The Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea Local Development Framework Core Strategy Examination in Public

RBKC Proposed amendments in response to third party statements Edition: Beginning of Day 2, 21st July 2010

Matter ONE

Proposals tabled by RBCK prior to matter hearing

| 10 am – 1 pm (Approx) | | |
|---|--|---|
| <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u> | | |
| Matter 1 Vision & Objectives C1,CV1,CV11 | Proposed changes by RBKC in response to statements | Notes from the hearings |
| RBKC | None proposed through the hearing statements in addition to the changes already shown in the Submission CS. | None |
| 178257 DP9 for Brookfield Developments Did not attend hearing | None The comments were considered and some changes made (although not the specific changes sought) in the submission document. | None |
| 101812 The Chelsea Society Did not attend hearing | None: stemming population growth is not something we can address through planning. Over half the new homes are on large sites that will provide new infrastructure. C1 allows for the collection of contributions for social infrastructure from those and other sites. | None |
| 139439 DP9 for Capital & Counties | CV1 Accept introduction of Earl's Court along with Kensal and Latimer, but as part of opportunity area not regeneration area: " The deficiency in local shopping will have been addressed with two new town centres at Kensal and Latimer and the Earl's Court Opportunity Area" Suggest changing 'around' to 'at least' in relation to 2000 houses [Note: this is based on summing Warwick Rd Strategic Site Allocation with Earl's Court, and is not derived from the Earl's Court Opportunity Area in Draft | Change likely to be acceptable to Capital and Counties: statement of common ground under discussion will confirm or amend. Capital and Counties sought further clarification that the 2000 are all in the Royal Borough. Council agreeable: statement of common ground under discussion |
| | Matter 1 Vision & Objectives C1,CV1,CV11 RBKC 178257 DP9 for Brookfield Developments Did not attend hearing 101812 The Chelsea Society Did not attend hearing 1010 not attend hearing 139439 DP9 for Capital & | Matter 1 Vision & Objectives C1_CV1_CV11 Proposed changes by RBKC in response to statements RBKC None proposed through the hearing statements in addition to the changes already shown in the Submission CS. 178257 DP9 for Brookfield Developments None The comments were considered and some changes made (although not the specific changes sought) in the submission document. 101812 The Chelsea Society Did not attend hearing None: stemming population growth is not something we can address through planning. Over half the new homes are on large sites that will provide new infrastructure. C1 allows for the collection of contributions for social infrastructure from those and other sites. 139439 DP9 for Capital & Counties CV1 Accept introduction of Earl's Court along with Kensal and Latimer, but as part of opportunity area not regeneration area: " The deficiency in local shopping will have been addressed with two new town centres at Kensal and Latimer and the Earl's Court Opportunity Area" Suggest changing 'around' to 'at least' in relation to 2000 houses [Note: this is based on summing Warwick Rd Strategic Site Allocation with Earl's |

| | as providing offices and <u>at least</u> around 2000 new dwellings on surrounding | |
|---|--|----------------|
| | sites" | |
| 197185 Kensington & Chelsea Social Council | Please note: statement of common ground has been agreed with the KCSC regarding public involvement in the LDF process. | |
| | Regarding health: CV1, add after first bullet point 'aiding better health': "stimulate regeneration in North Kensington through the provision of better transport better housing and better facilities, <u>aiding better health</u> ;" | KCSC accepted. |
| | Also note: Health impact assessment was undertaken prior to the publication of the CS. This issue was not raised at publication stage. | |
| | Regarding access – CO5 already includes the statement "inclusive for all", thus the statement that there are 'no proposals either in the vision or the SOs to address disability issues' is untrue. | |
| | Regarding housing – incorrect to state that the policy is to 'place all affordable housing in the north of the borough' – policy CH2 specifically precludes this. | |
| | Regarding transport, the place sections already include provisions to reduce the isolation of Kensal, Delgarno and Latimer. Access to hospitals in the south is also already noted in terms of the weak north-south bus routes. | |
| | Regarding importance of social and community uses, the vision, in relation to residential quality of life refers to "facilitating local living", and there is a whole strategic objective on keeping life local, at the heart of which is social and community uses. | |
| 179625 DP9 for Chelsfield | None. | None |
| Did not attend hearing | A statement of common ground has been offered. It is suggested that this matter might be better dealt with under Matter 7 in relation to CF5. | |
| 129913 The Golborne Forum | None | None |
| 372420 Knightsbridge Association | None | None |

