations and that any results can be produced and not checked. Can you please confirm that the council has paid for a report but not for the ability to check the calculations.
- 7. The Council's response states that some of the information / assumptions used in the spreadsheets / calculations are proprietary property. This means that some of the information / assumptions are not standard or open source. This conflicts with statements in the Eight Associates report that states that all assumptions and inputs are standard.
- 8. The level of information provided does not allow the Eight Associates report analysis to be checked.

I ask again that the reasonable information be provided.

There is nothing being requested that is controversial or confidential.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Haslam

07900 588461

Sent: 28 February 2014 11:06

To: Simon@basementforce.co.uk

Dear Mr. Haslam,

From: <u>Robin.Yu@rbkc.gov.uk</u> [mailto:Robin.Yu@rbkc.gov.uk]

Cc: Preeti.GulatiTyagi@rbkc.gov.uk; Jonathan.Wade@rbkc.gov.uk

Subject: Freedom of Information Act request (Ref: 2014-276)

Please find attached response your Freedom of Information Act request received on 18th February.

Yours sincerely

Robin Yu Information Protection Assistant Information Governance Team Information Systems Division (ISD) The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea The Town Hall, Hornton Street, London W8 7NX Tel: 020 7938 8226

Web: http://www.rbkc.gov.uk

The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

The information contained in this communication is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and others authorised to receive it. It may contain confidential or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by responding to this email and then delete it from your system.

Force Foundations Limited, trading as Basement Force, is a company registered in England and Wales with company number 2986065. Registered office: Jubilee House, Townsend Lane, London, NW9 8TZ, England.