



MEETING MINUTES

Subject:	Thames Tideway Tunnel river wall assessments for highway supporting structures
Purpose:	Update on assessment and AIP process.
Date and Time:	14:00 27/09/12
Location:	Kensington Town Hall
Attendees:	<p>RBKC Anne Sexton - Transportation and Highway Patricia Cuervo – Senior Policy Officer Richard Craig – Senior Urban Design Officer</p> <p>Thames Tideway Tunnel Jonathan Schofield – Third Party Infrastructure Engineer, Zoe Chick – Planning Assistant (Central) Ana Ulanovsky - River Walls, Arup Mark Button – River Walls, Arup</p>
Apologies:	Jonathan Wade – RBKC, Sue Hitchcock, Thames Tideway Tunnel
Minute taker:	Mark Button, Ana Ulanovsky, Zoe Chick
Doc Ref:	100-OM-TPI-RBKEN-120901

Item:	Action Item / Notes for the record	By Who	By When
1.1	The Arup River Walls team presented the scope, methodology and outcome of the river wall assessments conducted in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea (RBKC) – the only highway supporting river wall structures likely to be impacted by ground movement or construction-related impacts are at the Chelsea Embankment Foreshore site..		
1.2	The Arup team explained that RBKC were not contacted again for some time following the 2011 meeting was because it was determined that the river walls are not affected by the proposed main tunnel route, but only by the proposed site works, which would require a separate assessment.		
1.3	<p>Arup explained that the listed length of river wall at Chelsea Embankment was not likely to be subject to ground movement or construction-related impacts – the Approval in Principle (AIP) for RBKC will not include any listed lengths of river wall.</p> <p>There will be one AiP for the three affected sections of river wall which should be drafted in three weeks for review by RBKC, It will also be included in the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG).</p>		

LONDON TIDEWAY TUNNELS DELIVERY TEAM

Item:	Action Item / Notes for the record	By Who	By When
1.4	<p>Arup explained that the demolition of the existing CSO apron is still to be considered along with scour.</p> <p>Arup discussed mitigation, which could include monitoring flood defence level and restoring if required, ensuring flood defence level is maintained when parapet is removed, and ensuring temporary support of the river wall within the cofferdam.</p>		
1.5	<p>RBKC noted that, although Chelsea Embankment highway is a 'red route' that is the responsibility of Transport for London (TfL), RBKC retain the responsibility for the highway supporting river wall. RBKC noted that they would consider the AIP as the technical approval authority.</p>		
1.6	<p>RBKC noted that many changes were required to the Bridges AIP following their comments. Bridges required an external checker.</p>		
1.7	<p>RBKC noted that Hyder will be technically reviewing the river wall assessments documentation under their existing contract. Thames Water would need to fund the review.</p>		
1.8	<p>RBKC requested that draft versions of the river wall documentation were issued so that they could price the technical review.</p>		
1.9	<p>RBKC noted that they 3rd party checking has been required for other AIPs. Thames Tideway Tunnel notes that 3rd party checking would not usually be considered appropriate given the nature of the river wall assessments work.</p> <p>Category of the check to be confirmed. Anne Sexton to seek advice from Hyder.</p>	RBKC	

Next Meeting (Date, time, location):	TBC
Next minute taker:	