| 175783 The Kensington Society | None | None |
|---|---|------|
| 335612 The Norland Conservation Society | None This is addressed fully in Matter 8 Q 1 | None |
| 306971 Westway Development Trust Did not attend hearing | None | None |

Changes sought during the hearing

| Chapter/Section | Change Sought | Council response (or deadline by which response will be made) |
|-----------------|--|--|
| General | Better signposting of the document | Mid August (this is a detailed matter that needs careful consideration. It will not alter the content of the plan, and thus it is not considered essential for it to be tabled during the hearings |
| | Council to consider writing in some reference to localism | The Council has considered this, but concluded that it is not appropriate for the Core Strategy. |
| Chapter 1 | New paragraph agreed needed to explain the Core Strategy may need an early review, subject to the result of the London Plan EiP | End of Friday 23 rd July (PT) |
| CV1 | Council to check regarding inclusion of Golborne with to Portobello in North Kensington section | Council agrees to this change: " The unique character of <u>Golborne</u> <u>and</u> Portobello Road <u>s</u> will have flourished, including the antiques and street market <u>s</u> , adding to the vitality of the area" |
| | Council to consider potential of including ref to social infrastructure provision | End of Friday 23 rd July (PT) |
| | Council to consider reference to neighbourhood centres in last section of Vision (see KS statement) | The Council agrees to the following change: "Our residential quality of life will be improved for everyone and we will remain the best place to live in London with <u>our network of</u> <u>local neighbourhood centres</u> <u>offering a wide range of everyday</u> <u>services within easy walking</u> <u>distance</u> , our glorious built heritage protected and improved etc etc" |
| | Council asked to consider inclusion of equalities in the vision | The Council have considered this but concluded the matter is adequately dealt with elsewhere. |
| Section 4.3 | Council to include in this section reference to plan phasing | End of Friday 23 rd July (PT) |

<u>Matter TWO:</u> Proposals tabled by RBCK prior to matter hearing

| | 2pm – 5 pm (Approx) | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Week 1 | | | |
| Day 1 | Matter 2 Quanta of | RBKC responses to statements | Notes from the hearings |
| Tuesday 20 th July | Development Policies | submitted for the hearings | |
| 2010 | C1,CP1,CH1 & Housing | | |
| | Trajectory | | |
| | - 134919 Greater London | None. The Council is in receipt of the | None |
| | Authority (GLA) | letter of general conformity with the | NOTE |
| | | London Plan, dated 10 June 2010. The | |
| | | SHLAA, which is evidence for the Core | |
| | | Strategy has been prepared by the GLA | |
| | | in conjunction with London Boroughs. | |
| | - 175783 The Kensington | None. | None |
| | Society | | |
| | - 179625 DP9 for Chelsfield | None. The matters are dealt with | None |
| | | through answers to questions for | |
| | Not in attendance | Matter 2. | |
| | - 178257 DP9 for Brookfield | None. The matters are dealt with | None |
| | Developments | through answers to questions for | |
| | Not in attendance | Matter 2. | |
| | - 139439 DP9 for Capital & | None. The matters are dealt with | None |
| | Counties | through answers to questions for | |
| | | Matter 2. | |

