Response Form

Partial Review of the Core Strategy for the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea with a focus on North Kensington

Development Plan Document policies

| All representations must express a view regarding the soundness or legal compliance of a planning |
|---|
| policy. If the representation does not comment on soundness or legal compliance, or deal with how |
| a policy can be altered to make it sound the representation will not be valid. |

Name: Mr R M SWANN

Company/Organisation: none

Representing: self

Please complete the form and email it or send it to:

The Executive Director of Planning and Borough Development f.a.o The Policy Team
The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
The Town Hall,
Hornton Street,
London W8 7NX

Email address: planningpolicy@rbkc.gov.uk

Publication Stage Representation Form

To be "sound" the contents of a local plan should be POSITIVELY PREPARED, JUSTIFIED, EFFECTIVE and consistent with NATIONAL POLICY.

"Positively prepared" means that the planning policy needs to:

- be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to objectively assess development and infrastructure requirements, including those of neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so.
- It must also be consistent with achieving sustainable development.

"Justified" means that the planning policy must be:

- founded on a proportional evidence base
- the most appropriate strategy has been selected when considered against the reasonable alternatives.

"Effective" means that the planning policy must be:

- deliverable over its period
- based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic priorities.

"Consistent with National Policy" means that the planning policy should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

It must also be **legally compliant** which means that the planning policies have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements.

State planning policy or paragraph number to which you are referring BASEMENTS Policy - para 34.3 and also Policy CL7

Do you consider the planning policy to be sound?

| | _ | |
|---------------|---|--|
| \/ = 0 | | |
| YES | | |
| | | |
| | | |

No

Yes

Please tick box as appropriate

If you have selected YES and you wish to support the soundness of the planning policy, please give your reasons below. Please be as precise as possible. Please make it clear which paragraph number or Policy box number you are commenting on.

Basements Policy, Clause 34.3.50

The policy is "justified" as it seeks to control what amounts to a completely inappropriate new use of buildings in quiet residential areas. This paragraph policy points out that multiple serial basement excavations have "a serious impact on the quality of life" with "long term harm to residents' living conditions" and that this amounts to "a permanant inappropriate use". This is quite correct and most certainly "justifies" the restrictions proposed.

Basements Policy, Clause 34.3.51

The "50% of garden" limit and the "single storey" limit are justified in limiting the impact of development on neighbours. The new policy strikes a reasonable balance between the owner's right to develop and the residents' right to the quiet enjoyment of their own homes.

Basements Policy, Clause 34.3.63

This policy is "justified" as the current policy does not adequately protect listed buildings. We have relatively few listed buildings and it is necessary to protect them from unsuitable interventions.

please attach additional pages as required

| If you have selected N planning policy to be u | O to the planning policy nsound because it is not | being sound do you consider the t: |
|--|---|--|
| Positively prepared | Justified Effective | Consistent with national policy |
| and / or suggest chan | ges as to how it could be | anning policy to be unsound e made sound. Please make it number you are commenting on. |
| Not applicable | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Please attach ac | Iditional pages as required |

| | Yes | No |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Do you consider the Planning Policy Document to be legacompliant? | YES | |
| Please give the reasons for your choice below and be as Please make it clear which paragraph number or Policy commenting on. | precise as po box number y | ssible. rou are |
| RBK&C has gone to great lengths to consult on this issurbave attended at least public consultation meetings. I retthese meetings, several developers were present and conviews to the discussion. | call that at on | |

Do you wish to appear at the Examination on any of these matters?

Please specify on what matter

Basements Policy & Housing units policy

please attach additional pages as required

Yes

No