Changes sought during the hearing

| Chapter/Section | Change Sought | Council response (or deadline by which response will be made) |
|---|--|---|
| Policy CP1 | To align with CH1 and London Plan expectation to exceed target | End of Friday 23 rd July (JMed) |
| Assumptions behind retail and office floor space quanta in CP1 | RBKC to draft papers in response to those of the Kensington Society on this subject | End of Friday 23 rd July (CT) |
| <u>Chapter 1,</u> | Council agreed to include text on the nature of the infrastructure delivery plan and summary table, and that it will be updated | End of Friday 23 rd July (PT) |
| <u>Chapter 37</u> | Council to check wording to ensure that it refers to the fact that the infrastructure table will be updated through the AMR | End of Friday 23 rd July (JMed) |

| | | by RBCK prior to matter hear | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | <u> 10pm – 1 pm (Approx)</u> | | |
| Week 1 | | | |
| Day 2 Wednesday 21st July 2010 | Matter 3 Policies for Places CA7,CP1, CP11 | | |
| | RBKC | It is proposed that sections 4.4 Places and 4.5 North Kensington 'swap places', so that the section on Places immediately precedes the Place chapters. This is not reflected in the post-submission schedule of changes, although is included in RBKC response to Matter 3, Question 1. Note: changes proposed in Matter 3 Question 3 regarding the monitoring section in each Place are shown in the post-submission schedule of changes near to references to Chapter 38 (Monitoring) and not near Chapters 5- 14 (Places). Likewise, changes in the same paper proposed regarding infrastructure and the places are shown adjacent to Chapter 37, Infrastructure, in the post | |
| | 178257 DP9 for Brookfield | submission schedule of changes. None | |
| | Developments 306971 Westway Development Trust | None | |
| | 175783 The Kensington Society | Regarding Earl's Court one-way system, see Capital and Counties below for a proposed modification to Earl's Court Vision (CV10) | |
| | 129913 The Golborne Forum | None | |
| | 372420 The Knightsbridge Association | None [change to remove 'alfresco dining' from Monpelier Street shown in the post-submission schedule of changes] | |
| | 197185 Kensington & Chelsea Social Council | Regarding the Vision for Latimer (Chapter 9): proposed change to first sentence is supported as the Council considers will add clarity. This change has already been included in the "post submission changes" document. Changes to the rest of the vision not considered necessary, as the Council considered necessary, as the Council considers these issues are addressed elsewhere. These changes are not included in the schedule of post submission changes. However, the Council would not object to making these changes were the inspector so | |

Matter THREE: Proposals tabled by RBCK prior to matter hearing

| | | minded. These changes are shown in | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------|----------------------------------|
| | | italics below. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Matter 3 – Policies for Place: Specific | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Qu. 7 (iv) | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Change Vision for Latimer CV9 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Latimer will have been rebuilt, in a | | |
| | | phased way, to a new street pattern, | | |
| | | guaranteeing all existing tenants the opportunity of a new home as well as | | |
| | | creating capacity for new residents to | | |
| | | <u>move to the area.</u> It will be a place that | | |
| | | focuses on the provision of high- | | |
| | | quality services through excellent | | |
| | | urban design. It will provide accessible, | | |
| | | <u>safe</u> and adaptable spaces that are | | |
| | | valued and used by the local | | |
| | | community. New development, | | |
| | | including a new neighbourhood | | |
| | | shopping centre, will be located | | |
| | | around the Latimer Road Station. The | | |
| | | area will be better served by public | | |
| | | transport, and there will be clear links | | |
| | | to Ladbroke Grove and White City. A | | |
| | | community sports centre with a | | |
| | | swimming pool will be retained in the | | |
| | | area and a new academy will be | | |
| | | established. | | |
| | | | | |
| | 233936 The Ladbroke | None | | |
| | Association | None | | |
| | 134910 CB Richard Ellis for | None | | |
| | Kensington Housing Trust | | | |
| | 139439 DP9 for Capital & | Regarding the One way system, | | |
| | Counties | the Council offers the following | | |
| | | modification to Earl's Court Vision | | |
| | | (CV10) regarding the one way system | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Vision for Earl's Court in 2028 | | |
| | | The western edge of the Borough will | | |
| | | be reintegrated with and Earl's Court | | |
| | | Neighbourhood Centre will so that the | | |
| | | centre is be able to blossom, offering | | |
| | | an attractive 'urban-village' | | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | environment which local residents can enjoy. Crucial to this is reducing the | 1 | Underline |
| | | impact of the one-way system on | | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | residential amenity, the pedestrian | 11 | Underline |
| | | environment and public transport | · / | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | users, will be significantly improved by | 1 | Strikethrough |
| | | careful design and traffic measures, | | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | such as environmental improvements, | | Underline |
| | | reducing traffic and By preferably by | 1 | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | returning the one-way system to two- | | Strikethrough |
| 1 | | way working or other environmental | | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, |
| | | way working of other environmental | | Underline, Not Strikethrough |

l

| | improvements that have a significant | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | improvement to the pedestrian | |
| | environment. , reducing the traffic | Franciska da Franka Callibria do est |
| | flow, and improving the pedestrian | Formatted: Font: Calibri, 10 pt, Strikethrough |
| | | Stiketilough |
| | environment, the western edge of the | |
| | Borough will be reintegrated and Earl's | |
| | Court Neighbourhood Centre will be | |
| | able to blossom, offering an attractive | |
| | 'urban village' environment which | |
| | local residents can enjoy. | |
| | Regarding the Earl's Court Policy, the | |
| | Council would like to offer the | |
| | following wording: | |
| | Policy CP10 | |
| | The Council will ensure an attractive | |
| | 'urban-village' environment in Earl's | |
| | Court by supporting improvements to | |
| | the public realm, pedestrian | |
| | environment and open space. The | |
| | Council will-and resisting development | |
| | proposals which prejudice the | |
| | opportunities for wider regeneration | |
| | of the area and compromise delivery | |
| | of the vision realisation of the full | |
| | potential of opportunities in the area. | |
| | P | |
| | Regarding all other potential changes | |
| | sought by CapCo regarding Earl's Court | |
| | Place are those required of consistency | |
| | depending on the outcome of | |
| | discussion regarding the strategic site. | |
| | It is therefore requested that these are | |
| | dealt with alongside Matter 6 on | |
| | Thursday 22 nd July. | |
| Also Transport for London | Regarding Earl's Court one-way | |
| | system, see Capital and Counties | |
| | below for a proposed modification to | |
| | Earl's Court Vision (CV10) | |

Matter FOUR: Proposals tabled by RBCK prior to matter hearing

| | 2 pm – 5 pm (Approx) | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Week 1 | | | |
| Day 2 | Matter 4 Keeping Life Local | RBKC responses to statements | |
| Tuesday 20 th July | | submitted for the hearings | |
| 2010 | | | |
| | | | |
| | - 101812 The Chelsea Society | None | |
| | - 179625 DP9 for Chelsfield | None | |
| | - 178257 DP9 for Brookfield | None | |
| | Developments | | |
| | - 337749 Gerald Eve for Martins | None | |
| | Properties (Chelsea) Ltd | | |

| - 175783 The Kensington Society | Corporate Action: Point 1: Line 2: after retailers" add ", landlords, residents, and other stakeholders" (cf p185 Action 1) Point 10: last line: change "in" to "throughout" | |
|---|---|--|
| - 197185 Kensington & Chelsea Social Council | New Corporate Action "The Directorate of Planning and Borough Development will work with Kensington and Chelsea Social Council to ensure there is effective consultation with hard to reach groups on the ongoing production of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan." | |
| - 233936 The Ladbroke Association | None | |
| - 198604 LPP for clients (to be confirmed) | None | |
| - 139439 DP9 for Capital & Counties | New Corporate Action RBKC: "The Directorate of Planning and Borough Development will work with LBHF and the GLA to prepare a Supplementary Planning Document/Opportunity Area Framework to bring forward the redevelopment of the Earls Court, including social and community uses as required to sustain a balanced community" | |
| -284 Melyssa Stokes | None | |